

Appendix:

Objective Type Questions

1. Let $S_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : 2x_1 + 3x_2 = 5\}$, $S_2 = \{(1, 1)\}$ be two subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 . Then $S_1 \cap S_2$ is
(a) a convex set (b) not a convex set
2. Let S_1 and S_2 be two convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . If S'_1 and S'_2 represent the compliments of S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Then
(a) $S_1 + S_2$ (b) $S_1 \cup S_2$ (c) $S'_1 \cap S'_2$ (d) $S'_1 \cup S'_2$
is always a convex set
3. Let S_1 and S_2 be two convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . If S'_1 and S'_2 represent the compliments of S_1 and S_2 , respectively. Then
(a) $S_1 - S_2$ (b) $S'_1 \cup S'_2$ (c) $S'_1 \cap S'_2$ (d) $S_1 \cap S_2$
is always a convex set
4. Consider the set $S = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_2^2 \leq x_1\}$. Then S has
(a) no vertex (b) finite number of vertices (c) infinite number of vertices
5. The number of extreme point(s) that a hyper-plane has
(a) infinite (b) finite (c) none of these
6. The set $S = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 = 1\}$ has no vertex because it is
(a) not convex (b) not bounded
(c) not closed (d) none of these
7. Consider the unit simplex $S = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1, x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\}$. Then number of vertices S has
(a) 2 (b) 4 (c) 5 (d) none of these

8. Let $S = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |X| < 1\}$. Then S has no vertex because it is
 (a) not closed (b) empty
 (c) unbounded (d) not convex.
9. Let $S = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1\}$. Then S has
 (a) no vertex (b) finite number of vertices (c) infinite number of vertices
10. Consider the set $S = \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 + x_2 \geq -1, x_1 \leq 0, x_2 \leq 1\}$. Then S has
 (a) no vertex (b) infinite number of vertices
 (c) only two vertices (d) none of these
11. The vertex of the set $S = \{X : X = (1 - \alpha)X_1 + \alpha X_2, \alpha \geq 0, X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is

.....

12. The set $P_F \setminus A$, where A is the set of all vertices of P_F is
 (a) convex set (b) not a convex set
 (c) may or may not be convex (d) none of these
13. The system of equations

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 4$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 = 3$$

is equivalent to the following system with inequalities

- (a) $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 4, 2x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 \leq 3, -x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3 \geq 7$
 (b) $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 4, 2x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 \leq 3, -x_1 + 2x_2 + 6x_3 \leq 1$
 (c) $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 4, 2x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 \leq 3, 2x_1 - 4x_3 \leq 1$
 (d) $x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 4, 2x_1 + x_2 - 5x_3 \leq 3, 3x_1 - 4x_3 \geq 7$
14. The vertex of the set $S = \{X : X = (1 - \lambda)X_1 + \lambda X_2, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is

.....

15. For $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$, consider the system

$$x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4 + 5x_5 = 2$$

$$x_2 + x_3 + 5x_4 + 5x_5 = 2$$

Its solution $x_1 = x_3 = x_4 = 0, x_2 = 7, x_5 = -1$ is

(a) a basic solution (b) a basic feasible solution (c) not a basic solution (d) feasible solution

16. Let the optimal of a LP occur at vertices X_1 and X_2 . Then we know that it also occurs at each

$$X = (1 - \alpha)X_1 + \alpha X_2, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1$$

(a) X is a basic solution (b) X is not a BFS (c) X is not a basic solution (d) none of these

17. For $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$, consider the system

$$x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 - 2x_4 - 3x_5 = -1$$

$$2x_2 + x_3 + 5x_4 - 3x_5 = -1$$

Its solution $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 0, x_4 = 0, x_5 = 1$ is

(a) a basic solution (b) a basic feasible solution
(c) feasible solution (d) none of these

18. In a simplex table, there is a tie for the leaving variable, then the next BFS

(a) will be nondegenerate (b) will be degenerate
(c) may be degenerate (d) does not exist
or nondegenerate

19. Two vertices of P_F are $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (0, 0, 1, 2)$ and $(3, 0, 0, 1)$. Then a point of P_F which can not be the vertices

