Decoding with Phrase-Based Translation Models

Michael Collins, Columbia University

Phrase-based Translation

An example sentence:

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

A phrase-based lexicon contains phrase entries (f,e) where f is a sequence of one or more foreign words, e is a sequence of one or more English words. Example phrase entries that are relevant to our example:

(wir müssen, we must)

(wir müssen auch, we must also)

(ernst, seriously)

Each phrase (f,e) has a score g(f,e). E.g.,

$$g(f, e) = \log \left(\frac{\mathsf{Count}(f, e)}{\mathsf{Count}(e)} \right)$$

Phrase-based Models: Definitions

- ► A phrase-based model consists of:
 - 1. A phrase-based lexicon, consisting of entries (f, e) such as

(wir müssen, we must)

Each lexical entry has a score g(f, e), e.g.,

$$g(\text{wir m\"{u}}\text{ssen, we must}) = \log\left(\frac{\text{Count}(\text{wir m\"{u}}\text{ssen, we must})}{\text{Count}(\text{we must})}\right)$$

- 2. A trigram language model, with parameters q(w|u,v). E.g., $q(\mathsf{also}|\mathsf{we},\,\mathsf{must})$.
- 3. A "distortion parameter" η (typically negative).

Phrase-based Translation: Definitions

An example sentence:

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

- For a particular input (source-language) sentence $x_1 \ldots x_n$, a phrase is a tuple (s,t,e), signifying that the subsequence $x_s \ldots x_t$ in the source language sentence can be translated as the target-language string e, using an entry from the phrase-based lexicon. E.g., (1,2), we must
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{P}$ is the set of all phrases for a sentence.
- For any phrase p, s(p), t(p) and e(p) are its three components. g(p) is the score for a phrase.

Definitions

- A derivation y is a finite sequence of phrases, $p_1, p_2, \dots p_L$, where each p_j for $j \in \{1 \dots L\}$ is a member of \mathcal{P} .
- ightharpoonup The length L can be any positive integer value.
- For any derivation y we use e(y) to refer to the underlying translation defined by y. E.g.,

```
y = (1, 3, \text{ we must also}), (7, 7, \text{ take}), (4, 5, \text{ this criticism}), (6, 6, \text{ seriously}) and
```

e(y) = we must also take this criticism seriously

Valid Derivations

- For an input sentence $x = x_1 \dots x_n$, we use $\mathcal{Y}(x)$ to refer to the set of valid derivations for x.
- $\mathcal{Y}(x)$ is the set of all finite length sequences of phrases $p_1p_2\dots p_L$ such that:
 - ▶ Each p_k for $k \in \{1 ... L\}$ is a member of the set of phrases \mathcal{P} for $x_1 ... x_n$.
 - Each word in x is translated exactly once.
 - For all $k \in \{1 \dots (L-1)\}$, $|t(p_k)+1-s(p_{k+1})| \leq d$ where $d \geq 0$ is a parameter of the model. In addition, we must have $|1-s(p_1)| \leq d$

Examples

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

y = (1, 3, we must also), (7, 7, take), (4, 5, this criticism), (6, 6, seriously)

Examples

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

y = (1, 3, we must also), (7, 7, take), (4, 5, this criticism), (6, 6, seriously)

y = (1, 3, we must also), (1, 2, we must), (4, 5, this criticism), (6, 6, seriously)

Examples

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

$$y = (1, 3, \text{ we must also}), (7, 7, \text{ take}), (4, 5, \text{ this criticism}), (6, 6, \text{ seriously})$$

y = (1, 3, we must also), (1, 2, we must), (4, 5, this criticism), (6, 6, seriously)

y = (1, 2, we must), (7, 7, take), (3, 3, also), (4, 5, this criticism), (6, 6, seriously)

Scoring Derivations

The optimal translation under the model for a source-language sentence x will be

$$\arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} f(y)$$

In phrase-based systems, the score for any derivation y is calculated as follows:

$$h(e(y)) + \sum_{k=1}^{L} g(p_k) + \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \eta \times |t(p_k) + 1 - s(p_{k+1})|$$

where the parameter η is the distortion penalty (typically negative). (We define $t(p_0)=0$).

h(e(y)) is the trigram language model score. $g(p_k)$ is the phrase-based score for p_k .

