



2025



www.linkedin.com/in/frankline-florence

TREDENCE DATA ENGINEERING INTERVIEW QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS- 2025

Transformations & Logic

- 1. What's the difference between groupByKey() and reduceByKey()?
- 2. Can you walk through a DAG for a job that uses groupBy + join + withColumn?
- 3. What causes a shuffle? How can you identify and reduce it?
- 4. Why is coalesce() preferred over repartition() before writing data?

□ Delta Lake + Merge Strategy

- 5. How would you handle schema evolution in a Delta table with streaming input?
- 6. What happens when two merge operations run on the same Delta table?
- 7. How do you implement audit columns (created_dt, updated_dt) in Delta merge logic?
- 8. What is the role of the _delta_log and how is ACID enforced?

Memory Tuning & Debugging

- 9. Your job is failing with "GC Overhead Limit Exceeded" what steps will you take?
- 10. How do you monitor task skew in Spark UI and fix it?
- 11. How does persist() differ from cache() and which is safer for streaming pipelines?
- 12. What's the impact of setting spark.sql.shuffle.partitions too high?

Streaming Scenarios

- 13. How do you design a watermark strategy for late-arriving Kafka events?
- 14. What's the difference between trigger(once) and trigger(processingTime)?
- 15. How do you recover from checkpoint corruption in structured streaming?

ANSWERS

**** TRANSFORMATIONS AND LOGIC**

- What's the difference between groupByKey() and reduceByKey()?
 - groupByKey():
 - Groups all values with the same key into a single list on the same partition.
 - o Causes heavy data shuffling, which is inefficient for large datasets.
 - Not preferred for aggregations due to its memory overhead.
 - reduceByKey():
 - o Performs local aggregation (on each partition) before shuffling.
 - More efficient and saves network I/O.
 - o Recommended for large-scale aggregations.
- Use reduceByKey() for aggregations whenever possible.
- Can you walk through a DAG for a job that uses groupBy + join + withColumn?
 - 1. groupBy:
 - Triggers a shuffle to group records by key across partitions.
 - Outputs an intermediate logical plan node.
 - 2. join:
 - o If not a broadcast join, also triggers a shuffle on both sides.
 - Depending on the join type (e.g., inner, left), the DAG will have additional stages for preparing and joining datasets.

withColumn:

- A narrow transformation; adds or transforms columns.
- Does not trigger a shuffle.

DAG Summary:

```
Read -> Shuffle (groupBy) -> Shuffle (join) -> Narrow
(withColumn) -> Write
```

What causes a shuffle? How can you identify and reduce it?

Shuffle occurs when:

- Data moves across partitions or executors.
- Common causes:
 - groupBy, join, distinct, repartition, coalesce (in some cases), sort.

How to identify:

 In Spark UI → DAG or Stage view → Look for "Exchange" nodes or long shuffle read/write times.

How to reduce:

- Use reduceByKey instead of groupByKey.
- Use broadcast joins for smaller tables.
- Avoid unnecessary repartition().
- Use partition pruning and bucketing where applicable.
- Why is coalesce() preferred over repartition() before writing data?
 coalesce(n):
 - Reduces number of partitions without **shuffle**.

- Merges adjacent partitions.
- Efficient for **narrow transformations** like write optimization.

repartition(n):

- o Shuffles data to create evenly sized partitions.
- o Expensive and time-consuming.

Use Case:

• Before writing output (like to S3 or HDFS), use coalesce() to reduce small files and optimize I/O.

H DELTA LAKE + MERGE STRATEGY

- How would you handle schema evolution in a Delta table with streaming input?
 - Enable schema evolution options:

```
.option("mergeSchema", "true") # For batch
.option("cloudFiles.schemaEvolutionMode", "rescue") # For Auto
Loader
```

For structured streaming:

Use Auto Loader (cloudFiles) or set

spark.databricks.delta.schema.autoMerge.enabled = true.

Best Practices:

- Handle schema changes explicitly.
- Use **schema enforcement** + evolution cautiously to avoid silent data corruption.
- What happens when two merge operations run on the same Delta table?
 - Delta Lake uses optimistic concurrency control:
 - Both merges will read the same snapshot.
 - First write commits; the second fails with a conflict.
 - The second must retry using the new snapshot.

Best Practice:

• Serialize merge jobs or **use isolationLevel** with **MERGE** to avoid write conflicts.

How do you implement audit columns (created_dt, updated_dt) in Delta merge logic?

