The data analysis checklist

This checklist provides a condensed look at the information in this book. It can be used as a guide during the process of a data analysis, as a rubric for grading data analysis projects, or as a way to evaluate the quality of a reported data analysis.

I Answering the question

- 1. Did you specify the type of data analytic question (e.g. exploration, association causality) before touching the data?
- 2. Did you define the metric for success before beginning?
- 3. Did you understand the context for the question and the scientific or business application? 4. Did you record the experimental design?
- 5. Did you consider whether the question could be answered with the available data?

II Checking the data

- 1. Did you plot univariate and multivariate summaries of the data?
- 2. Did you check for outliers?
- 3. Did you identify the missing data code?

III Tidying the data

- 1. Is each variable one column?
- 2. Is each observation one row?
- 3. Do different data types appear in each table?
- 4. Did you record the recipe for moving from raw to tidy data?
- 5. Did you create a code book?
- 6. Did you record all parameters, units, and functions applied to the data?

IV Exploratory analysis

- 1. Did you identify missing values?
- 2. Did you make univariate plots (histograms, density plots, boxplots)?
- 3. Did you consider correlations between variables (scatterplots)?
- 4. Did you check the units of all data points to make sure they are in the right range?
- 5. Did you try to identify any errors or miscoding of variables? 6. Did you consider plotting on a log scale?
- 7. Would a scatterplot be more informative?

V Inference

- 1. Did you identify what large population you are trying to describe?
- 2. Did you clearly identify the quantities of interest in your model?
- 3. Did you consider potential confounders?
- 4. Did you identify and model potential sources of correlation such as measurements over time or space?
- 5. Did you calculate a measure of uncertainty for each estimate on the scientific scale?

VI Prediction

- 1. Did you identify in advance your error measure?
- 2. Did you immediately split your data into training and validation?
- 3. Did you use cross validation, resampling, or bootstrapping only on the training data?
- 4. Did you create features using only the training data?
- 5. Did you estimate parameters only on the training data?
- 6. Did you fix all features, parameters, and models before applying to the validation data?
- 7. Did you apply only one final model to the validation data and report the error rate?

VII Causality

- 1. Did you identify whether your study was randomized?
- 2. Did you identify potential reasons that causality may not be appropriate such as confounders, missing data, non-ignorable dropout, or unblinded experiments?
- 2. If not, did you avoid using language that would imply cause and effect?

VIII Written analyses

- 1. Did you describe the question of interest?
- 2. Did you describe the data set, experimental design, and question you are answering?
- 3. Did you specify the type of data analytic question you are answering?
- 4. Did you specify in clear notation the exact model you are fitting?
- 5. Did you explain on the scale of interest what each estimate and measure of uncertainty means?
- 6. Did you report a measure of uncertainty for each estimate on the scientific scale?

IX Figures

- 1. Does each figure communicate an important piece of information or address a question of interest?
- 2. Do all your figures include plain language axis labels?
- 3. Is the font size large enough to read?
- 4. Does every figure have a detailed caption that explains all axes, legends, and trends in the figure?

X Presentations

- 1. Did you lead with a brief, understandable to everyone statement of your problem?
- 2. Did you explain the data, measurement technology, and experimental design before you explained your model?
- 3. Did you explain the features you will use to model data before you explain the model?
- 4. Did you make sure all legends and axes were legible from the back of the room?

XI Reproducibility

- 1. Did you avoid doing calculations manually?
- 2. Did you create a script that reproduces all your analyses?
- 3. Did you save the raw and processed versions of your data?
- 4. Did you record all versions of the software you used to process the data?
- 5. Did you try to have someone else run your analysis code to confirm they got the same answers?

XI R packages

- 1. Did you make your package name "Googleable"
- 2. Did you write unit tests for your functions?
- 3. Did you write help files for all functions?
- 4. Did you write a vignette?
- 5. Did you try to reduce dependencies to actively maintained packages?
- 6. Have you eliminated all errors and warnings from R CMD CHECK?