

Continuous Series (to Weyl)

Formulas

1. Finite-dimensional case
2. Affine Lie algebra
3. Construction of representations
4. Correlation functions
5. Quantization of Lobačevski plane.
- 6, 7 Computation of 2-point correlators
8. Virasoro algebra and irreducibility

1. Finite-dimensional Lie algebra

$$sl(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}x^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}x^- \oplus \mathbb{C}x^0$$

$$[x^0, x^\pm] = \pm x^\pm$$

$$[x^+, x^-] = 2x^0$$

Killing form

$$\langle x^+, x^- \rangle = 1$$

$$\langle x^0, x^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

Hermitian structure

$$(x^\pm)^* = -x^\mp$$

$$(x^0)^* = x^0$$

2. Affine Lie algebra

$$\hat{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C} X_n^+ \oplus \mathbb{C} X_n^- \oplus \mathbb{C} X_n^0)$$

$$[X_m^0, X_n^0] = -\frac{k}{2} m \delta_{m+n, 0}$$

$$[X_m^0, X_n^\pm] = \pm X_{m+n}^\pm$$

$$[X_m^+, X_n^-] = -k m \delta_{m+n, 0} + 2 X_{m+n}^0$$

Killing form

$$\langle X_m^+, X_n^- \rangle = \delta_{m+n, 0}$$

$$\langle X_m^0, X_n^0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{m+n, 0}$$

Hermitian structure

$$(X_n^\pm)^* = -X_{-n}^\mp$$

$$(X_n^0)^* = X_{-n}^0$$

$$k^* = k$$

3. Representations of affine Lie algebra

Heisenberg algebra

$$[h_m^0, h_n^0] = m \delta_{m+n,0} k/2$$

$$[h_m^1, h_n^1] = -m \delta_{m+n,0} k/2$$

$$[h_m^2, h_n^2] = m \delta_{m+n,0} k'/2$$

$$k' = k-2$$

Generating functions

$$h^0(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n^0 z^{-n-1}$$

$$h^1(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n^1 z^{-n-1}$$

$$h^2(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n^2 z^{-n-1}$$

3.1 Space of representation, $k > 2$

$$F = F^0 \otimes F' \otimes F^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$$

$$F^0 = \text{Funct } (h_{-n}^0, n \geq 1)$$

$$F' = \text{Funct } (h_n^1, n \geq 1)$$

$$F^2 = \text{Funct } (h_{-n}^2, n \geq 1)$$

$$\text{vac} = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$$

$$h_0^0 \text{vac} = h_0^1 \text{vac} = 0 \quad h_0^2 \text{vac} = s \text{vac}$$

$s \in \mathbb{R}$ parameter of representation

$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}]$ has a basis $e^{n(\frac{2}{k}h)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$h_0^0 e^{n(\frac{2}{k}h)} = ne^{n(\frac{2}{k}h)}, \quad h_0^1 e^{n(\frac{2}{k}h)} = -ne^{n(\frac{2}{k}h)}$$

Space of representation in Gaussian picture

$$F^0 \otimes F' \cong \text{Funct}(h_n, n \neq 0) e^{-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} h_n h_{-n}}$$

vac

We have a representation of the Heisenberg

$$[h_m^0 - h_m^!, h_n^0 + h_n^!] = m \delta_{m+n,0} k$$

We identify $h_m^0 - h_m^! = m k \frac{\partial}{\partial h_m}$, then

$$h_n^0 = \frac{1}{2} (h_n + n k \frac{\partial}{\partial h_n})$$

$$h_n^! = \frac{1}{2} (h_n - n k \frac{\partial}{\partial h_n})$$

$$(h_n + n k \frac{\partial}{\partial h_n}) e^{-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} h_n h_{-n}} = (h_n + n k (-\frac{1}{nk}) h_n) e^{'''} = 0$$

$$(h_{-n} + n k \frac{\partial}{\partial h_{-n}}) e^{-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} h_n h_{-n}} = (h_{-n} + n k (-\frac{1}{nk}) h_n) e^{'''} = 0$$