(a) $(1, 2, 0, 0)$ (b) $(0, 1, 3, 0)$ (c) $(0, 1, 2, 0)$ (d) $(1, 2, 3, 0)$

20. A LPP in standard form has m constraints and n variables. The number of basic feasible solutions will be

(a) $\binom{n}{m}$ (b) $\leq \binom{n}{m}$ (c) $\geq \binom{n}{m}$ (d) none of these

- 27.** In a max LPP with bounded solution space, a variable having positive relative cost is permitted to enter and the minimum ratio rule is properly followed, then
- (a) the next solution will not be BFS (b) the objective function value decreases
(c) the objective function increases (d) none of these
- 28.** If x_j is a basic variable in some simplex table, then relative cost of x_j is
- (a) positive (b) negative (c) infinite (d) 0
- 29.** In some simplex table of a maximization LPP, the column of x_j is $(3; -2, -1, -3)^T$. Then this shows that
- (a) P_F is bounded (b) solution is unbounded
(c) P_F is unbounded (d) solution is infeasible in x_j direction
- 30.** In phase-I of the two phase method an artificial variable turns out to be at positive level in the optimal table of Phase-I, then the LPP has
- (a) no feasible solution (b) unbounded solution
(c) optimal solution (d) none of these
- 31.** In a maximization problem, a basic variable corresponding to minimum ratio leaves the basis, this ensures
- (a) largest increase in objective function (b) the next solution will be a BFS
(c) decrease in objective function (d) none of these
- 32.** In a maximization problem, a nonbasic variable with most negative relative cost enters the basis ensures

- (a) largest increase in objective function (b) the next solution will be a BFS
- (c) decrease in objective function (d) none of these
- 33.** Let $B = (A_1, A_3, A_5)$ be a basis for a LPP such that $A_4 = \alpha A_1 + \beta A_2 + \gamma A_3$. Suppose any one column of B is replaced by A_4 to have a new basis. Then
- (a) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ (b) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq 0$ (c) $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \neq 0$ (d) no such relationship required
- 34.** The optimum of a LPP occurs at $X = (1, 0, 0, 2)$ and $Y = (0, 1, 0, 3)$. Then optimum also occurs at
- (a) $(2, 0, 3, 0)$ (b) $(1/2, 1/2, 0, 5/2)$
 (c) $(0, 1, 5, 0)$ (d) none of these
- 35.** If in a simplex table the relative cost $z_j - c_j$ is zero for a non-basic variable, then there exists an alternate optimal solution, provided
- (a) it is starting simplex table (b) it is optimal simplex table
 (c) it can be any simplex table (d) none of these
- 36.** A LPP amenable to solution by simplex method has third and fourth constraint as $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 3$ and $2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 8$. These constraints can be represented by a single constraint
- (a) $3x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 \leq 11$ (b) $x_1 + 2x_3 \leq 5$ (c) $3x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11$
 (d) none of these
- 37.** In canonical form of a LPP, the availability vector b
- (a) is restricted to ≥ 0 (b) is restricted to ≤ 0 (c) any component may be ≤ 0 or ≥ 0
- 38.** Suppose, in some simplex iteration x_j enters the basis. Then, at later stage in some simplex iteration
- (a) x_j can leave the basis (b) x_j can not leave the basis (c) both (a) and (b) are possible
- Suggestion.* If the rule $\theta_j(z_j - c_j)$ is not followed then answer is (c), otherwise it is (b).

- 39.** Suppose, in some simplex iteration x_j leaves the basis. Then, in just next iteration
- (a) x_j can enter the basis (b) x_j can not enter the basis (c) both (a) and (b) are possible
- 40.** By inspecting the dual of the following LPP find the optimal value of its objective function

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & z = 2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \geq 5 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\end{array}$$

- 41.** The following LPP has

$$\begin{array}{ll}\min & x_0 = -2x_1 + 10x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 - x_2 \geq 0 \\ & -x_1 + 5x_2 \geq 5 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0\end{array}$$

- (a) alternative solution (b) unique solution (c) unbounded solution (d) none of these

Suggestion. This is an interesting problem in which the LPP has alternate optimal solution. Every point on the line $-x_1 + 5x_2 = 5$ gives optimal solution with optimal value 10. From the optimal table, it is not possible to find alternate optimal solution, since the solution space is unbounded. Except $x_1 = 5/4$, $x_2 = 5/4$, all other optimal solutions are nonbasic.

