BEDSAT: Antarctica

Exploring what lies beneath using big data and modelling

Ana Fabela Hinojosa[1]
December, 2024

Supervisors:
Dr. Felicity McCormack
Dr Jason Roberts
Dr Richard Jones

Panel:
Dr. ???
Dr ???
Dr ???

[1] ana.fabelahinojosa1@monash.edu

Abstract

Antarctica has been losing ice mass over recent decades, contributing to rising sea levels (SLR). There is significant uncertainty regarding the extent and timing of this ice loss. One key factor influencing ice flow and loss is bed topography, typically derived from sparse airborne radar surveys. The uncertainty in the data can impact simulations of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) evolution under climate change. We need alternative approaches to surveying Antarctica and given the logistical challenges we plan on modelling the bed topography. Our model's accuracy (surely?) depends on the spacing of ice thickness measurements and uncertainties in ice velocity (SOMETHING I AM WORKING ON understanding rn) and surface mass balance. BedSAT, aims to leverage the mathematical relationship between ice surface elevation (data we have?) and bed topography to estimate the actual bed topography of a surrounding spatial region (in 2D?).

Antarctica's Landscape: Climate Impacts and Global Significance

The polar regions are losing ice, and their oceans are changing rapidly [1]. The consequences of this polar transition extend to the whole planet and it is crucial for us to understand them and plan for changes. However, there is significant uncertainty in the timing and magnitude of Antarctica's ice loss, largely due to unknowns in ice sheet properties and associated flow processes [2].

- Climate-induced changes in seasonal ice extent and thickness are affecting sea ice and ocean layers, which impacts marine plant growth (highly likely). This alters ecosystems (moderately likely). The timing and amount of plant growth have changed in both polar oceans, varying by location (highly likely). In Antarctica, these changes relate to retreating glaciers and sea ice change (moderately likely). In the Arctic, they've affected the types, locations, and numbers of marine species, changing ecosystem structure (moderately likely) [1].

- The rapid ice loss from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the early 21st century has increased into the near present day, adding to the ice sheet contribution to global sea level rise (SLR)(extremely likely) [1].

- Both polar oceans will be increasingly affected by CO_2 uptake, causing conditions corrosive for calcium carbonate shell-producing organisms (high confidence), with associated impacts on marine organisms and ecosystems (medium confidence) [1].

- Thermohaline circulation changes: a large-scale system of ocean currents driven by differences in water temperature (thermo) and salinity (haline), these factors affect the density of seawater. Thermohaline circulation plays a critical role in regulating Earth's climate and distributing heat and nutrients across the globe. Warm surface waters flow from the tropics toward the poles, where they cool and sink, forming deep-water currents. These deep waters then travel along the ocean floor toward the equator, eventually rising to the surface through a process called upwelling, bringing cold, nutrient-rich waters to the surface [3]. Altering the salt concentration in the Antarctic ocean due to the melting of the ice sheet could result in disruptions to this circulation and could have significant consequences for global weather systems.

Unlike the Arctic, which has seen uniform warming, Antarctica's temperature changes have been less consistent. West Antarctica has warmed in some parts. East Antarctica hasn't shown significant overall change. in the past 3-5 decades. There's low confidence in these observations due to limited data and high variability [1].

Atmospheric factors influencing Antarctic climate:

- Southern Annular Mode (SAM)
  Recent changes in the Southern Annular Mode (SAM): The SAM has been mostly positive in recent decades during summer. This means stronger westerly winds around Antarctica. This positive phase is unprecedented in at least 600 years. It's associated with cooler conditions over Antarctica [1].
  Causes of SAM changes: Ozone depletion was likely the main driver of SAM changes from the late 1970s to late 1990s. Since 2000, tropical sea surface temperatures have played a stronger role in influencing SAM [1].
  Other influences on Antarctic climate: Tropical sea surface temperatures can affect Antarctic temperatures and Southern Hemisphere mid-latitude circulation [3].
- Pacific South American mode
- Zonal-wave 3

Other factors influencing Antarctic climate:

- Antarctica isn't warming as much as the Arctic because the Southern Ocean surrounding Antarctica absorbs and mixes heat deep into the ocean [4].