Use conditional logic in **MERGE** statement:

In SQL:

```
MERGE INTO target t
USING source s
ON t.id = s.id
WHEN MATCHED THEN UPDATE SET
   t.name = s.name,
   t.updated_dt = current_timestamp()
WHEN NOT MATCHED THEN INSERT *
   VALUES (s.id, s.name, current_timestamp(), current_timestamp())
```

In PySpark:

```
merge_condition = "t.id = s.id"
deltaTable.alias("t").merge(
    sourceDF.alias("s"),
    merge_condition
).whenMatchedUpdate(set={
        "name": "s.name",
        "updated_dt": "current_timestamp()"
}).whenNotMatchedInsert(values={
        "id": "s.id",
        "name": "s.name",
        "created_dt": "current_timestamp()",
        "updated_dt": "current_timestamp()",
        "updated_dt": "current_timestamp()"
}).execute()
```

What is the role of the _delta_log and how is ACID enforced?

- _delta_log/:
 - Stores Delta Lake **transaction logs** as JSON + parquet files.
 - o Each file represents a commit.
- ACID is enforced through:
 - Atomic commits: Files written first, then log is committed.
 - o Isolation: Snapshots are consistent; readers never see partial writes.
 - Concurrency control: Writes use optimistic concurrency with conflict detection.
 - o **Durability**: Log files can rebuild table state.

MEMORY TUNING AND DEBUGGING

Your job is failing with "GC Overhead Limit Exceeded" — what steps will you take?

Cause:

 JVM spends too much time in garbage collection (GC) with little memory reclaimed.

Fixes:

1. Increase executor memory:

```
--executor-memory 4g
```

- 2. Reduce data per executor:
 - Use repartition(), filter early.
- 3. Use Kryo serializer:

```
spark.conf.set("spark.serializer",
"org.apache.spark.serializer.KryoSerializer")
```

- 4. Persist selectively and avoid caching large datasets unnecessarily.
- **5. Tune GC settings** (if using JVM directly).
- 6. Use broadcast joins or DataFrame optimizations.

How do you monitor task skew in Spark UI and fix it?

Detect Skew:

- Spark UI → Stages tab → Look for tasks with:
 - Very long execution time.
 - Large input size compared to others.

Fixes:

- Salting keys: Add random prefixes to skewed keys.
- Broadcast small tables to avoid join shuffle.
- Use **skew hints** in Spark 3.x:

```
SELECT /*+ SKew('key') */ ...
```

• Use adaptive execution (enabled by default in Spark 3+).

How does persist() differ from cache() — and which is safer for streaming pipelines?

cache():

• Shortcut for persist(StorageLevel.MEMORY_AND_DISK).

persist(level):

Offers fine-grained control: MEMORY_ONLY, MEMORY_AND_DISK, DISK_ONLY, etc.

In Streaming:

- persist() with MEMORY_AND_DISK_SER or DISK_ONLY is safer:
 - Prevents 00M (Out 0f Memory) errors in long-running jobs.
 - Avoids GC pressure.

What's the impact of setting spark.sql.shuffle.partitions too high?

Too many partitions:

- More task overhead (scheduler burden).
- High disk I/O and small files.
- Increased shuffle latency.

Too few:

- May underutilize cluster resources.
- Large partitions = **skewed tasks**, OOM errors.

Best Practice:

- Tune it based on data size:
 - \circ 200–500 for large jobs.
 - Use df.rdd.getNumPartitions() to inspect.
 - Use **adaptive execution** to dynamically tune at runtime.

STREAMING SCENARIOS

How do you design a watermark strategy for late-arriving Kafka events?

Use withWatermark(event_time, "delay threshold"):

```
>> df.withWatermark("event_time", "10 minutes")
```

- Defines how long to wait for late data.
- Late events older than watermark are dropped during aggregation.

Design Tips:

- Choose threshold based on:
 - Expected event delays.
 - o Tolerance for late/missing data.
 - State retention limits.

What's the difference between trigger(once) and trigger(processingTime)?

trigger(once):

- Runs **once**, processes all available data, then stops.
- Good for micro-batch jobs that mimic batch processing.

trigger(processingTime="5 seconds"):

- Runs every interval, checking for new data.
- Keeps streaming jobs alive

How do you recover from a checkpoint corruption in structured streaming?

- 1. Stop the streaming job.
- 2. Backup corrupted checkpoint (for inspection).
- 3. Remove the checkpoint directory.
- 4. Restart the job with:
 - o Clean checkpoint.
 - o Optionally use: >> .option("startingOffsets", "earliest") # Kafka
- 5. Consider using **Delta Lake for idempotent sink** to prevent duplicates during reprocessing.