Invasive lionfish present region-wide variation of allometric growth in the Western Atlantic

Juan Carlos VillaseÃ±or-Derbez, Sean Fitzgerald

Lionfish (*Pterois volitans/miles*) are an invasive species in the Western Atlantic and the Caribbean. In order to better manage the invasion, we must be able to accurately estimate their total biomass. This work compares length-weight relationships of the invasive lionfish through the invasion range. A review of 17 length-weight relationships reported in 12 peer-reviewed studies shows that lionfish exhibit spatial variation in weight-at-length. The reviewed parameters indicate that, for the same length, lionfish in the Caribbean have lower body mass than in the Atlantic or Gulf of Mexico. This highlights the importance of using site-specific parameters to estimate biomass from length observations. This study also reports a new pair of length-weight parameters () for organisms sampled in the central Mexican Caribbean region. These findings can have major implications in management, especially when estimating biomass available for harvest, predicting effects on local ecosystems, or evaluating the effectiveness of removal programs.

At least 84% of marine eco-regions have reported the presence of an invasive species , which represent a major threat to local biodiversity and the economic activities that depend on it . Invasive species may threaten native species through predation, competition, or indirect habitat effects . By 2005, the economic cost of invasive species to the United States was estimated at USD $120 billion per year .

Lionfish (*Pterois volitans/miles* complex) are an invasive species in the western Atlantic and the Caribbean, likely introduced through liberation of aquarium-kept organisms . They are the first invasive marine vertebrates established along the North Atlantic Caribbean coasts . Lionfish have been widely reported in coral reefs , but also in other habitats such as estuaries , mangroves , hard-bottomed areas , and mesophotic reefs . Their presence in these waters has been labeled as a major marine invasion because they threaten local biodiversity, spread rapidly, and are difficult to manage .

A substantial amount of research has been done to describe lionfish feeding ecology in North Carolina , the Bahamas , Northern Gulf of Mexico , Mexican Caribbean , Belize , and Costa Rica . show that invasive lionfish prey on at least 167 different species across the tropical and temperate North Atlantic. Their feeding behavior and high consumption rates can reduce recruitment and population sizes of native reef-fish species, and can further endanger reef fish . (However, see for a case where there was no evidence that lionfish affected the density, richness, or community composition of prey fishes). Major efforts have been made to understand the possible impacts of the invasion by keeping track of its range through time and predicting invasion ranges under future climates . By combining information from these disciplines, researchers have been able to predict the trophic impacts of lionfish , which can then be translated into ecosystem-level and economic impacts.

Governments and non-profit organizations have sought to reduce lionfish densities through removal programs and incentivizing its consumption . In some cases, these have shown to significantly reduce –but not quite eliminate– lionfish abundances at local scales . In addition, culling programs can help stabilize or grow native prey fish populations . Complete eradication of lionfish through fishing is unlikely because of their rapid recovery rates and ongoing recruitment to shallow-water areas from their persistent populations in mesophotic coral ecosystems . However, promoting its consumption might create a level of demand capable of sustaining a stable fishery, which can help control shallow-water populations while providing alternative livelihoods and avoiding further impacts to local reef biota .


The feasibility of establishing fisheries through lionfish removal programs has been extensively evaluated through field observations and empirical modeling . One contributing factor to the success of many removal programs is the sedentary nature of adult lionfish . Culling programs are effective in reducing adult populations largely because lionfish exhibit high levels of site fidelity and rarely leave their home range in most cases . As a result of this sedentary behavior, lionfish are also likely to exhibit high levels of spatial variation in important life history characterstics such as growth or natural mortality rate . The importance of considering spatial heterogeneity is well-documented in terms of assessing and managing sedentary species , and such variation should be accounted for when evaluating the feasibility of establishing lionfish fisheries as well.

Empirical modeling efforts examining the feasibility of establishing fisheries for lionfish involve modeling changes in biomass in response to changes in mortality (*i.e.* culling). A common way to model this is via age- or length-structured population models , which convert fish length or age to weight to then calculate total biomass. Therefore, length-weight relationships are an essential component of these models. However, the length-weight relationship can vary across regions as a response to biotic (*e.g.* local food availability) and abiotic (*e.g.* water temperature) conditions . Literature suggests that site-specific parameters are necessary to obtain accurate biomass estimates when length-weight relationships present spatial variation. This becomes ingreasingly important when estimating the potential effectiveness (and resources neded) of lionfish culling programs or identifying total biomass available for harvest by fishers . Genetic analysis of invasive lionfish suggest that two distinct population groups exist , and as such we would expect their biology to differ. Despite the large number of studies reporting site-specific length-weight relationships, no studies have described region-wide differences in these.

