

BEDSAT:Antarctica

random writings

Ana Fabela Hinojosa¹

Supervisors:

Dr. Felicity McCormack

Dr Jason Roberts

Dr Richard Jones



MONASH University

SCHOOL OF EARTH, ATMOSPHERE AND ENVIRONMENT

October, 2024

¹ana.fabelah@gmail.com

Abstract

Antarctica has been losing ice mass over recent decades, contributing to rising sea levels (SLR). There is significant uncertainty regarding the extent and timing of this ice loss. One key factor influencing ice flow and loss is bed topography, typically derived from sparse airborne radar surveys. The uncertainty in the data can impact simulations of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) evolution under climate change. We need alternative approaches to surveying Antarctica and given the logistical challenges we plan on modelling the bed topography. Our model's accuracy (surely?) depends on the spacing of ice thickness measurements and uncertainties in ice velocity (SOMETHING I AM WORKING ON understanding rn) and surface mass balance. BedSAT, aims to leverage the mathematical relationship between ice surface elevation (data we have?) and bed topography to estimate the actual bed topography of a surrounding spatial region (in 2D?).

Ana's plan

I've asked ChatGPT to build me a syllabus:

1. **Fluid Mechanics:** Conservation of mass, momentum (Navier-Stokes equations), incompressible flows.
2. Rheology and Non-Newtonian Fluids




Figure 1.1: Newtonian vs. non-Newtonian behaviour, Shear Stress and shear Rate relationships.

3. Stokes' equations. Methods for solving PDEs, boundary conditions, numerical methods.
4. Glaciology Key Topics: Ice deformation, creep processes, thermal effects.
5. Numerical Methods for solving the Stokes equations can help since these equations often don't have analytical solutions.

76 words in this section.

Ice is weird

2.1 Temperate Ice Sliding: An Empirical Study

The main objectives described in [1] are to describe the relationship between forces and ice movement (sliding) over different surfaces, and how the moving ice affects the surfaces over which they slide.

1. How did the researchers apply normal and shear stresses to the ice blocks in their experiments?
2. Describe the relationship between limiting static shear stress and normal load observed in the experiments.
3. How did sliding velocity vary with shear stress and normal stress at low normal loads?
4. What was the significance of the product ($T_m V_b$) in the experiments?
5. How did the relationship between sliding velocity and shear stress change at high normal loads?
6. What effect does an increase in the water table have on the effective normal stress acting on the glacier base?
7. Explain how the study's findings might help explain the high velocities observed in fast-outlet polar glaciers.
8. What was the observed relationship between erosion and the experimental parameters (normal stress, shear stress, and velocity)?
9. Why did the researchers conclude that ice deformation, rather than regelation, plays a dominant role in the observed sliding behaviour?

Essay Questions

1. Discuss the limitations of the experimental setup used in the study and how these limitations might affect the applicability of the findings to real-world glacier systems.
2. Compare and contrast the roles of regelation and ice deformation in glacier sliding, drawing upon the findings of the study to support your arguments.

3. Analyze how the study's results contribute to a better understanding of the dynamics of glacier surges, focusing on the factors that lead to the onset and propagation of surge events.
4. Explain the concept of effective normal stress in the context of glacier sliding and discuss how variations in basal water pressure can influence glacier flow.
5. Critically evaluate the significance of the study's findings for modelling glacier behaviour and predicting future glacier response to climate change.

303 words in this section.

Topographic Models of Antarctica: A Review

Numerical modelling and sedimentary sequence interpretation suggest cyclical periods of ice-sheet expansion and retreat [2]. Using ice-penetrating radar data to generate a new basal bed topography of the Aurora Subglacial Basin (ASB) in east Antarctica is characterised by a fjord landscape (this land is under \sim under 2 – 4.5 km of ice). The ASB has a potentially significant influence on the east Antarctic ice-sheet (EAIS), however there is high uncertainty in estimates of past and present global sea level changes due to the scarcity of bed data [2]. This uncertainty also limits the accuracy of models used to predict future ice sheet growth or decay.

