

Assumptions

- A1: Mapping languages provide human readable documentation available online.
- A2: Mapping languages are declarative.
- A3: The schema of knowledge graphs (ontology) used for creating mapping documents is available, and implemented in OWL or RDF(S).
- **A4**: Mapping rules can be translated into different languages with information preservation.
- **A5**: The evolution of a schema of KGs does not involve changes in the data.

Hypotheses

- H1: Current mapping languages do not cover the entire extension of features required to construct knowledge graphs from heterogeneous data sources.
- H2: It is possible to update current mapping languages with new features that address the evolving needs in construction of knowledge graphs.
- H3: Writing the mapping rules in spreadsheet environments can improve the user experience for practitioners of different backgrounds for writing mappings reducing errors
- **H4**: Declarative KG construction technologies can bring benefits in the evolution of knowledge graphs within their life cycle.

Restrictions

- R1: Requirements for knowledge graph construction are considered up to the beginning of 2023.
- R2: The reference for the RML specification in the mapping language analysis is the release on 2014.
- **R3**: Features specific of non RDF-based mapping languages are not ensured to be modelled in an ontology.
- R4: The implementations proposed not include all modules of the RML release on 2023.
- **R5**: The evaluation on knowledge graph evolution considers only changes in the schema, not changes in the data.
- **R6**: Schema changes considered in knowledge graph evolution are a result of switching among metadata representation approaches for RDF graphs.