The importance of bed topography

Bed topography is one of the most crucial boundary conditions that influences ice flow and loss from the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) [5]. Bed topography datasets are typically generated from airborne radar surveys, which are sparse and unevenly distributed across the Antarctic continent,. Interpolation schemes to "gap fill" these sparse datasets yield bed topography estimates that have high uncertainties (i.e. multiple hundreds of metres uncertainty; Morlighem et al., 2020), which propagate in simulations of AIS evolution under climate change [6]. Given the logistical challenges of accessing large parts of the Antarctic continent, there is a crucial need for alternative approaches that integrate diverse data streams – including satellite data – to derive bed topography.

Topographic Models of Antarctica: A Review

Numerical modelling and sedimentary sequence interpretation suggest cyclical periods of ice-sheet expansion and retreat [7]. Using ice-penetrating radar data to generate a new basal bed topography of the Aurora Subglacial Basin (ASB) in east Antarctica is characterised by a fjord landscape (this land is under $\sim$ under $2 - 4.5$ km of ice). The ASB has a potentially significant influence on the east Antarctic ice-sheet (EAIS), however there is high uncertainty in estimates of past and present global sea level changes due to the scarcity of bed data [7]. This uncertainty also limits the accuracy of models used to predict future ice sheet growth or decay.

Methods in [7]

1. A ski-equipped airplane (DC-3T) carried a radar system (HiCARS), which can see through ice. HiCARS sends signals that bounce back to show the thickness of the ice and the shape of the land beneath it.

2. The plane flew back and forth over a large area, covering distances of around 1,000 km. The flights took place over two different periods in 2008–2009 and 2009–2010.

3. The radar data was cleaned up (processed) to improve accuracy, and they used a special radar system that helps reduce distortions (errors) in the measurements. **[HOW?]**

4. Thickness of the ice was measured using the time it took for the radar signals to travel through the ice and back, assuming the radar signals move through the ice at a specific speed (169 meters per microsecond).

5. The height of the land below the ice was calculated by looking at the radar-determined surface of the ice. **[WHAT?]**

6. The radar data was combined with other existing datasets (BEDMAP) to improve the overall picture. They used a computer algorithm to fill in gaps where they didn't have direct measurements. **[WHICH?]**

7. Determining how rough or uneven the land under the ice was, by using a statistical measure called the "root mean squared (rms) deviation."

In short, Young et al. used advanced radar technology on an airplane to map the ice thickness and the landscape beneath it in a region of Antarctica, combining this data with previous maps for a better overall picture.

968 words in this section.

Ice is weird

A Newtonian fluid (like water) has a constant viscosity regardless of the flow conditions, while a non-Newtonian fluid's viscosity changes based on factors like strain-rate. The viscosity of ice is not constant.depends on how much it is deforming (shear rate). Ice is a slow, shear-thinning fluid. “shear-thinning" means that the viscosity of ice decreases with increasing strain rate. This means that under more strain forces ice becomes "softer" and flows more easily.“Slow" means that the flow of ice occurs at very low velocities

$$\rho(\vec{u_t} + \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{u}) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

i.e. the change in flow velocity (time dependent and convective) is approximately zero. This assumption greatly simplifies the Navier-Stokes equations for ice flow. The equations we use to are the incompressibility condition

$$\nabla \vec{u} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

i.e. the divergence of the velocity field is zero. The force balance equation

$$-\nabla p + \nabla \cdot \tau_{ij} + \rho g, = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

i.e. the pressure gradient, the divergence of the stress tensor (viscous forces within the ice) and the gravitational body force acting on the ice all cancel out, dnd finally Glen's flow law

$$D_{ij} = A\tau^n \tau_{ij}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the strain rate tensor D_{ij}, which describes how fast the ice is deforming is proportional to the deviatoric stress tensor τ_{ij} and it's magnitude τ, which accounts for the stress caused by deformation (as opposed to isotropic stress like pressure). The flow law exponent n determines how strongly the flow rate depends on stress. For ice, Glen's law uses $n = 3$, which implies a nonlinear relationship between stress and strain rate, meaning the flow rate accelerates rapidly with increased stress [8].

