

Explorando e classificando bugs comumente encontrados em contratos inteligentes

Ana Julia Bittencourt Fogaça

October 6, 2023

Contents

1	Introdução	1
2	Revisão bibliográfica	2
3	Metodologia	2
3.1	Perguntas	2
3.2	Categorias dos protocolos	2
3.3	Classificação dos bugs	5
3.4	Dados coletados	7
3.5	Desenvolvimento	9
3.6	Categorias	9
3.7	Dificuldade	9

1 Introdução

A tecnologia blockchain, primeiramente introduzida por Satoshi Nakamoto em 2008, é identificada como uma megatendência computacional capaz de revolucionar múltiplos setores industriais[5]. As características distintas de segurança, transparência e rastreabilidade inerentes à blockchain têm incentivado uma ampla gama de setores a explorar seu uso na reestruturação de suas operações fundamentais. A aplicabilidade dessa tecnologia ultrapassa o domínio das criptomoedas, abrangendo setores como pagamentos, gerenciamento de identidade, saúde, eleições governamentais e outros[1].

A publicação do whitepaper do Ethereum em 2014 simbolizou um avanço considerável na evolução da tecnologia blockchain[2]. Diferentemente do

Bitcoin, concebido originalmente como uma moeda digital, o Ethereum inaugurou uma funcionalidade disruptiva no campo da tecnologia blockchain: os contratos inteligentes. A inovação trazida pelo Ethereum reside na incorporação de uma máquina virtual capaz de processar códigos em linguagens de programação *Turing complete* na blockchain, habilitando assim a construção de aplicativos descentralizados. Devido as características inerentes a tecnologia blockchain, como o fato de seu código ser aberto e qualquer pessoa pode interagir com os contratos inteligentes - descentralização, os aplicativos que rodam no Ethereum são suscetíveis a vulnerabilidades que podem ser exploradas por hackers, resultando em grande prejuízo financeiro para os protocolos e usuários dos mesmos. Apenas no primeiro trimestre de 2023, 320 milhões de dólares foram perdidos devido a ataque de hackers no Ethereum[3]. Uma maneira de combater a ação de hackers, é através de incentivos financeiros. Procurando proteger seus usuários, protocolos descentralizados costumam oferecer "Bug Bounties", que são concursos oferecendo recurso financeiro em troca de vulnerabilidades encontradas por "hackers do bem". Devido a demanda crescente pela tecnologia de contrato inteligentes nos últimos anos a projeção de crescimento anual de 2023 a 2030 é de 82.2%[4], o presente artigo tem como objetivo identificar os bugs comumente encontrados nas diferentes categorias de contratos inteligentes e classificá-los, identificando possíveis dificuldades na identificação dos mesmos. Para isso, foi feito um estudo com base em competições realizadas entre janeiro a setembro de 2023 retiradas de diferentes plataformas de Bug Bounties.

2 Revisão bibliográfica

O que é EVM, EOA, contracts, transactions (nonce).

3 Metodologia

3.1 Perguntas

- Categorizando bugs

3.2 Categorias dos protocolos

- Liquid Staking: Protocols that enable you to earn staking rewards on your tokens while also providing a tradeable and liquid receipt for your staked position

- Lending: Protocols that allow users to borrow and lend assets
- DEXes: Protocols where you can swap/trade cryptocurrency
- Bridge: Protocols that bridge tokens from one network to another
- CDP: Protocols that mint its own stablecoin using collateralized lending
- Services: Protocols that provide a service to the user
- Yield: Protocols that pay you a reward for your staking/LP on their platform
- RWA: Protocols that involve Real World Assets, such as house tokenization
- Derivatives: Protocols for betting with leverage
- Yield Aggregator: Protocols that aggregated yield from diverse protocols
- Cross Chain: Protocols that add interoperability between different blockchains
- Synthetics: Protocol that created a tokenized derivative that mimics the value of another asset.
- Launchpad: Protocols that launch new projects and coins
- Indexes: Protocols that have a way to track/created the performance of a group of related assets
- Liquidity manager: Protocols that manage Liquidity Positions in concentrated liquidity AMMs
- Insurance: Protocols that are designed to provide monetary protections
- Privacy: Protocols that have the intention of hiding information about transactions
- Infrastructure
- Algo-Stables: Protocols that provide algorithmic coins to stablecoins