- 42.** Let $\min f(X) = C^T X$, $AX \geq b$, $X \geq 0$ be a primal LPP. Suppose X_0 and Y_0 are the primal and dual feasible. Then
- (a) $C^T X_0 \leq b^T Y_0$ (b) $C^T X_0 \geq b^T Y_0$ (c) $C^T X_0 = b^T Y_0$
(d) none of these
- 43.** Let $\max f(X) = C^T X$, $AX \leq b$, $X \geq 0$ be a primal LPP. Suppose X_0 and Y_0 are the primal and dual feasible. Then
- (a) $C^T X_0 \leq b^T Y_0$ (b) $C^T X_0 \geq b^T Y_0$ (c) $C^T X_0 = b^T Y_0$
(d) none of these

- 44.** The dual simplex method is applicable provided

- (a) optimality remains satisfied (b) feasibility remains satisfied
- (c) both remain unsatisfied (d) optimality is satisfied but feasibility is disturbed
- 45.** Application of dual simplex method requires that availability vector b must satisfy
- (a) $b \geq 0$ (b) $b \leq 0$ (c) no restriction of (a) and (b) type
- 46.** In dual simplex table x_j is the only variable with negative value in solution column, but all other entries in x_j -row are ≥ 0 . Then LPP has
- (a) unbounded solution (b) infeasible solution
- (c) alternate optimal solution (d) none of these
- 47.** If the primal has degenerate optimal solution, the dual has
- (a) alternate optimal solution (b) degenerate optimal solution
- (c) no feasible solution
- 48.** If a variable x_j is unrestricted in sign in a primal LPP, then the corresponding dual j th constraint in the dual will be
- (a) \leq (b) \geq (c) equality constraint (d) none of these
- 49.** If the j th constraint in the primal is an equality, then the corresponding dual variable is
- (a) unrestricted in sign (b) restricted to ≥ 0 (c) restricted to ≤ 0
- 50.** The primal LPP is

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & x_0 = x_1 - 2x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 - 3x_2 \leq -3 \\ & -x_1 + 2x_2 = -2 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The $C_B^T B^{-1}$ in optimal table of above LPP is $(0, 1)^T$. Then the the optimal solution of the dual is

- (a) $(0, 1)^T$ (b) $(0, -1)^T$ (c) $(0, 2)^T$ (d) none of these

51. Consider the LPP

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & z = x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 3 \\ & 2x_1 - x_2 = 4 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\end{array}$$

Given that in optimal table of this problem x_1 and x_3 are basic variables, then the optimal solution of the dual problem is

.....

Suggestion. First and third dual constraints are satisfied as equality constraints.

52. Consider the LPP

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & z = x_1 + 5x_2 + 2x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 15 \\ & 2x_1 - x_2 = 10 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\end{array}$$

Given that in optimal table of this problem x_1 is a basic variable and x_3 is a nonbasic variable with relative cost $1/5$, then the optimal solution of the dual problem is

.....

53. Let primal be a min LP and let a feasible solution which is not optimal of primal causes objective function value to 25. Then which of the following can be the value of dual objective function

- (a) 25 (b) 24.5 (c) 26 (d) none of these

54. If a slack or surplus variable s_i is positive in optimal BFS of primal, then in optimal dual solution

- (a) the dual variable y_i is 0 (b) the dual variable $y_i > 0$
(c) the slack or surplus of (d) none of these