This model does not have time derivatives anymore, this means that a time-stepping ice sheet program recomputes the full velocity field at every time step and does not require velocity information from the previous time step.

Shallow Ice Approximation

(Bons et al 2018) Glen's law exponent n can range from 1 to 5???woah!?
low driving stresses make SIA fail?

293 words in this section.

Numerics

Ice-sheet models (ISM) essentially focus in modelling the change in mass from snow fall and snow melt onto the glacier (the glacier's mass balance). This is usually calculated through degree day or energy balance models. ISMs must compute the flow of ice downslope under its own weight [9].

Whole-ice-sheet modelling of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) involves simulation of surface mass fluxes (snowfall, wind drift, sublimation,melting followed by run off or refreezing), sinking or rising of the underlying bedrock in response to changing ice load heat transfer under the ice and into the bedrock affecting melting/frozen regions and the deformation rate of ice, interactions of ice shelves with the ocean and more [10]

crucial input data such as bed elevation,surface elevation, and ice thickness [10]



figure modified from Schoof (2007)

- coordinates t, x, y, z (with z vertical, positive upward)
- subscripts for partial derivatives $u_x = \partial u/\partial x$
- H = ice thickness
- h = ice surface elevation
- b = bedrock surface elevation
- T = temperature
- $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ = ice velocity
- ρ = density of ice
- ρ_w = density of ocean water
- g = acceleration of gravity
- $n = 3$ Glen flow law exponent = 3
- $A = A(T)$ = ice softness in Glen law ($\mathbf{D}_{ij} = A(T)\tau^{n-1}\tau_{ij}$)

Figure 4.1: figure taken from [8]

ISSM: Continental-Scale Ice Sheet Modelling

The Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM) was developed and is used for simulating ice sheet flow at continental scales. ISSM, a finite element, thermomechanical model, incorporates

high-order stresses and high spatial resolution capabilities [11]. The Larour et al. (2012) ISSM paper discusses the different ice flow models within the software, including the full-Stokes, Blatter-Pattyn, Shallow-Shelf, and Shallow Ice Approximations, highlighting their individual strengths and limitations. It also explores numerical methods employed, such as static adaptive mesh refinement and inverse methods for parameter estimation. Finally, the study showcases the application of ISSM to the Greenland Ice Sheet, demonstrating its capacity to model the ice flow velocity with high accuracy, using data assimilation techniques to infer the basal drag coefficient.

247 words in this section.

Timeline


2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb March April May June July Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb March April May June July Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb March April May June July Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar
Project Initiation
Literature review
ISSM software onboarding
Objective 1
Derive the BedSAT method
Develop methodology into ISSM
Complete model experiments
Data analysis & Objective 1 paper
Objective 2
Apply methodology Antarctica wide
Develop Antarctica wide topography data set
Data analysis & Objective 2 paper
Objective 3
Conduct sensitivity analysis of model predictions
Data analysis & Objective 3 paper
Thesis Development
Writing
Professional Development
MyDevelopment Courses
AARC
KS
Milestones
Initial Review
Confirmation
Mid-candidature Review
Final Review
Thesis Submission

Bibliography

[1] M. Meredith, M. Sommerkorn, S. Cassotta, C. Derksen, A. Ekaykin, A. Hollowed, G. Kofinas, A. Mackintosh, J. Melbourne-Thomas, M. M. C. Muelbert, G. Ottersen, H. Pritchard, and E. A. G. Schuur. *The Ocean and Cryosphere in a changing climate*, pages 203—-320. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2019). DOI: 10.1017/9781009157964.005. 3, 4

