

## Last time:

- GD convergence depends on fn properties
- A single iteration is expensive i.e., requires 1 pass over data

## This lecture:

- Stochastic Grad. Descent
- Convergence and Comparison with GD.

Property we haven't used yet:

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(w)$$

i.e., the fn is a finite sum

Simple idea: Cheap "local" updates

$$\omega_{k+1} = \omega_k - \gamma \nabla f_{S_k}(x_k)$$

[

- backprop
- perceptron
- PLMS

]

- $S_k \sim$  i.i.d uniform from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$

This step is the answer to a "local" under approx., i.e.,:

$$w_{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ f_{S_k}(w_k) - \left\langle \nabla f_{S_k}(w_k), w_k - w \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \|w_k - w\|^2 \right\}$$

### Remarks:

- Simple to implement
- Small memory + computational footprint
- offers simple algorithmic paradigm around which we can build systems

Moreover:

- cost of 1 step:  $O(d)$
- $E_{S_k} \nabla f_{S_k}(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \nabla f_i(w), \forall w$

"SGD step =  $\ell D$  on average"

Q: • Does it converge?

- How fast? Comparison to  $\ell D$

Let's examine convergence properties of SGD:

$$\omega_{k+1} = \omega_k - \gamma \nabla f_{s_k}(\omega_k)$$

$$s_k \sim \text{uniform } \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

Assume.  $f$  is 2-str. cvx.

- $E \|\nabla f_s(\omega)\|^2 \leq M^2 \quad \forall \omega \Rightarrow \text{Lipschitz}$

Then,

$$\underbrace{\|\omega_{k+1} - \omega^*\|^2}_{\Delta_{k+1}} = \underbrace{\|\omega_k - \omega^*\|^2}_{\Delta_k} - 2\gamma \langle \nabla f_{s_k}(\omega_k), \omega_k - \omega^* \rangle + \gamma^2 \|\nabla f_{s_k}(\omega_k)\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E_{s_1 \dots s_n} \Delta_{k+1} \leq E \Delta_k - 2\gamma E \langle \nabla f_{s_k}(\omega_k), \omega_k - \omega^* \rangle + \gamma^2 M^2$$

Also, observe that:

$$E_{s_1 s_2 \dots s_n} X = E_{s_1 \dots s_{k-1} s_{k+1} \dots s_n} E_{s_k} X$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla f_{S_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle &= \mathbb{E}_{\sim S_k} \mathbb{E}_{S_k} \langle \nabla f_{S_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\sim S_k} \langle \mathbb{E}_{S_k} \nabla f_{S_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\sim S_k} \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{S_1, \dots, S_n} \Delta_{k+1} \leq \mathbb{E} \Delta_k - \mathbb{E}_g \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_k - w^* \rangle + \gamma^2 M^2$$

Due to strong convexity

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(w^*) &\geq f(w) + \langle \nabla f(w), w^* - w \rangle + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w - w^*\|^2 \\
 \Rightarrow \langle \nabla f(w), w - w^* \rangle &\geq \underbrace{f(w) - f(w^*)}_{\geq 0} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w - w^*\|^2 \\
 \Rightarrow \langle \nabla f(w), w - w^* \rangle &\geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w - w^*\|^2 \text{ if } w.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Also true in expectation)

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \Delta_{K+1} &\leq \mathbb{E} \Delta_K - \gamma \lambda \mathbb{E} \Delta_K + \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &= (1-\gamma\lambda) \mathbb{E} \Delta_K + \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\leq (1-\gamma\lambda)^2 \mathbb{E} \Delta_{K+1} + \gamma^2 M^2 + (1-\gamma\lambda) \cdot \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq (1-\gamma\lambda)^{k+1} \mathbb{E} \Delta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^k (1-\gamma\lambda) \gamma^2 M^2 \end{aligned}$$

Due to  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-\alpha)^i \leq 1/\alpha$   $\forall 0 < \alpha < 1$

we obtain:

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \|w_T - w^*\|^2 \leq \underbrace{(1-\gamma\lambda)^T \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}_{\text{Similar to GD}} + \underbrace{\gamma \frac{M^2}{\lambda}}_{\substack{\text{Due to} \\ \text{"variance"}}}$$

We would like the above to be  $\varepsilon$

$$\underbrace{(1-\gamma\lambda)^T \|w_0 - w^*\|^2}_{=\varepsilon/2} + \underbrace{\gamma \frac{M^2}{\lambda}}_{=\varepsilon/2} = \varepsilon$$

From the second term we get

$$\gamma = \frac{\varepsilon \lambda}{2 M^2}$$

From the first term:

$$(1 - \gamma)^T R^2 = \varepsilon/2$$

$$\Rightarrow T \log(1 - \gamma) + 2 \log R = \log \varepsilon/2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow T &= \frac{\log \varepsilon/2 - 2 \log R}{\log(1 - \gamma)} \\ &\leq \frac{\log \varepsilon/2 - 2 \log R}{-\gamma \lambda} \\ &= \frac{2 \log(R/\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon \frac{\lambda}{2 M^2}} \\ &= 4 \frac{M^2}{\lambda^2} \frac{\log(R/\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Comparison with Cd:

SGD on  $\gamma$ -str. cvx +  $M^2$  grad bound

$$T_\varepsilon = O\left(\frac{M^2}{\gamma^2} \log(R/\varepsilon)\right)$$

Cd: on  $\gamma$ -str. cvx +  $B$  smooth:

$$T = O\left(\frac{B}{\gamma} \log(R/\varepsilon)\right)$$

Example:

$$f(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle \omega, x_i \rangle}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\omega\|^2$$

Assm:

$$\|x_i\| = O(\sqrt{d}), \|\omega_0 - \omega^*\| = O(\sqrt{d})$$

$$\text{H}\omega, \|\omega\| \leq O(\sqrt{d}), \gamma = O(1)$$

Then  $f(\omega)$  is

- $O(1)$ -str. conv
- $O(\sqrt{d})$  - Lip
- $O(d)$  - Smooth.
- $M^2 = O(d)$

SGD:

$$T_\varepsilon = O\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma^2} \log\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon}\right)\right) / \varepsilon$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon} \log\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$$

GD:

$$T_\varepsilon = O(d \log(d/\varepsilon))$$

But cost of 1 iter of GD

$$O(nnz(X))$$

cost of 1 iter of SGD  $O\left(\frac{nnz(X)}{n}\right)$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\text{time}(GD, \varepsilon)}{\text{time}(SGD, \varepsilon)} = O\left(\frac{n \text{nz}(A) d \log(d/\varepsilon)}{n \frac{\text{nz}(A)}{\varepsilon} d \log(d/\varepsilon)}\right) = O\left(n \frac{\log(1/\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

$\Rightarrow$  when  $\varepsilon \gg 1/n$  SGD is much faster!

### Remark 1:

Due to ERM concentration with rate  $1/\sqrt{n}$  going for  $4/\sqrt{n}$  error may be "good enough".

### Remark 2:

The above bounds are all in expectation  
Could we improve them?

Simple idea: Use Markov's Ineq.

$$\Pr(|X| > a) \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$$

Remark 3:

GD is trivially parallelizable  
SGD is inherently serial!

How could we parallelize?

Next week:

Tue Lecture : SVRG

Thu. Lecture: RCD + importance sampling.