i th dual constraint is 0

- 55.** If the primal LPP has an unbounded solution, then the dual problem has
 (a) an optimal solution (b) infeasible solution (c) an unbounded solution (d) none of these
- 56.** If the dual LPP has an unbounded solution, then the primal problem has
 (a) optimal solution (b) infeasible solution (c) unbounded solution (d) none of these
- 57.** A primal LPP has nondegenerate optimal solution, then the optimal solution of the dual
 (a) is nondegenerate (b) is degenerate
 (c) may be nondegenerate or degenerate (d) none of these
- 58.** If the primal LPP has infeasible solution, then the solution of the dual problem is
 (a) unbounded (b) infeasible (c) either unbounded or infeasible (d) none of these
- 59.** If in dual simplex method, the rule for entering variable is not followed, then
 (a) the feasibility will further deteriorate (b) the optimality will be disturbed
 (c) there will be no change (d) none of these
- 60.** Consider the LPP:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max \quad & z = 5x_1 + 2x_2 \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + x_2 \leq 3 \\
 & 2x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 5 \\
 & x_1, x_2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Which of the following primal-dual solutions are optimal:

- (a) $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 1;$ (b) $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 1;$
 $y_1 = 4, y_2 = 1$ $y_1 = 1, y_2 = 0$
 (c) $x_1 = 3, x_2 = 0;$ (d) $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 5;$
 $y_1 = 5, y_2 = 0$ $y_1 = 1, y_2 = 5$

- 61.** In dual simplex method, let the variable x_i leave the basis and the variable x_j enter. Let $x_j > 0$. Then later on
 (a) x_j can become negative (b) x_j will remain positive (c) none of these
- 62.** For every maximization LPP with m equality constraints and n variables ($m < n$), the number of unrestricted dual variables will always be
 (a) $\leq m$ (b) m (c) $\leq n$ (d) n
- Suggestion.* Consult the LPP $\max x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3$, subject to $x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 3$, $2x_1 - x_2 = 4$, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$.
- 63.** Suppose primal is infeasible and dual is feasible. Then dual will have
 (a) unbounded solution (b) finite solution (c) alternate optimal solution (d) none of these
- 64.** The j th constraint in dual of a LPP is satisfied as strict inequality by the optimal solution. Then the j th variable of the primal will assume a value
 (a) $\neq 0$ (b) ≤ 0 (c) ≥ 0 (d) 0
- 65.** Let P_F be the feasible set of a LPP which is bounded and nonempty. If a constraint is deleted then the feasible set of the new LPP
 (a) may be unbounded (b) may be empty (c) will always be bounded (d) none of these
- 66.** A LPP is given in canonical form with $b \geq 0$, and its optimal table is

B. V.	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	Soln
x_0	0	0	2	1	34
x_2	1	0	2	-3	2
x_1	0	1	-3	5	1

Then, for $b' = (1, 1)^T$, $C_B^T B^{-1} b'$ is

.....

67. Consider the optimal table of Problem 65. The objective function of the LPP is
.....

68. Consider the optimal table of Problem 65. The right hand vector of the LPP is
.....

69. Consider the optimal table of Problem 65. The column A_1 associated with x_1 in constraint matrix of the LPP is
.....

Suggestion. For Problems 67 to 69, compute B from B^{-1} .

70. The optimal table of a LPP in which s_1 is a surplus variable and s_2 is a slack variable in standard form of the LPP is

B V	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	Soln
z	0	0	-1	-2	2
x_1	1	0	-3	-2	1
x_2	0	1	1	1	1

The right hand side vector is assigned $b' = (4, 5)^T$. Then the new optimal solution is
.....

71. If the variable x_2 is deleted from the LPP whose optimal table is in the preceding problem, then the optimal solution of the changed problem is
.....

72. Following is the optimal table of a LPP ($s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$ are the slack variables when LPP is written in standard form)

B V	x_1	x_2	s_1	s_2	s_3	Soln
x_0	0	0	7/6	13/6	0	218/5
s_3	0	0	3/2	-25/2	1	5
x_1	1	0	1/3	-2/3	0	16/5
x_2	0	1	-1/6	5/6	0	10/3

If the third constraint is deleted, then optimal solution of the revised LPP

- (a) $x_1 = 14/5$, $x_2 = 11/3$ (b) $x_1 = 3$, $x_2 = 4$
(c) $x_1 = 16/5$, $x_2 = 10/3$ (d) none of these

