Open Polytechnic
KURATINI TUWHERA

Assessment Marks: BIT703v1 - Assessment 1	**Result**	**95.00 / 100.00**
	Percentage	**95 %**

Anahita Karpour (5012566)
31 Rotherham Terrace
Miramar
Wellington 6022

Overall Comments

Excellent work :)

Note:
Just a heads up for your 2nd and 3rd assessment - please provide instructions on how to set your instance up as this is not really specified as a deliverable in the assessment. However it does make the marking a lot easier for me. Be sure you provide the files from the www folder level.

I am very familiar with WAMP technology stacks but do require the database SQL for importing, authentication details, expected folder structure/location. This includes any local domain names/virtual hosts you may have used other than the typical 127.0.0.1/localhost. This specifically impacts Wordpress & Joomla instances if you are using them. Its a challenge to mark when attempting to get a CMS up and running with incomplete resources/assets. Be sure to include the username and password you used for the web application as this is typically encrypted and not possible to derive.

1	Section Result	10.00 / 10.00

Project structure is well organised with filetypes in the same directory.
8-10
Files well organised and all included correctly
6-8
Files mostly organised and most included correctly
3-5
Files somewhat organised and some included correctly
0-2
Files not well-organised and some or all not included correctly

Assessment Criteria	Result:	10.00 / 10.00

Project structure is well organised with filetypes in the same directory.
8-10
Files well organised and all included correctly
6-8
Files mostly organised and most included correctly
3-5
Files somewhat organised and some included correctly
0-2
Files not well-organised and some or all not included correctly

Marking Comments

2	Section Result	5.00 / 5.00

Vendor packages have been correctly included in the project.
4-5
Correct vendor packages in vendor folder and included correctly
2-3
Some vendor packages in vendor folder and some included
0-1
No vendor packages, incorrect packages or not included

Assessment Criteria	Result:	5.00 / 5.00

Vendor packages have been correctly included in the project.
4-5
Correct vendor packages in vendor folder and included correctly
2-3
Some vendor packages in vendor folder and some included
0-1
No vendor packages, incorrect packages or not included

Marking Comments

3	Section Result	15.00 / 15.00

HTML is valid. It makes use of semantic tags. The code is tidy and correctly indented.
13-15
Less than ~5 validity errors
All tags indented correctly
No excessive white space
Includes sematic tags such as nav, header, footer where suitable
10-12
Less than ~10 validity errors
Tags are mostly indented correctly
Little excessive white space
Includes some sematic tags
7-9
Less than ~15 validity errors
Some sections are indented correctly
Includes some sematic tags
4-6
Less than ~20 validity errors
Indentation has been attempted
Includes few sematic tags
0-2
More than ~20 validity errors
Indentation has not been attempted
Includes no sematic tags

Assessment Criteria	Result:	15.00 / 15.00

HTML is valid. It makes use of semantic tags. The code is tidy and correctly indented.
13-15
Less than ~5 validity errors
All tags indented correctly
No excessive white space
Includes sematic tags such as nav, header, footer where suitable
10-12
Less than ~10 validity errors
Tags are mostly indented correctly
Little excessive white space
Includes some sematic tags
7-9
Less than ~15 validity errors
Some sections are indented correctly
Includes some sematic tags
4-6
Less than ~20 validity errors
Indentation has been attempted
Includes few sematic tags
0-2
More than ~20 validity errors
Indentation has not been attempted
Includes no sematic tags

Marking Comments

4	Section Result	23.00 / 25.00

Sass is correctly nested, well-formatted and efficient. The code is written in a topdown, general-specific order, with comments and minimal duplication. Variables and