Methods in [2]

1. A ski-equipped airplane (DC-3T) carried a radar system (HiCARS), which can see through ice. HiCARS sends signals that bounce back to show the thickness of the ice and the shape of the land beneath it.
2. The plane flew back and forth over a large area, covering distances of around 1,000 km. The flights took place over two different periods in 2008–2009 and 2009–2010.
3. The radar data was cleaned up (processed) to improve accuracy, and they used a special radar system that helps reduce distortions (errors) in the measurements. [HOW?]
4. Thickness of the ice was measured using the time it took for the radar signals to travel through the ice and back, assuming the radar signals move through the ice at a specific speed (169 meters per microsecond).
5. The height of the land below the ice was calculated by looking at the radar-determined surface of the ice. [WHAT?]
6. The radar data was combined with other existing datasets (BEDMAP) to improve the overall picture. They used a computer algorithm to fill in gaps where they didn't have direct measurements. [WHICH?]
7. Determining how rough or uneven the land under the ice was, by using a statistical measure called the "root mean squared (rms) deviation."

In short, Young et al. used advanced radar technology on an airplane to map the ice thickness and the landscape beneath it in a region of Antarctica, combining this data with previous maps for a better overall picture.

345 words in this section.

Why Understanding Antarctica's Landscape Matters: Climate Impacts and Global Significance

The polar regions are losing ice, and their oceans are changing rapidly [3]. The consequences of this polar transition extend to the whole planet and it is crucial for us to understand them and plan for changes.

- Climate-induced changes in seasonal ice extent and thickness are affecting sea ice and ocean layers, which impacts marine plant growth (highly likely). This alters ecosystems (moderately likely). The timing and amount of plant growth have changed in both polar oceans, varying by location (highly likely). In Antarctica, these changes relate to retreating glaciers and sea ice change (moderately likely). In the Arctic, they've affected the types, locations, and numbers of marine species, changing ecosystem structure (moderately likely) [3].
- The rapid ice loss from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets during the early 21st century has increased into the near present day, adding to the ice sheet contribution to global sea level rise (SLR)(extremely likely) [3].
- Both polar oceans will be increasingly affected by CO₂ uptake, causing conditions corrosive for calcium carbonate shell-producing organisms (high confidence), with associated impacts on marine organisms and ecosystems (medium confidence) [3].
- Thermohaline circulation changes: a large-scale system of ocean currents driven by differences in water temperature (thermo) and salinity (haline), these factors affect the density of seawater. Thermohaline circulation plays a critical role in regulating Earth's climate and distributing heat and nutrients across the globe. Warm surface waters flow from the tropics toward the poles, where they cool and sink, forming deep-water currents. These deep waters then travel along the ocean floor toward the equator, eventually rising to the surface through a process called upwelling, bringing cold, nutrient-rich waters to the surface [4]. Altering the salt concentration in the Antarctic ocean due to the melting of the ice sheet could result in disruptions to this circulation and could have significant consequences for global weather systems.

Unlike the Arctic, which has seen uniform warming, Antarctica's temperature changes have been less consistent. West Antarctica has warmed in some parts. East Antarctica hasn't shown significant overall change. in the past 3-5 decades. There's low confidence in these observations due to limited data and high variability [3].

Atmospheric factors influencing Antarctic climate:

- Southern Annular Mode (SAM)

Recent changes in the Southern Annular Mode (SAM): The SAM has been mostly positive in recent decades during summer. This means stronger westerly winds around Antarctica. This positive phase is unprecedented in at least 600 years. It's associated with cooler conditions over Antarctica [3].

Causes of SAM changes: Ozone depletion was likely the main driver of SAM changes from the late 1970s to late 1990s. Since 2000, tropical sea surface temperatures have played a stronger role in influencing SAM [3].