73. Change in all the coefficients of a particular variable in a LPP

- (a) disturbs feasibility (b) disturbs optimality
(c) may disturb both feasibility and optimality (d) none of these

74. Change in some column of the coefficients of a LPP

- (a) disturbs feasibility (b) disturbs optimality
(c) may disturb both feasibility and optimality (d) none of these

75. Addition of variable and deletion of a constraint simultaneously to a LPP

- (a) disturbs feasibility (b) disturbs optimality
(c) may disturb both feasibility and optimality (d) none of these

76. In a max LPP, if a constraint is added then the objective function value

- (a) will decrease (b) will decrease or remains same
(c) will increase (d) nothing can be said

77. In a LPP the costs are changed and simultaneously a constraint is deleted, then in the optimal table

- (a) only feasibility may be disturbed (b) only optimality is disturbed
(c) both feasibility and optimality may be disturbed (d) nothing can be said

78. Write true and false for each of the following statements

- (a) Dual of dual is primal
- (b) To solve a LP problem with some constraints of the type \leq and some of the type \geq , it is must to use big M-method
- (c) In standard form of a LPP all constraints must be of the type \leq
- (d) A LPP with two constraints and three variables can be solved by the graphical method
- (e) A LPP may have two optimal solutions one nondegenerate and other one degenerate
- (f) In optimal table of a LPP, the relative cost for a nonbasic variable is the indication of alternate optimal solution. It is always possible to find alternate optimal basic feasible solution by permitting to enter this nonbasic variable into the basis
- (g) In general, the dual of a LPP with m equality constraints contains m unrestricted variables. It is possible to have a dual which has less than m unrestricted variables
- (h) The number of vertices of any closed bounded set can not be infinite
- (i) A LPP has 7 variables and 5 constraints. It is possible to find the optimal solution of this LPP by the graphical method
- (j) It is possible to construct examples in which primal and dual are both unbounded
- (k) A LPP has an optimal solution. It is possible to get unbounded solution by changing the right hand side vector arbitrarily
- (l) If a linear program is infeasible, then its dual must be unbounded.
- (m) If a minimization LPP problem has a feasible vector, then its dual can never go to unbounded maximum value.

Suggestion. For (f), see Problem 42.

79. In a balanced transportation problem with m sources and n destinations, the number of basic variables is

- (a) $m + n$ (b) $m + n + 1$ (c) $m + n - 1$ (d) none of these

- 80.** If some constant is added to each cost c_{ij} of a row or column in a transportation matrix, then the optimal value
(a) decreases (b) increases (c) may increase or decrease
(d) remains same
- 81.** In a balanced transportation problem with 3 sources and 3 destinations, the number of basic feasible solutions possible are
(a) 100 (b) 120 (c) 124 (d) 126
- 82.** In a balanced transportation model, if u_i 's and v_j 's are the dual variables associated with rows and columns, then
(a) $u_i \geq 0$ (b) $v_j \geq 0$ (c) $u_i, v_j \geq 0$ (d) u_i, v_j unrestricted
- 83.** In a balanced transportation problem with m sources and n destinations, the number of dual constraints will be
(a) $m + n$ (b) $m + n + 1$ (c) $m + n - 1$ (d) mn
- 84.** In a balanced transportation problem with m sources and n destinations, the number of nonbasic basic variables will be
(a) mn (b) $(m-1)(n-1)$ (c) $m(n+1)$ (d) $(n+1)m$
- 85.** In a balanced transportation problem with m sources and n destinations, the number of dual variables will be
(a) $m + n$ (b) $m + n + 1$ (c) $m + n - 1$ (d) none of these
- 86.** In a transportation problem, one dual variable can be assigned arbitrary
(a) any real value (b) only zero value
(c) only values ≥ 0 (d) only values ≤ 0
- 87.** For a balanced transportation problem with 2 sources and 3 destinations, the optimal solutions are $x_{11} = 10$, $x_{13} = 8$, $x_{22} = 4$, $x_{23} = 4$ and $x_{11} = 6$, $x_{13} = 12$, $x_{21} = 4$, $x_{22} = 4$, then $x_{11} = 8$, $x_{13} = 10$, $x_{21} = 2$, $x_{22} = 4$, $x_{23} = 2$
(a) is a solution but not optimal (b) may or may not be optimal
optimal solution
(c) is an optimal basic (d) is a nonbasic optimal
solution solution