[2] B. Fox-Kemper, H. T. Hewitt, C. Xiao, G. Aðalgeirsdóttir, S. S. Drijfhout, T. L. Edwards, N. R. Golledge, M. Hemer, R. E. Kopp, G. Krinner, A. Mix, D. Notz, S. Nowicki, I. S. Nurhati, L. Ruiz, J.-B. Sallée, A. B. A. Slangen, and Y. Yu. *Ocean, Cryosphere and Sea Level Change*, pages 1211–1362. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2021). DOI: 10.1017/9781009157896.011. 3

[3] S. S. Jacobs. *Bottom water production and its links with the thermohaline circulation.* Antarctic Science **16**, 427–437 (2004). DOI: 10.1017/S095410200400224X. 3, 4

[4] M. Collins, R. Knutti, J. Arblaster, J.-L. Dufresne, T. Fichefet, P. Friedlingstein, X. Gao, W. J. Gutowski, T. Johns, G. Krinner, M. Shongwe, C. Tebaldi, A. J. Weaver, M. F. Wehner, M. R. Allen, T. Andrews, U. Beyerle, C. M. Bitz, S. Bony, and B. B. B. Booth. *Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments, and Irreversibility*, pages 1029–1136. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2013). 4

[5] M. Morlighem, E. Rignot, T. Binder, D. Blankenship, R. Drews, G. Eagles, O. Eisen, F. Ferraccioli, R. Forsberg, P. Fretwell, V. Goel, J. S. Greenbaum, H. Gudmundsson, J. Guo, V. Helm, C. Hofstede, I. Howat, A. Humbert, W. Jokat, N. B. Karlsson, W. L. Lee, K. Matsuoka, R. Millan, J. Mouginot, J. Paden, F. Pattyn, J. Roberts, S. Rosier, A. Ruppel, H. Seroussi, E. C. Smith, D. Steinhage, B. Sun, M. R. van den Broeke, T. D. van Ommen, M. van Wessem, and D. A. Young. *Deep glacial troughs and stabilizing ridges unveiled beneath the margins of the Antarctic ice sheet.* Nature Geoscience **13**, 132 (2020). DOI: 10.1038/s41561-019-0510-8. 4

[6] B. A. Castleman, N. J. Schlegel, L. Caron, E. Larour, and A. Khazendar. *Derivation of bedrock topography measurement requirements for the reduction of uncertainty in ice-sheet model projections of Thwaites Glacier.* The Cryosphere **16**, 761 (2022). DOI: 10.5194/tc-16-761-2022. 4

[7] D. A. Young, A. P. Wright, J. L. Roberts, R. C. Warner, N. W. Young, J. S. Greenbaum, D. M. Schroeder, J. W. Holt, D. E. Sugden, D. D. Blankenship, and T. D. van Ommen. *A dynamic early East Antarctic Ice Sheet suggested by ice-covered fjord landscapes.* Nature **474**, 72 (2011). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10114. 4

[8] E. Bueler. *Numerical modelling of glaciers, ice sheets, and ice shelves*, (2016). University of Alaska, Fairbanks. 7, 10

[9] B. Davies. *AntarcticGlaciers.org: East Antarctic Ice Sheet*, (2024). 10

[10] P. Huybrechts. *Ice sheet modeling.* In B. Riffenburgh (editor), *Encyclopedia of the Antarctic*, volume 1, pages 514–517. Routledge (2007). 10

[11] E. Larour, H. Seroussi, M. Morlighem, and E. Rignot. *Continental scale, high order, high spatial resolution, ice sheet modeling using the Ice Sheet System Model*, (2012). J. Geophys. Res., 117, F01022. DOI: https://doi:10.1029/2011JF002140. 11