Thus $h_n^0 \text{vac} = h_n^! \text{vac} = 0$ as in $F^0 \otimes F'$

3.2 Vertex operators

$$\alpha^\pm(z) = e^{\pm \frac{z^2}{k} \varphi(z)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^\pm z^{-n}$$

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{h_{-n}}{n} z^n + h_0 \log z + h$$

$$\frac{d}{dz} \varphi(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_{-n} z^{n-1} = h(z)$$

$$h(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h^0(z) + h'(z), \quad h_n = h_n^0 + h_n'$$

We also assume as usual

$$[h_0^0, h^0] = \frac{k}{2}$$

$$[h'_0, h'] = -\frac{k}{2}$$

Remark: Since h_0 acts by 0 in the representation the term $h_0 \log z$ can be omitted from $\varphi(z)$

3.3 Operators of representation , $k > 2$

$$X^+(z) = : e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} (h^0(z) - i h^2(z) - \frac{z^{-1}}{2}) :$$

$$-X^-(z) = : e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} (h^0(z) + i h^2(z) + \frac{z^{-1}}{2}) :$$

$$X^0(z) = h^1(z)$$

where the normal ordering is

$$: e^{\pm \frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} h^0(z) : \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{\pm \frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n^0 z^{-n-1} \right) + \\ + \left(\sum_{n < 0} h_n^0 z^{-n-1} \right) e^{\pm \frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}$$

3.4 Verification of commutation relations

$$[X_m^0, X_n^0] = -\frac{k}{2} m \delta_{m+n,0} \quad \text{by definition}$$

$$[X_m^0, X_n^\pm] = \pm X_{m+n}^\pm \quad \text{follows from}$$

$$[h'_m, \alpha_n^\mp] = \pm \alpha_{m+n}^\mp \quad \text{which is equivalent to}$$

$$[h'_m, \alpha^\mp(w)] = \pm w^m \alpha^\mp(w)$$

$$[h'_m, e^{\mp \frac{2}{k} \frac{h-m}{m} w^m}] = \mp w^m [h'_m, \frac{2}{k} \frac{h-m}{m}] e^{\mp \frac{2}{k} h_m w}$$

Thus the only nontrivial commutation

$$[X_m^+, -X_n^-] = k m \delta_{m+n,0} - 2 X_{m+n}^0$$

In what follows we will absorb

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{in } \pm i \hbar^2$$

We have the following nontrivial OPE

$$:e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}(h^0(z)-ih^2(z)): :e^{+\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)}(h^0(w)+ih^2(w)):$$

[]

$$:e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}(h^0(z)-ih^2(z)): :e^{+\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)}(h^0(w)+ih^2(w)):$$

[]

$$:e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}(h^0(z)-ih^2(z)): :e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)}(h^0(w)+ih^2(w)):$$

[]

$$:e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}(h^0(z)-ih^2(z)): :e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)}(h^0(w)+ih^2(w)):$$

[]

$$:e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}(h^0(z)-ih^2(z)): :e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)}(h^0(w)+ih^2(w)):$$

[]

We have the following facts

$$h^0(z)h^0(w) = :h^0(z)h^0(w): + \frac{k/2}{(z-w)^2}$$

$$h^2(z)h^2(w) = :h^2(z)h^2(w): + \frac{k'/2}{(z-w)^2}$$

where normal ordering is for $k' > 2$

$$h^0(z)h^0(w) - :h^0(z)h^0(w): = \sum_{n \geq 0} [h^0_n, h^0_{-n}] z^{-n-1} w^{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{k}{2} \sum_{n \geq 0} n \frac{w^{n-1}}{z^{n+1}} = \frac{k}{2} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}, \quad \text{since}$$

$$\frac{1}{z-w} = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{w}{z}} \right) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{w}{z^2} + \frac{w^2}{z^3} + \dots$$

$$\frac{d}{dw} \frac{1}{z-w} = \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} = \frac{1}{z^2} + 2 \frac{w}{z^3} + 3 \frac{w^2}{z^4} + \dots$$

