



Cryptocurrency return prediction: Machine Learning approach

Vijayant Mehla
121938



MOTIVATION

1. Literature for ML based prediction of highly volatile Cryptomarket is barely available.
2. To gain an understanding of how cryptocurrencies work and how big and diverse the cryptocurrency market is. (170 billion US dollar)
3. Put conventional linear, non-linear methods to the test, as well as relatively modern machine learning models, and compare the results based on prediction error.
4. Validate model efficiency in a period of unparalleled turbulence and bearish, Covid19-impacted markets.



STRUCTURE

1. Section 1 describes the characteristics of cryptocurrencies, and explains briefly how blockchain works and how Bitcoin and Altcoins derive their value.
2. Section 2 involves a study of characteristics and scale of the crypto-market, and laws and regulations in place around the world.
3. Section 3 presents the theoretical background for predicting equity returns, conducts a literature survey and identifies how we may use the same over cryptocurrencies.
4. Section 4 presents the methodology, results and the conclusions



CHALLENGES AND INITIAL QUESTIONS

1. Highly volatile asset, hard to maintain efficiency when market direction shifts between validation and testing.
 - At the start of this study, in 2020, BTC started at \$7000, closing at \$30000 at the end of 2020, rising up to \$66000 (Kimchi Premium) in April, 2021 and falling back to \$30000 by mid May, 2021.
 - Multiple (under-studied/hard to interpret) factors influencing the price movement - US elections, [Tesla/Elon Musk, WallstreetBets, Robinhood, Microstrategy], making it hard for feature selection.
 - What value classifies as an outlier?
 - Lack of historical data

Solution: Feature engineering (SMA, instead of return based prediction)

2. Lack of literature for ML based prediction of cryptocurrencies.

Solution: Base study on the backbone of asset pricing - Fama and French models; Borrow literature from the equity market.



METHODOLOGY

1. **Gathering relevant data** - <talib>; feature selection
2. **Preparing data** - tackle NA, feature engineering - < SMA, pct_change >, Standardization- Box Cox Transform
3. **Identifying models**
 - a. Unsupervised - Clustering
 - b. Supervised- Regression and Classification
 - c. Reinforcement- Deep learning -LSTM
 - d. Traditional- ARIMA
4. **Training-Testing split**. Run training of models
5. **Evaluation** - R^2 ,
6. **Hyperparameter tuning** - Max_depth, Max_Features, Over/Underfitting, Penalty
7. **Prediction**
8. **Comparison** - MAPE, RMSE, MSE

RESULTS - TRAINING DATA

Table 4. Comparison of models based on R^2

Source: Own elaboration

R^2	Bitcoin	Ethereum
ARIMA	0.7695850519022557	0.7328274481727902
SARIMA	0.7582203696011817	0.6448775319021616
SVM	0.11375536775069983	<u>0.996761022177551</u>
LSTM	<u>0.8395718745088385</u>	0.6202738814923254
Random Forest	<u>0.9985596214560466</u>	<u>0.9928324507549465</u>



Comparison
of models
based on
MAE
-TESTING
DATA

MAE	Bitcoin	Ethereum
ARIMA	1031.7950201104456	75.09275958712588
SARIMA	<u>915.300164477418</u>	85.82106880734867
SVM	5886.77201942282	<u>12.033184763959394</u>
LSTM	2967.93352707158	349.6346036923733
Random Forest	<u>141.3157807922258</u>	<u>12.453203903937544</u>

RESULTS - TESTING DATA

Table 6. Comparison of models on basis of RMSE

Source: Own elaboration

RMSE	Bitcoin	Ethereum
ARIMA	<u>1963.16</u>	140.02
SARIMA	2114.81	<u>87.4</u>
SVM	120604438.8228459	942.9413510204602
LSTM	3528.12	412.9
Random Forest	<u>381.94</u>	<u>44.19</u>



CONCLUSION

- Ensemble Methods offer the best predictive performance.
- Seconded and Verified the results obtained by other research papers. (Jaquart P., Dann D., Weinhardt C., 2021), and (Vaddi L., Neelisetty V., Vallabhanneni B., Prakash K., 2020).

NEXT STEPS

- Include more data points.
- Include more Features.
- Hyper-parameter tuning
- Introduce custom-loss function to add penalty.



THANK YOU