- Payments: Protocols that offer the ability to pay/send/receive cryptocurrency
- Leveraged Farming: Protocols that allow you to leverage yield farm with borrowed money
- Staking Pool: Refers to platforms where users stake their assets on native blockchains to help secure the network and earn rewards. Unlike Liquid Staking, users don't receive a token representing their staked assets, and their funds are locked up during the staking period, limiting participation in other DeFi activities
- NFT Marketplace: Protocols where users can buy/sell/rent NFTs
- NFT Lending: Protocols that allow you to collateralize your NFT for a loan
- Options: Protocols that give you the right to buy an asset at a fixed price
- Options Vault: Protocols that allow you to deposit collateral into an options strategy
- Prediction Market: Protocols that allow you to wager/bet/buy in future results
- Decentralized Stablecoin: Coins pegged to USD through decentralized mechanisms
- Farm: Protocols that allow users to lock money in exchange for a protocol token
- Uncollateralized Lending: Protocol that allows you to lend against known parties that can borrow without collateral
- Reserve Currency: OHM forks: Protocols that use a reserve of valuable assets acquired through bonding and staking to issue and back its native token
- RWA Lending: Protocols that bridge traditional finance and blockchain ecosystems by tokenizing real-world assets for use as collateral or credit assessment, enabling decentralized lending and borrowing opportunities.
- Gaming: Protocols that have gaming components

- Oracle: Protocols that connect data from the outside world (off-chain) with the blockchain world (on-chain)
- P2P File distributoin system
- DAO: A decentralized autonomous organization (DAO) is an emerging form of legal structure that has no central governing body and whose members share a common goal to act in the best interest of the entity. Popularized through cryptocurrency enthusiasts and blockchain technology, DAOs are used to make decisions in a bottom-up management approach.

Fonte: <https://defillama.com/categories>

3.3 Classificação dos bugs

- O1: We cannot access the source code of the project.
- O2: Bugs that occur in off-chain components
- O3: Smart contracts are written in another language
- C3: Erroneous state updates.
 - C3-1: Missing state update.
 - C3-2: Incorrect state updates, e.g., a state update that should not be there.
- C5: Privilege escalation and access control issues.
 - C5-1: Users can update privileged state variables arbitrarily (caused by lack of ID-unrelated input sanitization).
 - C5-2: Users can invoke some functions at a time they should not be able to do so.
 - C5-3: Privileged functions can be called by anyone or at any time.
 - C5-4: User funds can get locked due to missing/wrong withdraw code
 - C5-6: Privileged users can profit unfairly
- C6: Erroneous accounting.
 - C6-1: Incorrect calculating order.

- C6-2: Returning an unexpected value that deviates from the expected semantics specified for the contract.
 - C6-3: Calculations performed with incorrect numbers (e.g., $x = a + b \implies x = a + c$, incorrect precisions).
 - C6-4: Other accounting errors (e.g., $x = a + b \implies x = a - b$).
- C7: Broken business logic
 - C7-1: Unexpected or missing function invocation sequences (e.g., external calls to dependent contracts, exploitable sequences leading to malicious fund reallocation or manipulation).
 - C7-2: Unexpected environment or contract conditions (e.g., Chain-Link returning outdated data or significant slippage occurring).
 - C7-3: A given function is invoked multiple times unexpectedly.
 - C7-4: Unexpected function arguments.
- C8: Contract implementation-specific bugs. These bugs are difficult to categorize into the above categories.
- C9: Lack of signature replay protection, e.g missing nonce, hash collision
- C10: Missing check. Missing Check refers to a critical oversight in a smart contract’s code where a necessary condition or validation is not properly implemented.
- C11: lack of segregation between users funds
- C12: Data validation Data validation vulnerabilities arise when a smart contract does not adequately verify or sanitize inputs, especially those from untrusted sources. This lack of validation can lead to unintended and potentially harmful consequences within the contracts operations.
- C13: Whitelisted/Blacklist Match Whitelist/Blacklist Match refers to a potential vulnerability where a smart contract improperly handles addresses based on predefined lists.
- C14: Arrays Array refers to a data structure that holds multiple elements under a single variable name. Vulnerabilities related to arrays can arise when developers do not properly handle array indices or fail to validate user inputs.

