# Report for ForestQuery into Global Deforestation, 1990 to 2016

ForestQuery is on a mission to combat deforestation around the world and to raise awareness about this topic and its impact on the environment. The data analysis team at ForestQuery has obtained data from the World Bank that includes forest area and total land area by country and year from 1990 to 2016, as well as a table of countries and the regions to which they belong.

The data analysis team has used SQL to bring these tables together and to query them in an effort to find areas of concern as well as areas that present an opportunity to learn from successes.

## 1. GLOBAL SITUATION

According to the World Bank, the total forest area of the world was 41282694.9 km<sup>2</sup> in 1990. As of 2016, the most recent year for which data was available, that number had fallen to 39958245.9 km<sup>2</sup>, a loss of 1324449 km<sup>2</sup> or 3.21%.

The forest area lost over this time period is slightly more than the entire land area of Peru listed for the year 2016 (which is 1279999.99 km²).

# 2. REGIONAL OUTLOOK

In 2016, the percent of the total land area of the world designated as forest was 31.38%. The region with the highest relative forestation was Latin America & Caribbean, with 46.16%, and the region with the lowest relative forestation was Middle East & North Africa, with 2.07% forestation.

In 1990, the percent of the total land area of the world designated as forest was 32.42%. The region with the highest relative forestation was Latin America & Caribbean, with 51.03%, and the region with the lowest relative forestation was Middle East & North Africa, with 1.78% forestation.

Table 2.1: Percent Forest Area by Region, 1990 & 2016:

Region	1990 Forest Percentage	2016 Forest Percentage
World	32.42	31.38
Latin America & Caribbean	51.03	46.16
Europe & Central Asia	37.28	38.04
North America	35.65	36.04
Sub-Saharan Africa	30.67	28.79
East Asia & Pacific	25.78	26.36
South Asia	16.51	17.51
Middle East & North Africa	1.78	2.07

The only regions of the world that decreased in percent forest area from 1990 to 2016 were Latin America & Caribbean (dropped from 51.03% to 46.16%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (30.67% to 28.79%). All other regions actually increased in forest area over this time period. However, the drop in forest area in the two aforementioned regions was so large, the percent forest area of the world decreased over this time period from 32.42% to 31.38%.

# 3. COUNTRY-LEVEL DETAIL

### A. SUCCESS STORIES

There is one particularly bright spot in the data at the country level, China. This country actually increased in forest area from 1990 to 2016 by 527229 km². It would be interesting to study what has changed in this country over this time to drive this figure in the data higher. The country with the next largest increase in forest area from 1990 to 2016 was the United States, but it only saw an increase of 79200 km², much lower than the figure for China.

China and the United States are of course very large countries in total land area, so when we look at the largest *percent* change in forest area from 1990 to 2016, we aren't surprised to find a much smaller country listed at the top. Iceland increased in forest area by 214% from 1990 to 2016.

### **B. LARGEST CONCERNS**

Which countries are seeing deforestation to the largest degree? We can answer this question in two ways. First, we can look at the absolute square kilometer decrease in forest area from 1990 to 2016. The following 5 countries had the largest decrease in forest area over the time period under consideration:

Table 3.1: Top 5 Amount Decrease in Forest Area by Country, 1990 & 2016:

Country	Region	Absolute Forest Area Change
Brazil	Latin America & Caribbean	541510
Indonesia	East Asia & Pacific	282194
Myanmar	East Asia & Pacific	107234
Nigeria	Sub-Saharan Africa	106506
Tanzania	Sub-Saharan Africa	102320

The second way to consider which countries are of concern is to analyze the data by percent decrease.

Table 3.2: Top 5 Percent Decrease in Forest Area by Country, 1990 & 2016:

Country	Region	Pct Forest Area Change
Togo	Sub-Saharan Africa	75.45
Nigeria	Sub-Saharan Africa	61.80
Uganda	Sub-Saharan Africa	59.27
Mauritania	Sub-Saharan Africa	46.75
Honduras	Latin America & Caribbean	45.03

When we consider countries that decreased in forest area percentage the most between 1990 and 2016, we find that four of the top 5 countries on the list are in the region of Sub-Saharan Africa. The countries are Togo, Nigeria, Uganda and Mauritania. The 5th country on the list is Honduras, which is in the Latin America & Caribbean region.

