

Regression Testing

I Didn't Change Anything!

Regression testing - Definition



- The process of testing changes to software to make sure that unchanged code still works with the new changes
- Intent is to check that code has not regressed
 - Do not focus on new or changed functionality
- Typically done in the context of:
 - Iterative software development process
 - Agile methods
 - Component reuse
 - The change of use contexts
 - Component maintenance

Strategy after component changes



- Repeat all the testing activities
 - Develop testing plan
 - Develop test cases
 - Run all the test cases
- Anything wrong?
- Correct approach:
 - Develop new test cases for the functionalities that have been added or modified
 - Reuse the test cases available for the components
 - Designated as regression test suite
- Fundamental question: What test cases to re-run?

Terminologies

- Baseline
 - Point of reference for a regression test suite
- Delta version
 - Small change from a baseline, or one of a sequence of such changes
- Delta build
 - An executable configuration of the SUT that contains all the baseline and delta components
- Regression test case
 - Passed on the baseline version
 - Expected to pass for the delta version
- Regression fault
 - Fault introduced when components change
 - Revealed by a regression test case that fails on a delta

Motivation

- Regression testing is effective for revealing regression faults due to:
 - Delta side effects
 - Delta/baseline incompatibilities
 - **Bad fixes**
 - High tech companies like IBM have fix failure rates from 2% to 20%
 - 1/3 of fixes either don't fix the problem or break something else
 - Up to 80% of fixes not working has been reported in some large systems
- Regression bugs cost money!

Why should we regression test?

- Ariane 4
 - More than 97%
- Ariane 5
 - Reuse several components of Ariane 4
 - First test flight: crash!
 - No regression tests done
- Estimate cost: **\$350 million - \$2,5 billion**



When should we apply a regression test?



- Regression testing a class
 - A bug fixed in the class
 - When a new subclass has been developed
 - When a superclass is changed
 - When a server class is changed
- Regression testing a system/subsystem
 - When a new build is available

Regression testing procedure

- Basic regression testing procedure
 1. Remove broken test cases from the original test suite
 2. Choose a full or a reduced regression test suite
 3. Set up test configuration
 4. Run the regression test suite
 5. Take appropriate action for any failed tests

Management of regression test suites



- Keep regression test suite as small as possible
- Prune tests that never generate failures
 - some parts of the code are solid, do not need testing
 - this can be inferred from a lack of failures over several cycles of regression testing
 - a persistent bug may have generated a large number of tests: once it is fixed, these can be reduced
- Occasionally bring back pruned tests for a cycle of testing
- A high level of test automation implies less need for pruning
 - assuming CPU cycles are not a limiting resource

Management of regression test suites - 2



- Regression test suite evolves with application
- Merge the component scope test cases developed for the new delta functionality
- Regression test suites decay:
 - Broken test cases
 - Cannot be run any more
 - Obsolete test cases
 - No clear purpose for the test cases
 - Uncontrollable test cases
 - Depends on states or inputs out of control
 - Redundant test cases


Management of regression test suites - 3



- Example of aerospace manufacturer if test suites are not pruned
 - 165,000 test cases in suite, unacceptable test run time
 - Analysis
 - ran on instrumented build
 - 90% of test cases were redundant
 - many segments of application not reached
 - Solution
 - after cleanup, 18,000 test cases remained
 - about 3000 new test cases
- In a study by Jones
 - 30% of regression test cases were duplicates
 - 12% contained errors

Safe regression test selection



- Time and cost constraints may require to reduce regression test suite
- 
- A cartoon illustration of a person with brown hair and glasses, looking stressed and overwhelmed. They are surrounded by a large, messy pile of colorful papers (pink, yellow, blue, and green). One paper is flying off the pile towards the top right.
- Given an IUT, a set of test cases T for IUT, and a modified version IUT' , a selection technique is safe if,
 - For any test case t in T that is not select, IUT and IUT' will yield identical outputs on t
 - A trivial safe selection technique
 - Select all tests cases in T