- C15: DoS: Denial of Service (DoS) vulnerabilities occur when an attacker can exploit a contract in a way that makes it unresponsive or significantly less efficient. This category includes cases that are not well described by another class and where the primary consequence is contract shut-down or operational inefficiency.
- C16: Grielf Attack: A gas grieving attack happens when a user sends the amount of gas required to execute the target smart contract, but not its sub calls. In most cases, this results in uncontrolled behavior that could have a dangerous impact on the business logic.

3.4 Dados coletados

Foi feito a curadoria de 470 bugs classificados com severidade alta

Plataforma	Protocolo	Categoria do protocolo	N de auditores	Descrição
Sherlock	Perennial V2	Derivatives	4	Oracle request time
Sherlock	Perennial V2	Derivatives	1	Invalid oracle versio
Sherlock	Perennial V2	Derivatives	4	Protocol fee from M
Sherlock	Perennial V2	Derivatives	3	PythOracle:if price
Sherlock	Perennial V2	Derivatives	4	Vault.sol: settling
Sherlock	Perennial V2	Derivatives	1	Keepers will suffer
Sherlock	Blueberry	Leverage Farming	1	Stable BPT valuat
Sherlock	Blueberry	Leverage Farming	2	CurveTricryptoOra
Sherlock	Blueberry	Leverage Farming	2	CurveTricryptoOra
Sherlock	Blueberry	Leverage Farming	1	CVX/AURA distri
Sherlock	Blueberry	Leverage Farming	1	wrong bToken's ex
Code4Arena	Arbitrum Foundation	DAO	3	Signatures can be r
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	1	Too many rewards
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	16	rngComplete functi
Sherlock	Tokensoft	Launchpad	24	"Votes" balance can
Sherlock	Bond Options	Options	14	All funds from Tell
Sherlock	Bond Options	Options	4	All funds can be st
Sherlock	Symmetrical	Derivatives	2	liquidatePartyA rec
Sherlock	Symmetrical	Derivatives	2	liquidatePositionsP
Sherlock	Cooler Update	Lending	3	Can steal gOhm by
Sherlock	Cooler Update	Lending	10	At claimDefaulted,
Sherlock	Cooler Update	Lending	2	Clearinghouse does
Sherlock	Cooler Update	Lending	20	isCoolerCallback ca
Sherlock	GFX Labs	Dexes	6	Lack of segregation
Sherlock	GFX Labs	Dexes	4	Users' funds could
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	2	A malicious user ca
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	5	'amountOut' is repres
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	39	'Vault.mintYieldFee
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	10	Delegated amounts
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	8	Resetting delegatio
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	3	'requireVaultCollater
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	5	Increasing reserves
Code4Arena	PoolTogether	Yield	2	'Vault' is not comp
Sherlock	Dinari	RWA	4	Bypass the blacklis
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	1	Wrong accounting o
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	1	reduceMarginByamoun
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	7	Vault: The attacker
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	6	reduce_position does
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	3	Leverage calculatio
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	11	Vault: __update_debt
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	6	Adversary manipul
Sherlock	Unstopabble	Dexes	2	Interested calculate
Code4Arena	Nouns DAO	DAO	5	User can steal toke
Sherlock	Hubble Exchange	Dexes, Derivatives	11	ProcessWithdrawal
Sherlock	Hubble Exchange	Dexes, Derivatives	11	Failed withdrawals
Sherlock	Hubble Exchange	Dexes, Derivatives	1	Rogue validators ca
Sherlock	Symmetrical	Derivatives	13	setSymbolsPrice()

3.5 Desenvolvimento

3.6 Categorias

3.7 Dificuldade

Referências

- [1] *Blockchain Adoptions in the Maritime Industry: A Conceptual Framework*. URL: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/epdf/10.1080/03088839.2020.1825855?needAccess=true> (visited on 10/06/2023).
- [2] *Ethereum Whitepaper*. URL: <https://ethereum.org> (visited on 10/02/2023).
- [3] *Here's How Much Was Lost to Crypto Hacks and Exploits in Q1 2023 / Bitcoin Insider*. URL: <https://www.bitcoininsider.org/article/211488/heres-how-much-was-lost-crypto-hacks-and-exploits-q1-2023> (visited on 10/01/2023).
- [4] *Smart Contracts Market Size, Share, & Trends [2023 Report]*. URL: <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/smart-contracts-market-report> (visited on 10/02/2023).
- [5] *Technology Tipping Points and Societal Impact*. URL: https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF%5C_GAC15%5C_Technological%5C_Tipping%5C_Points%5C_report%5C_2015.pdf (visited on 10/06/2023).