From the above analysis, we see that Nigeria is the only country that ranks in the top 5 both in terms of absolute square kilometer decrease in forest as well as percent decrease in forest area

from 1990 to 2016. Therefore, this country has a significant opportunity ahead to stop the decline and hopefully spearhead remedial efforts.

# C. QUARTILES

Table 3.3: Count of Countries Grouped by Forestation Percent Quartiles, 2016:

Quartile	Number of Countries
Q4. No of Countries forested 100 - 75 %	9
Q3. No of Countries forested 50 - 75 %	38
Q2. No of Countries forested 25 - 50 %	72
Q1. No of Countries forested 0 - 25 %	85

The largest number of countries in 2016 were found in the 1<sup>st</sup> quartile.

There were 9 countries in the top quartile in 2016. These are countries with a very high percentage of their land area designated as forest. The following is a list of countries and their respective forest land, denoted as a percentage.

Table 3.4: Top Quartile Countries, 2016:

Country	Region	Pct Designated as Forest
Suriname	Latin America & Caribbean	98.26
Micronesia, Fed. Sts.	East Asia & Pacific	91.86
Gabon	Sub-Saharan Africa	90.04
Seychelles	Sub-Saharan Africa	88.41
Palau	East Asia & Pacific	87.61
American Samoa	East Asia & Pacific	87.50
Guyana	Latin America & Caribbean	83.90
Lao PDR	East Asia & Pacific	82.11
Solomon Islands	East Asia & Pacific	77.86

## 4. RECOMMENDATIONS

On a global level our data shows a loss of 1324449 km² or 3.21% of the land occupied by forests. Lost forest footage was of the size larger than total size of Peru. Digging deeper on regional level we can see that 5 out of 7 regions increased in size. With Latin America & Caribbean region having the biggest drop. Yet it is difficult to make conclusions and gauge where we face potential issues on this level. The difference in change between the regions is not that significant as changes in larger countries could sway the percentage either way. Having said that, I would to recommend looking into success or cause for the concern on a country level. As we learned Iceland increases it's forested area by 214% but this would not make much impact on a global level (Iceland is only 100250 km² in size). Hence to make to best impact on a global level I would recommend learning the success from the countries that had the largest percentage increase in forested areas and also comparatively larger countries with largest changes in their forestation levels. The likes China (total land size 9388210 km² forest percentage change +34%) and Brazil (total land size 8358140 km² forest percentage change -10%). And starting from implementing changes in those larger countries first to improve the forestation levels quicker.