Other influences on Antarctic climate: Tropical sea surface temperatures can affect Antarctic temperatures and Southern Hemisphere mid-latitude circulation [4].

- Pacific South American mode
- Zonal-wave 3

Other factors influencing Antarctic climate:

- Antarctica isn't warming as much as the Arctic because the Southern Ocean surrounding Antarctica absorbs and mixes heat deep into the ocean [5].

487 words in this section.

Glossary of Key Terms

1. Temperate ice: Ice at or near its pressure-melting point.
2. Sliding: The movement of a glacier over its bed by sliding rather than internal deformation.
3. Basal sliding: Sliding occurring at the base of a glacier.
4. Normal stress (N): The force acting perpendicular to a surface, per unit area. In the context of glaciers, it is primarily the weight of the overlying ice.
5. Shear stress (T): The force acting parallel to a surface, per unit area. In the context of glaciers, it is the force driving glacier motion.
6. Limiting static shear stress (TS): The minimum shear stress required to initiate sliding from a resting position.
7. Coefficient of static friction (μ_s): The ratio of the limiting static shear stress to the normal stress, indicating the resistance to sliding from rest.
8. Steady-state velocity (V_b): The constant velocity reached by a glacier or ice block when the driving shear stress is balanced by resisting forces.
9. Limiting dynamic shear stress (T_m): The shear stress at which a glacier or ice block transitions from steady-state sliding to accelerated sliding.
10. Coefficient of sliding friction (μ): The ratio of the shear stress to the normal stress during steady-state sliding.
11. Regelation: The process of melting under pressure and refreezing at lower pressure, potentially contributing to ice sliding.
12. Asperity: A protrusion or bump on a surface. Roughness The unevenness of a surface, characterized by the size and distribution of asperities.
- 13.

WHAT ARE THESE

- Channel incision
- Alpine style glaciation

TOOLS

- ICECAP aero geophysical programme
- BEDMAP

MATHS

- Lagrangian interpolation
- natural-neighbour interpolation

Bibliography

- [1] W. F. Budd, P. L. Keage, and N. A. Blundy. *Empirical studies of ice sliding*. Journal of Glaciology **23**, 157–170 (1979). DOI: [10.3189/S0022143000029804](https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000029804). 5
- [2] D. A. Young, A. P. Wright, J. L. Roberts, R. C. Warner, N. W. Young, J. S. Greenbaum, D. M. Schroeder, J. W. Holt, D. E. Sugden, D. D. Blankenship, and T. D. van Ommen. *A dynamic early East Antarctic Ice Sheet suggested by ice-covered fjord landscapes*. Nature **474**, 72 (2011). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10114>. 8
- [3] M. Meredith, M. Sommerkorn, S. Cassotta, C. Derksen, A. Ekaykin, A. Hollowed, G. Kofinas, A. Mackintosh, J. Melbourne-Thomas, M. M. C. Muelbert, G. Ottersen, H. Pritchard, and E. A. G. Schuur. *The Ocean and Cryosphere in a changing climate*, pages 203—320. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA (2019). DOI: [10.1017/9781009157964.005](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157964.005). 10, 11
- [4] S. S. JACOBS. *Bottom water production and its links with the thermohaline circulation*. Antarctic Science **16**, 427–437 (2004). DOI: [10.1017/S095410200400224X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S095410200400224X). 10, 11
- [5] M. Collins, R. Knutti, J. Arblaster, J.-L. Dufresne, T. Fichefet, P. Friedlingstein, X. Gao, W. J. Gutowski, T. Johns, G. Krinner, M. Shongwe, C. Tebaldi, A. J. Weaver, M. F. Wehner, M. R. Allen, T. Andrews, U. Beyerle, C. M. Bitz, S. Bony, and B. B. Booth. *Long-term Climate Change: Projections, Commitments, and Irreversibility*, pages 1029–1136. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2013). 11