

# A Systematic Literature Review on Datasets for Deepfake Images in Smart Cities

ANAND M K

National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal  
242CS008

- Deepfake image datasets are critical for developing robust detection systems in smart cities.
- This review systematically examines:
  - Key datasets: DFDC, DeeperForensics, FaceForensics++, DeepfakeTIMIT, UADFV.
  - Deepfake generation techniques: GANs, Autoencoders, and hybrid models.
  - Preprocessing methods: Data augmentation, feature extraction, artifact analysis.
  - Detection methods: CNNs, RNNs, and hybrid models.
- Challenges: Dataset biases, generalization issues, real-time processing requirements.

- **Deepfake Technology:**

- Rapid advancements in AI and deep learning enable the creation of highly realistic synthetic images and videos.
- Applications: Entertainment, education, accessibility.
- Risks: Identity theft, misinformation, unauthorized access.

- **Smart Cities:**

- Rely on IoT, AI, and big data analytics for urban infrastructure and services.
- Vulnerabilities: Surveillance systems, authentication protocols, public information dissemination.

- **Need for Detection:**

- High-quality datasets are essential for training and evaluating detection models.
- Current datasets: DFDC, FaceForensics++, DeeperForensics-1.0, DF-TIMIT, UADFV.

- **Literature Search Procedure:**

- Databases: ScienceDirect, IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library.
- Keywords: "deepfake detection," "image dataset," "smart city surveillance."

- **Research Problems:**

- Focus on smart city security, deepfake detection, and dataset creation methodologies.
- Inclusion criteria: Experimental studies, surveys, observational studies.

- **Search Strategy:**

- Boolean operators: AND, OR.
- Search string: "deepfake detection" AND "image dataset" AND "smart city surveillance."

- **Selection of Studies:**

- Data extraction: Authors, publication year, dataset details, detection methods.
- Analysis: Comparative evaluation of datasets and detection techniques.

# DeepFake Detection Challenge (DFDC) Dataset

- **Overview:**

- Largest and most diverse deepfake video dataset.
- Contains over 100,000 video clips from 3,426 actors.

- **Generation Methods:**

- Deepfake Autoencoder (DFAE): Shared encoder with two decoders.
- MM/NN Face Swap: Custom morphable-mask model.
- Neural Talking Heads (NTH): Meta-learning approach.
- FSGAN: GAN-based face swapping and reenactment.

- **Augmentations:**

- Distractors: Overlay objects like images, shapes, and text.
- Augmenters: Geometric, color, and framerate transformations.

- **Detection Methods:**

- Efficient ViT, Convolutional Cross ViT.
- Pre-extraction of faces using MTCNN.

- **Overview:**

- Standardized benchmark for facial manipulation detection.
- Contains 5,000 videos with real and manipulated content.

- **Manipulation Methods:**

- FaceSwap: Graphics-based face region transfer.
- DeepFakes: Neural network-based face replacement.
- Face2Face: Facial reenactment.
- NeuralTextures: Neural texture-based rendering.

- **Postprocessing:**

- Simulates video quality degradation using H.264 compression.
- Two levels: High-quality (HQ) and low-quality (LQ).

- **Detection Methods:**

- Handcrafted features: Steganalysis with SVM.
- Learned features: CNNs, XceptionNet, Mesoinception-4.

# DeeperForensics-1.0 Dataset

- **Overview:**

- Largest face forgery detection dataset: 60,000 videos, 17.6 million frames.
- Focus on real-world perturbations for robustness.

- **Generation Framework:**

- DeepFake Variational Auto-Encoder (DF-VAE).
- Disentangles structure (expression, pose) from appearance (texture, skin color).
- Masked Adaptive Instance Normalization (MAdaIN) for style mismatch.

- **Diversity:**

- 100 actors with varied genders, ages, skin tones, and nationalities.
- Professional indoor setting with diverse lighting and camera perspectives.

- **Detection Methods:**

- Image-level: Xception-Net.
- Video-level: C3D, TSN.

- **Overview:**

- Created using GANs on VidTIMIT database.
- Contains 640 videos (low and high quality).

- **Generation Process:**

- Low-quality (LQ): 64x64 face regions, 200 frames at 4 fps.
- High-quality (HQ): 128x128 face regions, 400 frames at 8 fps.
- Blending techniques: CNN-based segmentation (LQ), landmark alignment (HQ).

- **Detection Methods:**

- Lip-syncing detection: MFCCs, LSTM.
- Image-based systems: PCA+LDA, IQM+SVM.



- **Overview:**

- Comprises 49 real and 49 Deepfake videos.
- Average duration: 11.14 seconds, resolution:  $294 \times 500$  pixels.

- **Detection Method:**

- 3D head pose inconsistencies.
- Features extracted using DLib and OpenFace2.
- SVM classifiers for final classification.

# Quantitative Comparison of Deepfake Datasets

Table: Quantitative comparison of various Deepfake datasets

Dataset	Unique fake videos	Total videos	Total subject
DF-TIMIT	640	960	43
UADFV	49	49	49
FF++ DF	4,000	5,000	?
DeeperForensics-1.0	1,000	60,000	100
DFDC	104,500	128,154	960

- **Dataset Evolution:**

- Early datasets: UADFV, DF-TIMIT (small-scale, limited diversity).
- Second-generation: FaceForensics++ (higher quality, ethical considerations).
- Third-generation: DeeperForensics-1.0, DFDC (large-scale, diverse, robust).

- **Challenges:**

- Dataset biases, generalization issues, real-time processing.

- **Future Directions:**

- Improve dataset diversity and ethical compliance.
- Develop scalable and real-time detection systems.