The second fact is similar

We have the following facts

$$h^o(z) e^{\frac{2}{k} \varphi(w)} = : h^o(z) e^{\frac{2}{k} \varphi(w)} : + \frac{1}{z-w} e^{\frac{2}{k} \varphi(w)}$$

$$e^{-\frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)} h^o(w) = : e^{-\frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)} h^o(w) : + \frac{1}{z-w} e^{-\frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)}$$

where normal ordering is for $k' > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} h^o(z) e^{\frac{2}{k} \varphi(w)} - : h^o(z) e^{\frac{2}{k} \varphi(w)} : &= \\ &= \left[\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n^o z^{-n-1}, e^{\frac{2}{k} \sum \frac{h_n^o}{n} w^n} \right] = \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{w^n}{z^{n+1}} \right) e^{\frac{2}{k} \sum \frac{h_n^o}{n} w^n} = \frac{1}{z-w} e^{\frac{2}{k} \varphi(w)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)} h^o(w) - : e^{-\frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)} h^o(w) : &= \\ &= \left[e^{-\frac{2}{k} \sum \frac{h_n^o}{n} z^{-n}}, \sum_{n \geq 1} h_{-n}^o w^{n-1} \right] = \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{w^{n-1}}{z^n} \right) e^{-\frac{2}{k} \sum \frac{h_n^o}{n} z^{-n}} = \frac{1}{z-w} e^{-\frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the following OPE

$$e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \frac{k/2}{(z-w)^2}$$

$$e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \frac{k/2}{(z-w)^2}$$

$$e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}$$

$$e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \frac{1}{z-w} (h^0(w) + i h^2(w))$$

$$e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \frac{1}{z-w} (h^0(z) - i h^2(z))$$

The first three terms are similar

$$\frac{k'}{2} + \frac{k}{2} + 1 = k$$

Finally we can compute the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 [X_m^+, -X_n^-] &= \iint e^{-\frac{2}{k} \Psi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k} \Psi(w)} \frac{k}{(z-w)^2} z^m w^n dz dw \\
 &+ \iint e^{-\frac{2}{k} \Psi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k} \Psi(w)} \frac{1}{(z-w)} (h^0(w) + \cancel{h^2(w)}) z^m w^n dz dw \\
 &+ \iint e^{-\frac{2}{k} \Psi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k} \Psi(w)} \frac{1}{z-w} (h^0(z) - \cancel{h^2(z)}) z^m w^n dz dw \\
 &= \int k m w^{m+n-1} dw + \int \left(-\frac{2}{k}\right) (h^0(w) + h'(w)) w^{m+n} dw \\
 &+ \int 2 \cancel{h^0(w)} w^{m+n} dw = k m \delta_{m+n,0} - 2 h'_{m+n} \\
 &= k m \delta_{m+n,0} - 2 X_{m+n}^0
 \end{aligned}$$

3.5 Hermitian structure on representations

We define

$$(h_m^0)^* = h_{-m}^0, \quad (h_m^1)^* = h_{-m}^1, \quad (h_m^2)^* = h_{-m}^2$$

$$(h^0)^* = -h^0, \quad (h^1)^* = -h^1$$

Then we have

$$(\alpha_n^\pm)^* = \alpha_{-n}^\mp, \quad \text{which is equivalent}$$

$$\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_i} \alpha_n^\pm z^{-n} \right)^* = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{-n}^\mp \bar{z}^{-n}$$

$$\left(e^{\pm \frac{2}{k} \varphi(z)} \right)^* = e^{\mp \frac{2}{k} \varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})}$$

$$\varphi(z)^* = -\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})$$

$$\left(\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{h_n}{n} z^n + h_0 \log z + h \right)^* = - \left(\sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{h_n}{n} \bar{z}^{-n} + h_0 \log \bar{z}^{-1} + h \right)$$