mixins are used to keep the code efficient. Good use is made of third-party mixins.
21-25
Sass nested correctly, but not overly so
Efficient use of nesting to reduce code
Styles are top-down and general-specific
All main blocks are commented
Variables and mixins are used correctly and effectively
Makes effective use of 3rd party mixins
16-20
Sass nested correctly
Efficient use of nesting to somewhat reduce code
Styles are mostly top-down and general-specific
Most main blocks are commented
Variables and mixins are used correctly
Makes use of 3rd party mixins
11-15
Sass mostly nested correctly
Use of nesting could be more efficient
Some styles are mostly top-down and general-specific
Some main blocks are commented
Variables and mixins are used correctly
Does not use 3rd party mixins
6-10
Some sass is nested correctly
Use of nesting is inefficient
Few styles are top-down and general-specific
Some comments are used
Variables and/or mixins are attempted
Does not use 3rd party mixins
0-5
Sass is not nested correctly
Use of nesting is not effective or not evident
Styles are not organised in order
No comments are evident
Variables and/or mixins are not attempted
Does not use 3rd party mixins

Assessment Criteria	Result:	23.00 / 25.00

Sass is correctly nested, well-formatted and efficient. The code is written in a topdown, general-specific order, with comments and minimal duplication. Variables and
mixins are used to keep the code efficient. Good use is made of third-party mixins.
21-25
Sass nested correctly, but not overly so
Efficient use of nesting to reduce code
Styles are top-down and general-specific
All main blocks are commented
Variables and mixins are used correctly and effectively
Makes effective use of 3rd party mixins
16-20
Sass nested correctly
Efficient use of nesting to somewhat reduce code
Styles are mostly top-down and general-specific
Most main blocks are commented
Variables and mixins are used correctly
Makes use of 3rd party mixins
11-15
Sass mostly nested correctly
Use of nesting could be more efficient
Some styles are mostly top-down and general-specific
Some main blocks are commented
Variables and mixins are used correctly
Does not use 3rd party mixins
6-10
Some sass is nested correctly
Use of nesting is inefficient
Few styles are top-down and general-specific
Some comments are used

Variables and/or mixins are attempted
Does not use 3rd party mixins
0-5
Sass is not nested correctly
Use of nesting is not effective or not evident
Styles are not organised in order
No comments are evident
Variables and/or mixins are not attempted
Does not use 3rd party mixins

Marking Comments

Your SASS files are missing comments

5	**Section Result**	**23.00 / 25.00**

Responsive breakpoints are correctly written to create graceful transition through all
the following key Bootstrap 4 breakpoints while maintaining an effective design:
< 540px
540px - 719px
720px - 959px
960px - 1139px
> 1140px
While transitioning through all Bootstrap 4 key breakpoints:
21-25
All text remains legible and scales appropriately
All images and UI elements remain legible and usable and scale appropriately
Menu changes to a usable format at < 960px and < 720px
Menu remains simple to operate throughout
Each section maintains effective padding and margins
16-20
All text remains legible
All images and UI elements remain mostly legible and usable
Menu changes to a more usable format at < 960px and < 720px
Menu is able to be operated throughout
Most sections maintain effective padding and margins
11-15
Most text remains legible
Most images and UI elements remain legible and usable
Menu changes at < 960px and < 720px
Menu is able to be operated on mobile and full-screen
Some sections maintain effective padding and margins
6-10
Some text remains legible
Some images and UI elements remain legible and usable
Menu changes at < 960px or < 720px
Menu is able to be operated on mobile
One or more section maintains effective padding and margins
0-5
Most text becomes illegible on smaller viewports
Images and UI elements fail to remain legible and/or usable
Menu does not change on smaller viewports
Menu is unable to be operated on mobile
Sections fail to maintain effective padding and margins

Assessment Criteria	**Result:**	**23.00 / 25.00**

Responsive breakpoints are correctly written to create graceful transition through all
the following key Bootstrap 4 breakpoints while maintaining an effective design:
< 540px
540px - 719px
720px - 959px
960px - 1139px
> 1140px