An Example

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

y = (1, 3, we must also), (7, 7, take), (4, 5, this criticism), (6, 6, seriously)

Decoding Algorithm: Definitions

A state is a tuple

$$(e_1, e_2, b, r, \alpha)$$

where e_1, e_2 are English words, b is a bit-string of length n, r is an integer specifying the end-point of the last phrase in the state, and α is the score for the state.

The initial state is

$$q_0 = (*, *, 0^n, 0, 0)$$

where 0^n is bit-string of length n, with n zeroes.

States, and the Search Space

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

```
(*, *, 0000000, 0, 0)
```

Transitions

- ▶ We have ph(q) for any state q, which returns set of phrases that are allowed to follow state $q = (e_1, e_2, b, r, \alpha)$.
- For a phrase p to be a member of ph(q), it must satisfy the following conditions:
 - ▶ p must not overlap with the bit-string b. I.e., we need $b_i = 0$ for $i \in \{s(p) \dots t(p)\}$.
 - ▶ The distortion limit must not be violated. More formally, we must have $|r + 1 s(p)| \le d$ where d is the distortion limit.

An Example of the Transition Function

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

 $(\mathsf{must, also}, 1110000, 3, -2.5)$

An Example of the Transition Function

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

(must, also, 1110000, 3, -2.5)

In addition, we define next(q,p) to be the state formed by combining state q with phrase p.

The next function

Formally, if $q=(e_1,e_2,b,r,\alpha)$, and $p=(s,t,\epsilon_1...\epsilon_M)$, then next(q,p) is the state $q'=(e'_1,e'_2,b',r',\alpha')$ defined as follows:

- ▶ First, for convenience, define $\epsilon_{-1} = e_1$, and $\epsilon_0 = e_2$.
- ▶ Define $e_1' = \epsilon_{M-1}$, $e_2' = \epsilon_M$.
- ▶ Define $b'_i = 1$ for $i \in \{s \dots t\}$. Define $b'_i = b_i$ for $i \notin \{s \dots t\}$
- ▶ Define r' = t
- Define

$$\alpha' = \alpha + g(p) + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log q(\epsilon_i | \epsilon_{i-2}, \epsilon_{i-1}) + \eta \times |r+1-s|$$

The Equality Function

▶ The function

returns true or false.

Assuming $q=(e_1,e_2,b,r,\alpha)$, and $q'=(e'_1,e'_2,b',r',\alpha')$, eq(q,q') is true if and only if $e_1=e'_1$, $e_2=e'_2$, b=b' and r=r'.

The Decoding Algorithm

- Inputs: sentence $x_1 \dots x_n$. Phrase-based model $(\mathcal{L}, h, d, \eta)$. The phrase-based model defines the functions ph(q) and next(q, p).
- ▶ Initialization: set $Q_0 = \{q_0\}$, $Q_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1 \dots n$.
- For $i = 0 \dots n-1$
 - For each state $q \in \text{beam}(Q_i)$, for each phrase $p \in ph(q)$: (1) q' = next(q, p)(2) $\text{Add}(Q_i, q', q, p)$ where i = len(q')
- ▶ Return: highest scoring state in Q_n . Backpointers can be used to find the underlying sequence of phrases (and the translation).

An Example

wir müssen auch diese kritik ernst nehmen

(*, *, 0000000, 0, 0)

Definition of Add(Q, q', q, p)

- ▶ If there is some $q'' \in Q$ such that $eq(q'', q') = \mathsf{True}$:
 - If $\alpha(q') > \alpha(q'')$
 - $Q = \{q'\} \cup Q \setminus \{q''\}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \text{set} \ bp(q') = (q,p)$
 - Else return
- ► Else
 - $\qquad \qquad Q = Q \cup \{q'\}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \operatorname{set} \ bp(q') = (q,p)$

Definition of beam(Q)

Define

$$\alpha^* = \arg\max_{q \in Q} \alpha(q)$$

i.e., α^* is the highest score for any state in Q.

Define $\beta \geq 0$ to be the *beam-width* parameter

Then

$$\mathsf{beam}(Q) = \{q \in Q : \alpha(q) \geq \alpha^* - \beta\}$$