3.5 Verification of Hermitian structure

$$(X_n^0)^* = X_{-n}^0 \quad \text{by definition}$$

$$(X_n^+)^* = -X_{-n}^- \quad \text{is equivalent to}$$

$$(\sum X_n^+ z^{-n})^* = -\sum X_{-n}^- \bar{z}^{-n}$$

We need to verify

$$\left[e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n^0 z^{-n} - \frac{1}{z} \right) + \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n^0 z^{-n} \right) e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} \right]^*$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{-n}^0 \bar{z}^{-n} - \frac{1}{z} \right) e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})} + e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})} \left(\sum_{n < 0} h_{-n}^0 \bar{z}^{-n} \right)$$

$$= e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})} \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} h_n^{0-n} + \frac{1}{z} \right) + \left(\sum_{n < 0} h_n^0 \bar{z}^n \right) e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})}$$

$$\left(h_0^0 - \frac{1}{z} \right) e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})} = e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(\bar{z}^{-1})} \left(h_0^0 + \frac{1}{z} \right) \quad Q.E.D.$$

4. Correlation functions: 2 point

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{vac}, X_m^+(-X_n^-) \text{vac}) &= (s^2 + \frac{1}{4}) (\text{vac}, \alpha_m^- \alpha_n^+ \text{vac}) + \\ &+ k \sum_{r \geq 1} r (\text{vac}, \alpha_{m-r}^- \alpha_{n+r}^+ \text{vac}) \end{aligned}$$

First proof from OPE

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{vac}, X^+(z)(-X^-(w)) \text{vac}) &= \frac{k}{(z-w)^2} (\text{vac}, e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \text{vac}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{zw} (-is + \frac{1}{2})(is + \frac{1}{2}) (\text{vac}, e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} e^{\frac{2}{k}\varphi(w)} \text{vac}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $[e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)}, h_0^0 z^{-1}] = e^{-\frac{2}{k}\varphi(z)} z^{-1}$

gives an extra contribution: $(-is - \frac{1}{2}) + 1$

Second proof in the component form

$$X_m^+ = \sum_j : \alpha_{m-j}^- h_j^0 : - i \alpha_{m-j}^- h_j^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_m^-$$

$$-X_m^- = \sum_j : \alpha_{m-j}^+ h_j^0 : + i \alpha_{m-j}^+ h_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_m^+$$

4.2 Correlation functions: general

We would like to find a general correlation function

$$(\text{vac}, X^+(z_1) \dots X^+(z_n) (-X^-(w_1)) \dots (-X^-(w_n)) \text{vac})$$

Our conjecture is the following:

we obtain a rational function in z_i, w_i

$$\times (\text{vac}, \alpha^-(z_1) \dots \alpha^-(z_n) \alpha^+(w_1) \dots \alpha^+(w_n) \text{vac})$$

Rational function = Basic rational function +

$$+ \sum_{i,j} \frac{s^2 + \frac{1}{4}}{z_i w_j} \text{ Basic rational function without } z_i, w_j$$

+ lower terms of the same type.

Basic rational function is precisely
the same as in the vacuum repes

$$(v_0, X^+(z_1) \dots X^+(z_n) X^-(w_1) \dots X^-(w_n) v_0)$$

and are given by the explicit
formulas as in F-Zhu DMJ 66 (1992)

Note that all the rational functions
are understood as power series for

$$|z_1| > \dots > |z_n| > |w_1| > \dots > |w_n|$$

The conjecture should be verified
by computing the commutation relations.

4.3 Regularization

We define regularized vertex operators

$$\alpha^\pm(z, \bar{z}) = e^{\pm \frac{2}{k} \varphi(z, \bar{z})}$$

$$\varphi(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_{-n} z^n}{n} + \frac{h_n \bar{z}^n}{-n} + h_0$$

We omit the term with h_0 since it acts trivially in the representation

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha^-(z, \bar{z}) \alpha^+(w, \bar{w}) \text{vac}) = \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k}$$

More generally we have

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha^-(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \dots \alpha^-(z_n, \bar{z}_n) \alpha^+(w_1, \bar{w}_1) \dots \alpha^+(w_n, \bar{w}_n) \text{vac}) =$$

$$= \prod_{i,j} \left[\frac{(1-z_i\bar{z}_j)(1-w_i\bar{w}_j)}{(1-z_i\bar{w}_j)(1-\bar{z}_i w_j)} \right]^{2/k}$$