# 5. APPENDIX: SQL Queries Used

THE VIEW

DROP VIEW IF EXISTS forestation;

```
CREATE VIEW forestation
AS
 (SELECT re.region,
     fa.country code
                             c code fa,
     la.country code
                             c code la,
     re.country code
                             c code re,
     fa.country name
                              c name fa,
     la.country name
                              c name la,
     re.country name
                              c name re,
     fa.year
                         year fa,
     la.year
                         year la,
     fa.forest area sqkm,
     la.total area sq mi * 2.59
                                        total area sqkm,
     fa.forest area sqkm / (la.total area sq mi * 2.59) * 100
     forested pct,
     re.income group
 FROM forest area fa
     JOIN land area la
```

```
ON fa.country code = la.country code
        AND fa.year = la.year
     JOIN regions re
      ON fa.country code = re.country code);
1. GLOBAL SITUATION
SELECT forest area sqkm AS total forest area 1990
FROM forestation
WHERE c name re = 'World'
    AND year fa = 1990;
b
SELECT forest area sqkm AS total forest area 2016
FROM forestation
WHERE c_name_re = 'World'
    AND year fa = 2016;
С
SELECT forest_area_sqkm - (SELECT forest_area_sqkm AS total_forest_area
               FROM forestation
               WHERE c_name_re = 'World'
                   AND year fa = 1990) worlds forest change
FROM forestation
WHERE c name re = 'World'
   AND year fa = 2016;
d
WITH worlds forest 2016
  AS (SELECT c name re
                             locality,
        forest area sqkm AS total forest area 2016
     FROM forestation
    WHERE c name re = 'World'
        AND year fa = 2016),
  worlds forest 1990
                             locality,
  AS (SELECT c name re
        forest area sqkm AS total forest area 1990
     FROM forestation
    WHERE c name re = 'World'
        AND year fa = 1990)
```

```
SELECT (total forest area 2016 - total forest area 1990) / total forest area 1990 * 100 AS
worlds_forest_change_pct
FROM worlds forest 2016 fa2016
   JOIN worlds forest 1990 fa1990
    ON fa2016.locality = fa1990.locality;
е
SELECT DISTINCT c name la,
        total area sqkm
FROM forestation
WHERE total_area_sqkm < (SELECT (SELECT forest_area_sqkm AS total_forest_area
                   FROM forestation
                   WHERE c name re = 'World'
                      AND year fa = 1990) - forest area sqkm
              FROM forestation
              WHERE c name re = 'World'
                  AND year fa = 2016)
ORDER BY 2 DESC
LIMIT 1;
2. REGIONAL OUTLOOK
table with all the values required
WITH forested percent 1990
  AS (SELECT region,
        Round((SUM(forest area sqkm)/SUM(total area sqkm) * 100)::
            NUMERIC.
        2) AS
        forested pct 1990
     FROM forestation
    WHERE year la = 1990
     GROUP BY 1),
  forested percent 2016
  AS (SELECT region,
        Round((SUM(forest area sqkm)/SUM(total area sqkm) * 100)::
            NUMERIC.
        2) AS
        forested pct 2016
     FROM forestation
    WHERE year la = 2016
     GROUP BY 1),
  forested percent both
```

```
AS (SELECT fp1990.region,
        forested_pct_1990,
        forested pct 2016
     FROM forested percent 1990 fp1990
        join forested_percent_2016 fp2016
         ON fp1990.region = fp2016.region)
SELECT*
FROM forested percent both
ORDER BY 2 DESC;
3. COUNTRY-LEVEL DETAIL
Success absolute
WITH f2016
  AS (SELECT c_name_fa
                             cn2016,
        forest area sqkm forest2016,
        region,
                    y2016
        year la
     FROM forestation
    WHERE year_fa = 2016),
  f1990
  AS (SELECT c name fa
                             cn1990,
        forest_area_sqkm forest1990,
        year la
                    y1990
     FROM forestation
    WHERE year fa = 1990)
SELECT cn2016 country,
   y2016,
   region,
   Round((forest2016 - forest1990):: NUMERIC) change of forest sqkm
FROM f2016 a
   join f1990 b
     ON a.cn2016 = b.cn1990
WHERE (forest2016 - forest1990) IS NOT NULL
   AND cn2016 <> 'World'
ORDER BY 4 DESC:
Success forested pct
WITH f2016
  AS (SELECT c name fa
                             cn2016,
        forest area sqkm forest2016,
```

```
region,
         year_la
                     y2016
     FROM forestation
    WHERE year fa = 2016),
  f1990
  AS (SELECT c name fa
                             cn1990,
         forest area sqkm forest1990,
         year la
                     y1990
     FROM forestation
    WHERE year fa = 1990)
SELECT cn2016 country,
   y2016,
   region,
    Round(( ( forest2016 - forest1990 ) / forest1990 * 100 ) :: NUMERIC)
       change of forest pct
FROM f2016 a
   join f1990 b
     ON a.cn2016 = b.cn1990
WHERE (forest2016 - forest1990) IS NOT NULL
    AND cn2016 <> 'World'
ORDER BY 4 DESC:
--a. Which 5 countries saw the largest amount decrease in forest area from 1990 to 2016? What
was the difference in forest area for each?
WITH f2016 AS
    SELECT c name fa
                           cn2016,
       forest area sqkm forest2016,
       region,
       year la
                    y2016
    FROM forestation
   WHERE year fa = 2016),
  f1990 AS
    SELECT c name fa
                           cn1990,
       forest area sqkm forest1990,
       year la
                    y1990
    FROM forestation
   WHERE year fa = 1990)
SELECT cn2016,
    y2016,
     region,
```

```
ROUND((forest2016 - forest1990)::numeric) lost forest
FROM f2016 a
JOIN f1990 b
      a.cn2016 = b.cn1990
ON
WHERE (
          forest2016 - forest1990) < 0
       cn2016 <> 'World'
AND
ORDER BY 4
LIMIT 5;
--b. Which 5 countries saw the largest percent decrease in forest area from 1990 to 2016? What
was the percent change to 2 decimal places for each?
WITH f2016 AS
    SELECT c_name_fa
                           cn2016,
        region,
        forested pct forest2016pct,
                    y2016
       year la
    FROM forestation
    WHERE year fa = 2016 AND forested pct IS NOT NULL AND c name fa <> 'World'),
f1990 AS
    SELECT c name fa
                           cn1990,
       forested_pct forest1990pct,
       year la
                    y1990
    FROM forestation
    WHERE year fa = 1990 AND forested pct IS NOT NULL AND c name fa <> 'World')
SELECT cn2016,
     y2016,
     region,
     ROUND(((forest2016pct - forest1990pct) / forest1990pct * 100)::numeric, 2)
lost forest pct
FROM f2016 a
JOIN f1990 b
ON
      a.cn2016 = b.cn1990
WHERE (
          forest2016pct - forest1990pct) < 0
ORDER BY 4
LIMIT 5;
```