- 88.** In TP one of the dual variable is assigned arbitrary value because
 (a) solution is available (b) one of the constraint immediately in TP is redundant
 (c) construction of loop (d) none of these.
 becomes simple
- 89.** In balanced TP, the dual variables are unrestricted in sign because
 (a) TP is a minimization (b) TP is with equality problem constraint
 (c) all decision variables (d) none of these.
 are integers
- 90.** In a balanced TP with two sources and three destinations and availabilities 30 at each source and demand 20 at each destination, the dual variables in the optimal table corresponding to sources and destinations are -1 , 2 and 1 , 2 , 3 , respectively. Then the optimal value is
 (a) 90 (b) 110 (c) 80 (d) 150
- 91.** In a transportation problem the value of the dual variables are not unique. If one dual variable is assigned two different values and remaining are computed as usual, then
 (a) $z_{ij} - c_{ij}$ will also change (b) $z_{ij} - c_{ij}$ are unique (c) may or may not change
- 92.** In an assignment problem with m jobs and m machines, the number of basic variables at zero level in a BFS is
 (a) m (b) $m - 1$ (c) $m + 1$ (d) none of these
- 93.** If some constant is added to each cost c_{ij} of the assignment matrix then the
 (a) optimal solution changes (b) optimal solution remains same.
- 94.** Which one of the following is not a deterministic model
 (a) Linear programming problem (b) Transportation problem
 (c) CPM (d) PERT

- 95** In a minimization ILPP with x_1 and x_2 as the terminal nodes of Branch and bound algorithm at a particular stage are shown below

$x_0 = 15$	$x_0 = 13$	$x_0 = 10$	$x_0 = 16$	$x_0 = 15$	$x_0 = 13$
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">1, 2</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">1.2, 3</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">1, 2.3</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">2, 5</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">2, 7.2</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">2, 3.5</div>
N(1)	N(2)	N(3)	N(4)	N(5)	N(6)

The next branching must be done from the node

- (a) N(5) (b) N(3) (c) N(2) (d) none of these
- 96.** In above problem the next branching corresponds to
- (a) $x_1 \leq 1, x_2 \geq 2$ (b) $x_1 \leq 2, x_2 \geq 3$
 (c) $x_1 \leq 7, x_2 \geq 7$ (d) none of these
- 97.** State true or false
- (a) The sum of two convex functions is convex
 (b) The product of two convex functions is convex
 (c) If in TP, two dual variables are assigned arbitrary value then the method will yield correct solution
 (d) Assignment problem is not a linear model
 (e) Assignment problem can be solved by using $u-v$ method
 (f) A cyclic solution of an assignment problem with m machines and m jobs is also a solution of traveling salesman problem with m cities
 (g) In traveling salesman problem we reduce the cost matrix first by row-wise and then by column-wise. If the process is reversed, then amount of reduction is always same
 (h) In traveling salesman problem with n cities the number of possible tours are $(n-1)!$
 (i) A maximum flow problem has always a unique solution
 (j) The sum of two unimodal functions is unimodal
 (k) A convex function is always unimodal
 (l) The minimal spanning tree always gives unique solution
- 98.** In an n -node square matrix the completion of Floyd's algorithm to find shortest route between any two nodes requires the number of comparisons:

- (a) n^2 (b) $n^2(n-1)$ (c) $n(n-1)^2$ (d) $n(n+1)$

- 99.** The following table shows the machine time (in days) for 5 jobs to be processed on two different machines M_1 and M_2 in the order M_1M_2 :

Job	1	2	3	4	5
M_1	3	7	4	5	7
M_2	6	2	7	3	4

The optimal sequence of jobs to be processed on these machines to minimize the total elapsed time is

- (a) $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5$ (b) $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$
 (c) $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$ (d) $1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$
- 100.** The number of all possible optimal sequences to minimize the total elapsed time required to complete the following tasks is (each job is processed in the order $M_1M_3M_2M_4$).