Test selection strategies

- Safe strategies
 - *Retest all*
 - *Retest changed code*
 - *Retest within firewall*
- Unsafe strategies
 - *Retest risky use cases*
 - *Retest by profile*

Retest All

- Intent
 - Rerun the entire baseline test suite on a delta build
- Context
 - Can be applied at any scope
- Fault Model
 - Catch any kind of regression fault
- Strategy
 - Rerun baseline test suite after removing broken test cases

Retest All - 2

- Entry criteria
 - The delta components pass component scope testing
 - A suitable baseline test suite exists
- Exit criteria
 - All no pass test cases reveal bugs whose presence and severity are deemed acceptable
 - All remaining test cases pass
- Consequences
 - Is safe
 - Has the lowest risk of missing a regression fault
 - General
 - But highest test cost



Retest Risky Use Cases

- Intent
 - Consider a sub-set of baseline test suite
 - Use risk-based heuristics to select a partial test suite
- Context
 - Full regression run has a high cost
 - How to select a subset of the baseline test suite?
- Entry and exit criteria
 - As in *Retest All*

Retest Risky Use Cases - 2

- Strategy
 - Apply a risk criteria to select the subset
 - Suspicious use cases
 - Depend on components that are unstable or unproven, have a complex implementation, where subject to a lot of modifications or have not been shown to work together before
 - Critical use cases
- Consequences
 - Unsafe: Moderate risk of missing a regression fault
 - Low cost of analysis and setup

Retest by Profile



- Intent
 - Use a budget-constrained operational profile to select a partial regression test suite
- Context
 - Given a deadline or budget, how to select a subset of the baseline test suite?
- Strategy
 - Select test cases proportional to relative frequency of each use case
 - And consider total budget for regression time

Retest by Profile - 2

- Entry and exit criteria
 - Same as *Retest All*
- Consequences
 - *Requires* that baseline test suite was developed using *Allocate Test by Profile*
 - A low selection analysis
 - Unsafe
 - Moderate risk of missing a regression fault

Retest Changed Code

- Intent
 - Use code change analysis to select partial regression test suite
- Context
 - Full regression run has a high cost
 - How to select a subset of the baseline test suite?
- Entry and Exit Criteria
 - Same as *Retest All*

Test model

- Primary goal for regression test selection
 - Find baseline test cases that will reveal regression faults
- Regression faults are related to new, modified or deleted code
- Need to compare each segment in baseline and delta components

Test Procedure

1. Use a coverage analyzer to list the codes segments exercised per test case
2. Use a control version-based tool to generate the differences between baseline and delta
 - Mark each segment as *new*, *changed*, *same* and *deleted*
3. Regression test suite should include all baseline test cases that exercise segments marked as *changed* or *deleted*

Strategy: Test procedure

1. Obtain a report from coverage analyzer, that lists code segments by test case

Test case	Code segments
T1	B1,B3,B6,B7
T2	B1,B4,B8
T3	B2,B5

Mapping
B1: T1,T2
B2: T3
B3: T1
B4: T2
B5: T3
B6: T1
B7: T1
B8: T2

2. Compute test cases per segment

Strategy: Test Procedure - 2

3. Use a version control tool to generate a report on the changes between baseline & delta

Segment	Change
B1	Same
B2	Deleted
B3	Changed
B4	Same
B5	Same
B6	Changed
B7	Same
B8	Same

4. Selection rules for test cases:
- Tests under **same** and **new**:
 - skip**
 - Tests under **delete** and **changed**:
 - include**



Mapping
B1: T1,T2
B2: T3
B3: T1
B4: T2
B5: T3
B6: T1
B7: T1
B8: T2



T1
T3

Consequences

- It is safe
 - All baselines test cases that can produce a different result are selected
- Cost (in time) can be high due to the dependency analysis involved