While transitioning through all Bootstrap 4 key breakpoints:
21-25
All text remains legible and scales appropriately
All images and UI elements remain legible and usable and scale appropriately
Menu changes to a usable format at < 960px and < 720px
Menu remains simple to operate throughout
Each section maintains effective padding and margins
16-20
All text remains legible
All images and UI elements remain mostly legible and usable
Menu changes to a more usable format at < 960px and < 720px
Menu is able to be operated throughout
Most sections maintain effective padding and margins
11-15
Most text remains legible
Most images and UI elements remain legible and usable
Menu changes at < 960px and < 720px
Menu is able to be operated on mobile and full-screen
Some sections maintain effective padding and margins
6-10
Some text remains legible
Some images and UI elements remain legible and usable
Menu changes at < 960px or < 720px
Menu is able to be operated on mobile
One or more section maintains effective padding and margins
0-5
Most text becomes illegible on smaller viewports
Images and UI elements fail to remain legible and/or usable
Menu does not change on smaller viewports
Menu is unable to be operated on mobile
Sections fail to maintain effective padding and margins

Marking Comments

Some jarring of the of the "logo" image during transitions

6	Section Result	9.00 / 10.00

The page has smooth animations of appropriate length for all transitions. User
interactions are graceful and work as expected.
8-10
The document scrolls smoothly when using anchor navigation
Menu anchor items change to active when entering the viewport
SVGs animate to the correct final value on scrolling into viewport
All hover and click events have smooth animations
All animations occur at a suitable speed
6-8
The document scrolls when using anchor navigation
Menu anchor items change to active when on page
SVGs animate on scrolling into viewport
Most hover and click events have smooth animations
Most animations occur at a suitable speed
3-5
The document makes use of anchor navigation
Menu anchor items change to active when clicked
SVGs animate
Some hover and click events have smooth animations
Some animations occur at a suitable speed
0-2
The document does not make use of anchor navigation
Menu anchor items do not change to active
SVGs fail to animate
Hover and click events lack animation, or are jarring
Animations do not occur, or are at an unsuitable speed

Assessment Criteria	Result:	9.00 / 10.00
The page has smooth animations of appropriate length for all transitions. User interactions are graceful and work as expected. 8-10 The document scrolls smoothly when using anchor navigation Menu anchor items change to active when entering the viewport SVGs animate to the correct final value on scrolling into viewport All hover and click events have smooth animations All animations occur at a suitable speed 6-8 The document scrolls when using anchor navigation Menu anchor items change to active when on page SVGs animate on scrolling into viewport Most hover and click events have smooth animations Most animations occur at a suitable speed 3-5 The document makes use of anchor navigation Menu anchor items change to active when clicked SVGs animate Some hover and click events have smooth animations Some animations occur at a suitable speed 0-2 The document does not make use of anchor navigation Menu anchor items do not change to active SVGs fail to animate Hover and click events lack animation, or are jarring Animations do not occur, or are at an unsuitable speed		

Marking Comments

Mostly smooth animation.

SVG does not always animate when in view. It will only animate when your SVG circles are in the center of the visible vertical space.

The page conforms to the specifications outlined in the assessment instructions and
uses text and images sourced from the student.
8-10
The document follows all specifications outlined in the assessment instructions.
All images are sourced by the student and are suitable
All text is generated by the student and is suitable
6-8
The document follows all specifications outlined in the assessment instructions.
All images are sourced by the student
All text is generated by the student
3-5
The document follows most, but not all specifications outlined in the assessment
instructions.
Most images are sourced by the student
Most text is generated by the student
0-2
The document does not follow all specifications outlined in the assessment
instructions.
Most images are not sourced by the student or are unsuitable Most text is not
generated by the student or are unsuitable

Assessment Criteria	Result:	10.00 / 10.00

The page conforms to the specifications outlined in the assessment instructions and
uses text and images sourced from the student.
8-10
The document follows all specifications outlined in the assessment instructions.
All images are sourced by the student and are suitable
All text is generated by the student and is suitable
6-8
The document follows all specifications outlined in the assessment instructions.
All images are sourced by the student
All text is generated by the student
3-5
The document follows most, but not all specifications outlined in the assessment
instructions.
Most images are sourced by the student
Most text is generated by the student
0-2
The document does not follow all specifications outlined in the assessment
instructions.
Most images are not sourced by the student or are unsuitable Most text is not
generated by the student or are unsuitable

Marking Comments