Verification of regularized correlator: Heisenberg

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B e^{-\frac{1}{2}[AB]}, \quad \text{if } [A, B] \text{ commutes w.r.t. } A, B$$

$$(1 + (A+B) + \frac{1}{2}(A+B)^2) = (1 + A + \frac{1}{2}A^2)(1 + B + \frac{1}{2}B^2)(1 - \frac{1}{2}[AB])$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(A+B)^2 = \frac{1}{2}A^2 + \frac{1}{2}B^2 + AB - \frac{1}{2}(AB - BA)$$

$$\frac{2}{k}(-\Psi(z, \bar{z}) + \Psi(w, \bar{w})) = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}(z^n + w^n)}{n} + \frac{h_n(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{-n}$$

$$= \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}^0(-z^n + w^n)}{n} + \frac{h_n^0(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{-n} + \frac{h_{-n}^1(-z^n + w^n)}{n} + \frac{h_n^1(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{-n}$$

$$A = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}^0(-z^n + w^n)}{n} + \frac{h_n^1(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{-n} \quad \text{"creation"}$$

$$B = \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_n^0(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{-n} + \frac{h_{-n}^1(-z^n + w^n)}{n} \quad \text{"annihilation"}$$

$$[A, B] = \frac{2}{k} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-z^n + w^n)(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{n} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-z^n + w^n)(-\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{n} \right)$$

Thus we obtained

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha^-(z, \bar{z})\alpha^+(w, \bar{w})\text{vac}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}[A, B]} =$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{2}{k}\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n \bar{z}^n + w^n \bar{w}^n - z^n \bar{w}^n - \bar{z}^n w^n}{n} =$$

$$\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t^n}{n} = -\log(1-t) \right)$$

$$= \exp \frac{2}{k} (\log(1-z\bar{z}) + \log(1-w\bar{w}) - \log(1-z\bar{w}) - \log(1-\bar{z}w))$$

$$= \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k}$$

Verification of regularized correlator: Gaussian

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha^-(z, \bar{z}) \alpha^+(w, \bar{w}) \text{vac}) =$$

$$\int e^{+\frac{2}{k} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{h_n(-z^n + w^n)}{n} + \frac{h_n(\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n)}{-n} \right)} e^{-\frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} h_n h_{-n}} \prod_n dh_n dh_{-n}$$

$$- \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (h_n + z^n - w^n)(h_{-n} - \bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n) =$$

$$= - \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (h_n h_{-n} + h_{-n}(z^n - w^n) + h_n(\bar{z}^n + \bar{w}^n))$$

$$+ \frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (z^n - w^n)(\bar{z}^n - \bar{w}^n)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha^-(z, \bar{z}) \alpha^+(w, \bar{w}) \text{vac}) = e^{-\frac{2}{k} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n} (z^n - w^n)(\bar{z}^n - \bar{w}^n)}$$

$$= e^{\frac{2}{k} (\log(1-z\bar{z}) + \log(1-w\bar{w}) - \log(1-z\bar{w}) - \log(1-\bar{z}w))} = \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{\frac{2}{k}}$$

4.4 Calculation of correlators

We want to compute

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha_m^- \alpha_n^+ \text{vac})$$

where

$$\alpha_m^\pm = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S^1} \alpha^\pm(z) z^{m-1} dz, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}$$

We will express α_m^\pm via $\alpha^\pm(z, \bar{z})$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D^2} z^n \bar{z}^n \frac{dz d\bar{z}}{z \bar{z}} &= \frac{2}{i} \int_{D^2} (z \bar{z})^{2n-2} dx dy = \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{i} \int_0^1 r^{2n-2} r dr = \frac{2\pi}{i} \int_0^1 r^{n-1} dr = \frac{2\pi}{i} \cdot \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\alpha_m^\pm = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{D^2} \bar{z} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \alpha^\pm(z, \bar{z}) \cdot z^m \frac{dz}{z} \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} \quad m \geq 0$$

$$\alpha_{-m}^\pm = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{D^2} z \frac{d}{dz} \alpha^\pm(z, \bar{z}) \bar{z}^m \frac{dz}{z} \frac{d\bar{z}}{\bar{z}} \quad m \geq 0$$