The objective of this paper is to describe the spatial pattern of length-weight relationships of lionfish in the Caribbean and Western Atlantic and identify wether these differences are trivial. Length-weight relationships for lionfish exist for North Carolina, Northern and Southern Gulf of Mexico, the Southern Mexican Caribbean, Bahamas, Little Cayman, Jamaica, Bonaire, Puerto Rico, and Costa Rica, but remain unavailable for the central Mexican Caribbean . This study also provides the first length-weight relationship for this region.

The main objective of this work was to compare allometric growth of lionfish throughout their invasion range. Allometric parameters were retreived from scientific literature, and an additional pair of parameters were calculated from filed observations in the central Mexican Caribbean.

Length-weight relationships (n = 17) identified in literature were obtained for the North Atlantic (n = 1), Gulf of Mexico (n = 7,), and Caribbean (n = 10). Information on sampling methods, sex differentiation, location, and depth ranges of each study was retrieved when available. Studies were assumed to include both genders if gender was unspecified. Studies inconsistently defined as either the ponderal index from Eq. or the y-intercept () from Eq. . Other studies incorrectly reported parameters as mm-to-g conversions when they were in fact cm-to-g conversions. Here, all parameters are reported as TL(mm) to TW(gr) conversions. When required, values from other studies were transformed for consistency. Locations where allometric studies have been performed are shown in Figure and Table .



Locations where allometric growth parameters of lionfish (*Pterois spp*) have been reported. Circle sizes indicate sample size from each study, colors indicate the coefficient from Eq. .

Parameters from the central Mexican Caribbean were obtained from data collected in 10 sampling sites along the central Mexican Caribbean coast in 2010 (Table S1). Sampling locations included wall and carpet reefs at depths between 5.7 m and 38.1 m. All observed lionfish (n = 109) were collected using hand nets and numbered collection bottles. The use of hand nets prevented any weight loss due to bleeding and allowed better representation of small sizes by eliminating gear selectivity. Organisms were euthanized via pithing and Total Length (TL; mm) and Total Weight (TW; g) were recorded before freezing organisms.

The weight at length relationship for lionfish in the central Mexican Caribbean was calculated with the allometric growth function:

Where is the ponderal index and is the scaling exponent or allometric parameter. When , it is said that the organism exhibits a perfect isometric growth. Transforming this equation via base-10 logarithms:

This can be simplified and re-written as:

Where , , , and . Since , we will only use throughout the paper for simplicity. The coefficients ( and ) were estimated with an Ordinary Least Squares Regression and heteroskedastic-robust standard error correction . The coefficient was tested against the null hypothesis of isometric growth (*i.e.* ). Coefficients were tested with a two-tailed Student’s t, and the significance of the regression was corroborated with an F-test.


Combining the length-weight parameters extracted from the literature and the additional pair calculated here, we obtain a total of 18 pairs. We test wether using *ex situ* parameters produces differences in weight estimates by estimating TW for the 109 length observations of lionfish from the central Mexican Caribbean with each of the 18 relationships. Predicted weights were divided by know observed weights to obtain a simple measurement of over- or underestimation. Difference in mean weight ratios across studies were tested with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All analyses were performed in R version 3.4.4 . Raw data and code used in this work are available at dryad.org.

The model adjusted to Eq. estimated the coefficient values at and (, F(df = 1; 107) = 6928.67, ). The allometric factor () was significantly different from the value of isometric growth of (), indicating that lionfish present allometric growth. More information on model fit is presented in TableS2. The relationship between TL and TW is presented in Figure .


From this study in the central Mexican Caribbean and the 12 peer-reviewed studies that reported length-weight parameters for *P. volitans* 17 parameters were identified (Table , Fig ). Two studies reported gender-level and pooled parameters, while the rest presented pooled results. Reviewed studies presented information for organisms obtained at depths between 0.5 and 57 m. Three studies explicitly stated that their organisms were sampled with pole spears , and five studies mentioned that some of their organisms were obtained with pole spears (or other type of harpoon) but also hand-held nets or fish traps , and two studies did not specify how organisms were sampled . use spine-less weight in the length-weight relationship estimation.