--c. If countries were grouped by percent forestation in quartiles, which group had the most countries in it in 2016?

```
SELECT CASE
     WHEN forested_pct > 75 THEN 'No of Countries forested 100 - 75 %'
     WHEN forested pct > 50 THEN 'No of Countries forested 50 - 75 %'
    WHEN forested pct > 25THEN 'No of Countries forested 25 - 50 %'
     ELSE 'No of Countries forested 0 - 25 %'
    END quartiles,
    Count(*)
FROM forestation
WHERE year fa = 2016
   AND c name fa <> 'World'
   AND forested pct IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY 1;
--d. List all of the countries that were in the 4th quartile (percent forest > 75%) in 2016.
SELECT
             c name fa AS Countries forested over 75 pct,
             region,
    ROUND ((forested pct)::numeric, 2)
FROM forestation
WHERE year fa = 2016
   AND c name fa <> 'World'
    AND forested pct > 75
ORDER BY 3 DESC;
--e. How many countries had a percent forestation higher than the United States in 2016?
SELECT Count(*)
FROM forestation
WHERE year fa = 2016
    AND c name fa <> 'World'
   AND forested pct > (SELECT forested pct
               FROM forestation
               WHERE year fa = 2016
                   AND c name fa LIKE '%United States');
Recommendations:
WITH f2016
  AS (SELECT c name fa
                              cn2016,
         forest area sqkm forest2016,
         region,
         total area sqkm land area,
                     y2016
         year la
```

```
FROM forestation
     WHERE year_fa = 2016),
  f1990
  AS (SELECT c_name_fa
                             cn1990,
         forest_area_sqkm forest1990,
         year la
                     y1990
     FROM forestation
     WHERE year_fa = 1990)
SELECT cn2016,
   y2016,
   region,
   Round(( ( forest2016 - forest1990 ) / forest1990 * 100 ) :: NUMERIC)
   change_of_forest_pct,
   land_area
FROM f2016 a
   join f1990 b
     ON a.cn2016 = b.cn1990
WHERE (forest2016 - forest1990) IS NOT NULL
   AND cn2016 IN( 'Iceland', 'China', 'Brazil')
ORDER BY 4 DESC;
```