Let $m, n \geq 0$, then

$$(\text{vac}, \alpha_m^- \alpha_n^+ \text{vac}) =$$

$$\left(\frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^2 \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \frac{d}{d\bar{w}} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k} z^{m-1} w^{n-1} dz d\bar{z} dw d\bar{w}$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{k} \right)^2 \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} (- (z-w)^2) z^{m-1} w^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

where $d\mu(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dz d\bar{z}}{(1-z\bar{z})^2}$ invariant on D^2
measure

We have used the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \frac{d}{d\bar{w}} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k} = \\
 &= \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \left(\frac{2}{k} \right) \left(\frac{-w}{1-w\bar{w}} - \frac{-z}{1-z\bar{w}} \right) \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k} = \\
 &= \left(\frac{2}{k} \right) \left(\frac{z-w}{(1-w\bar{w})(1-z\bar{w})} \right) \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k} = \\
 &= \left(\frac{2}{k} \right)^2 \frac{- (z-w)^2}{(1-w\bar{w})(1-z\bar{w})(1-z\bar{z})(1-\bar{z}w)} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2/k} = \\
 &= \left(\frac{2}{k} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^2 (- (z-w)^2) \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{2/k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})
 \end{aligned}$$

where $d\mu(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dz d\bar{z}}{(1-z\bar{z})^2}$ an invariant

measure on the Lobachevskii plane

Next we would like to compute various correlators in α_n^\pm . It turns out that it can efficiently be achieved using the Berezin quantization theory in Lobachevskii plane, see CMP 40 (1975), 153-174, F.A. Berezin, General concept of quantization. We will review the relevant formulas from this paper and then complete the calculation of the correlation functions.

5. Quantization on the Lobachevskii plane

Let F_k be the space of analytic functions on D^2 . with the scalar prod.

$$(f, g) = \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} f(z) \overline{g(z)} (1-z\bar{z})^{\frac{2}{k}-1} d\mu(z, \bar{z})$$

where $d\mu(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1-z\bar{z})^2}$ invar. measure

In particular, we have

$$(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) = 1 \quad \text{1 - constant function}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } (\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) &= \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} (1-z\bar{z})^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \frac{2dx dy}{2\pi} = \\ &= \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 (1-r^2)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} 2r dr = \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr = \\ &= \frac{2}{k} \cdot \left. \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}}}{\frac{2}{k}} \right|_1^0 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Next we will consider symbols
of bounded linear operators in F_k .

$$(\hat{A}f)(z) = \frac{2}{k} \int\limits_{D^2} A(z, \bar{w}) f(w) \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\nu(w, \bar{w})$$

$$\hat{A} \longleftrightarrow A(z, \bar{w})$$

We note that under this correspondence we have

$$Id \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

Thus we need to prove an identity

$$f(z) = \frac{2}{k} \int\limits_{D^2} f(w) \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\nu(w, \bar{w})$$

We will call it quantum Cauchy formula

Verification of quantum Cauchy formula

Consider the following basis

$$f_n(z) = \left[\frac{(\frac{2}{k}+1) \dots (\frac{2}{k}+n)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdots n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} z^n = c_n^{\frac{1}{2}} z^n$$

Then $\{f_n(z)\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ form an orthonormal

basis in F_k . Orthogonality is obvious.

To prove the orthonormality we note

$$\int_0^1 r^n (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr = \left(\frac{n}{\frac{2}{k}+n} \right) \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr$$

and

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr = \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}}}{\frac{2}{k}} \Big|_0^1 = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{k}}$$

In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 r^n (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr &= -\left[\frac{r^n}{k} \frac{d(1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}}}{2/k} \right]_0^1 = \\ &= - \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}} dr + n \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)(1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr \end{aligned}$$

thus $\left(\frac{2}{k} + n\right) \int_0^1 r^n (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr = n \int_0^1 r^{n-1} (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr$

Now we compute the square norm

$$(f_n, f_n) = C_n \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} z^n \bar{z}^n (1-z\bar{z})^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{2dx dy}{(1-z\bar{z})^2} =$$

$$= C_n \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 r^{2n} (1-r^2)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} 2r dr =$$

$$= C_n \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 r^n (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr = \frac{2}{k} \int_0^1 (1-r)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} dr = 1$$