Parameters from models fit to males or females exclusively tend to have a higher steepness (*i.e.* higher allometric parameter), with mean standard deviation values of and for males and females respectively, compared to parameters from models for pooled genders with a mean standard deviation value of . In the case of the ponderal index () and its transformation (), values were higher for parameters for pooled genders. Figure shows the length-weight relationships with parameters from all studies.


There were significant differences in predicted-to-observed weight ratios estimated for each pair of parameters (F(df = 15; 1728) = 38.26; p < 0.001). From all allometric parameters reviewed, those of in Banco Chinchorro, (Caribbean) provided the lowest weight estimates, with a predicted-to-observed weight ratio of 0.80 0.19 (mean SD). On the other hand, in the Northern Atlantic yielded the highest weight estimates, with a mean ( SD) predicted-to-observed weight ratio of 1.76 0.50. Predicted-to-observed weight ratios are presented in Figure .



Length-weight relationship for 109 lionfish sampled in the central Mexican Caribbean. Points indicate samples, dashed black line indicates curve of best fit, marginal plots represent the density distribution of each variable.



Length-weight relationships (n = 18) for 12 studies and this study. Colors indicate studies from which the parameters were extracted. Solid lines indicate that the fit was performed for males and females pooled together. Dotted lines indicate that the regression was performed on females, and dashed lines indicate it was performed for males. The dashed black line represents the relationship estimated in this study.



Violin plot showing the distribution of predicted to observed weight ratios for 18 pairs of allometric parameters. Red and blue circles indicate median and mean values, respectively. Like letters indicate values that do not differ significantly (Tukey’s HSD; p < 0.05).

The length-weight coefficients estimated in this study were within the range identified by studies in other regions (Table ). However, the ones presented here provide lower weight estimates for similar lenmgths. Until about TL = 200 mm, there are no appreciable differences between the parameters for organisms from the Mexican Caribbean and those for little Cayman and Jamaica . Yet, for larger organisms (TL > 270 mm) parameters from Costa Rica and Bonaire provide similar estimates to those from this study. Conversely, these same studies tend to estimate higher weights –as compared to the ones reported here– for smaller organisms, likely due to the lack of small organisms in the samples used to estimate their parameters.

There are evident differences in weight-at-length between organisms from the Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico / North-Western Atlantic. Weight estimates with parameters from the Gulf of Mexico and North-Western Atlantic tend to be higher than those from the Caribbean. Similar regional variation has been reported for age-at-length relationships of this species across the invaded region , or when comparing populations from the invasion and native ranges . These may be driven by genetic differences or organisms being exposed to distinct environmental conditions. For example, work on mitochondrial DNA has shown two distinct population groups, identified as the “Caribbean group” and “Northern Group” . Alternatively, suggest that differences observed in age-at-length may be driven by climate, and not by ecological processes. Differences in weight-at-length could also reflect differences in energy input (*i.e.* in some regions, lionfish eat more) or differential usage of this energy (*e.g.* regional differences in predator abundances lead to different usage of energy), or a combination of both. Future research should focus on identifying which occurs here.

Differences in length-weight relationships have traditionally been highlighted as potential pitfalls to fishery management. For example, shows that small-scale variations in other Scorpaeniformes (*Sebastes rastrelliger*) translate to differential landings, effort, and catch per unit effort in the live fish fishery of California, and that these differences must be taken into account in management plans. The lionfish case poses the opposite scenario, where the manager desires to erradicate species. To accurately estimate lionfish removal efforts and allocate resources to manage the invasion, we must aknowledge and understand the regional biological differences.

The results presented in this paper can have major implications for management. For example, simulate lionfish culling using parameters from North Carolina and Little Cayman, and identify that the difference in time required for the population to recover to 90% of its initial biomass after removals cease was of up to four years. Our results show that, for a given length, using one set of parameters or the other can result in a threefold increase in estimated weight. This differences become especially relevant when estimating biomass available for harvest, predicting effects on local ecosystems, or evaluating the effectiveness of removal programs. Future research should try to use, to the extent possible, parameters calculated for their region, or use different parameters to provide upper and lower bounds in their results. At the same time, this highlights the need for more basic research that furthers our understanding of lionfish biology. To better manage the invasion, we must perform research that can describe biologically important information of lionfish throughout its invasion range .

I would like to thank thank Nils Van Der Haar and Michael Doodey from Dive Aventuras as well as Guillermo Lotz-Cador who provided help to collect samples.