Using the orthonormal basis we form
the reproduction kernel

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(z, \bar{z}) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n(z) \overline{f_n(w)} = \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left(\frac{2}{k}+1\right) \cdots \left(\frac{2}{k}+n\right)}{n!} (z\bar{w})^n = (1-z\bar{w})^{-\frac{2}{k}+1} \end{aligned}$$

This immediately implies the quantum
Cauchy formula, since

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} L_k(z, \bar{w}) f(w) (1-w\bar{w})^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w}) \\ &= \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} f(w) \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w}) \end{aligned}$$

Next we will find the symbol

for the product of two operators $\hat{A} = \hat{A}_1 \cdot \hat{A}_2$

In fact it is sufficient to compare

$$(\hat{A}f)(z) = \binom{2}{k} \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} A_1(z, \bar{w}) A_2(w, \bar{j}) f(j) \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}\bar{j}}{1-w\bar{j}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(j, \bar{j}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

$$(\hat{A}f)(z) = \binom{2}{k} \int_{D^2} A(z, \bar{j}) f(j) \left(\frac{1-\bar{s}\bar{j}}{1-z\bar{j}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(j, \bar{j})$$

$$A(z, \bar{j}) = \binom{2}{k} \int_{D^2} A_1(z, \bar{w}) A_2(w, \bar{j}) \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} \left(\frac{1-z\bar{j}}{1-w\bar{j}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

In particular, we obtain

$$A(z, \bar{z}) = \binom{2}{k} \int_{D^2} A_1(z, \bar{w}) A_2(w, \bar{z}) \left[\frac{(1-w\bar{w})(1-z\bar{z})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

Now we can deduce the quantum
Cauchy formula for harmonic functions

$$f(z) = \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} f(w) \left[\frac{(1-w\bar{w})(1-z\bar{z})}{(1-2\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

In fact let f be holomorphic then
we set $A_1(z, \bar{w}) = 1$, $A_2(w, \bar{z}) = f(w)$. Thus
 $\hat{A}_1 = \text{Id}$ and $\hat{A}(z, \bar{z}) = f(z)$ and we get

the formula using the composition of symbols.

If $f(z)$ is holomorphic, then we set
 $A_1(z, \bar{w}) = f(w)$, $A_2(w, \bar{z}) = 1$, thus $\hat{A}_2 = \text{Id}$
and $\hat{A}(z, \bar{z}) = f(z)$ and again we get
the above identity

One can try to apply the above formula for the calculations of the correlation functions (vac, $\bar{d}^m d^n$ vac) where we get expressions like

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \iint_{D^2 D^2} (z-w)^2 z^{m-1} w^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w}),$$

for $m \geq 0, n \geq 0$, or

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \iint_{D^2 D^2} (z-w)^2 \bar{z}^{m-1} w^{n-1} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-\bar{z}w)} \right]^{2k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w}),$$

for $m \leq 0, n \geq 0$, etc. If we integrate over w we get formally

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \int_{D^2} (z-\bar{z})^2 z^{m+n-2} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) \text{ or } \left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \int_{D^2} (z-\bar{z})^2 \bar{z}^{m+1} z^{n-1} d\mu(z, \bar{z})$$

Thus formally these integrals are 0,
however the integrals such as

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \int_{D^2} (z\bar{z})^{n-1} d\mu(z, \bar{z})$$

diverge. Thus presumably our correlation
functions in α 's should be understood
via improper integrals

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D_{1-\varepsilon}^2} \int_{D_{1-\varepsilon}^2} \dots d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

where $D_{1-\varepsilon}^2$ are disks of radius $1-\varepsilon$. But
then it is not clear how to compute
these integrals, since we cannot apply the
above Cauchy formulas any more.

Another interpretation of various correlators in α_n^\pm can be achieved via traces of certain "simple" operators in \mathcal{F}_K .

It is based on the following formula

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}_K}(\hat{A}) = \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} A(z, \bar{w}) \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{2k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

where $A(z, \bar{w})$ is the symbol of \hat{A} defined

above on page 30. In the case of $(\text{vac}, \alpha_n^\pm \alpha_n^\pm \text{vac})$

$A(z, \bar{w})$ is a sum of 3 simple monomials, see page 36

Verification of the trace formula

$$\text{tr}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(\hat{A}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\hat{A}f_n, f_n) \quad \{f_n\} \text{ page 31}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \int_{D^2} (\hat{A}f_n)(z) \overline{f_n(z)} (1-z\bar{z})^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) =$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} A(z, \bar{w}) f_n(w) \overline{f_n(z)} \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} (1-z\bar{z})^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} A(z, \bar{w}) (1-w\bar{z})^{-(\frac{2}{k}+1)} \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} (1-z\bar{z})^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \int_{D^2} \int_{D^2} A(z, \bar{w}) \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

We can now apply the formula for the trace of an operator given by a symbol to the computation of our correlators such as

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \int \int_{D^2 D^2} z^n \bar{w}^n \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{2/k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

First we will determine the operator with the symbol $A(z, \bar{w}) = z^n \bar{w}^n$ and then we will determine its trace, which will yield the above integral.

Let $f(z) = z^m$, then we have

$$(\hat{A}f)(z) = \frac{2}{k} \int_{D^2} z^n \bar{w}^n w^m \left(\frac{1-w\bar{w}}{1-z\bar{w}} \right)^{\frac{2}{k}+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

We present $d\mu(w, \bar{w}) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1-w\bar{w})^2}$ and

$$(1-z\bar{w})^{-\left(\frac{2}{k}+1\right)} = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j(z) \overline{f_j(w)}$$

and we get

$$z^n \left(\frac{2}{k} \right) \int_{D^2} \bar{w}^n w^m \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j(z) \overline{f_j(w)} \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1-w\bar{w})^{1-\frac{2}{k}}}$$

thus only term with $j = m-n$ contrib.

$$c_{m-n} z^m \left(\frac{2}{k} \right) \int_{D^2} \bar{w}^m w^m \frac{i dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1-w\bar{w})^{1-\frac{2}{k}}} =$$

$$= c_{m-n} z^m \left(\frac{2}{k} \right) \int_0^1 r^{2m} \frac{2r dr}{(1-r^2)^{1-\frac{2}{k}}} = \frac{c_{m-n}}{c_m} z^m$$

Thus the trace formally is given by

$$\text{tr}(\hat{A}) = \sum_{m>n} \frac{c_{m-n}}{c_m}$$

The sum certainly does not converge

since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{m-n}}{c_m} = 1$, however we

actually have differences of the above
and double differences

integrals, e.g.

$$\left(\frac{2}{k} \right)^2 \int \int \int \int (z^{n_1} \bar{w}^{n_1} - z^{n_2} \bar{w}^{n_2}) \begin{bmatrix} (1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w}) \\ (1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z}) \end{bmatrix}^{2k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

$$= \sum_{m>0} \frac{c_{m-n_1} - c_{m-n_2}}{c_m}, \quad (c_{\text{negative}} = 0)$$

which has only logarithmic divergence.

Let us now compute a more general integral than on page 40 directly

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right)^2 \iint_{D^2} z^{m_1} \bar{z}^{m_2} w^{n_1} \bar{w}^{n_2} \left[\frac{(1-z\bar{z})(1-w\bar{w})}{(1-z\bar{w})(1-w\bar{z})} \right]^{2/k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$

We will use the kernel decompositions

$$(1-z\bar{w})^{-(2/k+1)} = \sum_{p \geq 0} f_p(z) f_p(\bar{w})$$

$$(1-w\bar{z})^{-(2/k+1)} = \sum_{q \geq 0} f_q(w) f_q(\bar{z})$$

Then we can rewrite the integral: $\sum_{p,q \geq 0}$

$$\left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \int_{D^2} z^{m_1} \bar{z}^{m_2} f_p(z) f_q(\bar{z}) (1-z\bar{z})^{2/k+1} d\mu(z, \bar{z}) \times$$

$$\times \left(\frac{2}{k}\right) \int_{D^2} w^{n_1} \bar{w}^{n_2} f_q(w) f_p(\bar{w}) (1-w\bar{w})^{2/k+1} d\mu(w, \bar{w})$$