Job	1	2	3	4
Processing time on M_1	20	17	21	25
Processing time on M_2	10	7	8	5
Processing time on M_3	9	15	10	9
Processing time on M_4	25	5	9	25

- (a) 2 (b) 4 (c) 16 (d) 24
- 101.** The function $f(X) = 3x_1^2 - 2x_2^2 + x_3^2$ is
 (a) positive definite (b) positive semi-definite (c) negative definite (d) indefinite
- 102.** The function $f(X) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 2x_1x_2$ is
 (a) convex (b) strictly convex (c) concave (d) strictly concave
- 103.** In dichotomous search technique with $\delta = 0.1$ and $L_0 = 1$, width of initial interval of uncertainty, after four experiments the width of interval of uncertainty is reduced to
 (a) 0.325 (b) 0.375 (c) 0.425 (d) 0.475

- 104.** In Fibonacci search technique with $n = 5$ and $L_0 = 1$, the measure of effectiveness is
(a) 0.001 (b) 0.01 (c) 0.1 (d) 0.125
- 105.** Let L_4 and L'_4 be the length of interval of uncertainty after four experiments in Fibonacci and Golden section search, respectively. Then
(a) $L_4 < L'_4$ (b) $L'_4 < L_4$ (c) $L_4 = L'_4$ (d) none of these
- 106.** The number of experiments in Fibonacci search that reduce the interval of uncertainty to $\approx 0.001L_0$ (L_0 : initial interval of uncertainty) is
(a) 13 (b) 14 (c) 15 (d) 16
- 107.** The number of experiments in Golden search that reduce the interval of uncertainty to $\approx 0.05571L_0$ (L_0 : initial interval of uncertainty) is
(a) 7 (b) 8 (c) 9 (d) 10
- 108.** For positive definite quadratic X^TAX in $n(> 3)$ variables, let X_1 be the initial approximation for minimum point when the conjugate gradient method is applied. Then the exact minimum occurs at
(a) X_{n-1} (b) X_n (c) X_{n+1} (d) none of these
- 109.** Consider the following pay-off matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

If solved as game of pure strategies then the game value is

- (a) -2 (b) 1 (c) 2 (d) none of these

Bibliography

M. S. Bazara, J. J. Jevis and H. D. Sherali, *Linear Programming and Network Flows*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1990.

E. M. Beal, *Numerical methods in Nonlinear Programming*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

R. Bellman, *Dynamic Programming*, Princeton University Press, 1957.

K. H. Borgwardt, *The Simplex Method : A Probabilistic Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1987.

Kim Chaiho, *Introduction to Linear Programming*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1971.

V. Chavtal, *Linear Programming*, Freeman, San Francisco, 1983.

G. B. Dantzig, *Linear Programming and Extensions*, Princeton University Press, 1963.

I. S. Duff, A. M. Erisman and J. K. Reid, *Direct Methods for Sparse Matrices*, Claredon Press Oxford, 1986.

R. Duffin, E. Peterson and C. Zener, *Geometric Programming*, John Wiley and Sons, 1967.

R. J. Fraser, *Applied Linear Programming*, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1968.

S. I. Gauss, *Linear Programming : Methods and Applications*, 2nd edition, Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1964.

P. E. Gill, W. Murray and F. H. Wright, *Numerical Linear Algebra and Optimization*, Addison Wesley, California 1991.

- G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, 1983.
- M. Grotschel, L. Lovasz and A. Schrijver, *The Ellipsoid Method and Combinatorial Optimization*, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg 1988.
- G. Hadley, *Linear Algebra*, Addison Wesley, 1961.
- G. Hadley, *Linear Programming*, Addison Wesley Publishing Co., 1962.
- G. Hadley, *Nonlinear and Dynamic Programming*, Addison Wesley Publishing Co., 1969.
- J. Kelley, *The Critical Path Method: Resources Planning and Scheduling (An Industrial Scheduling)*, Muth and Thompson, Prentice Hall, 1963.
- D. G. Luenbeger, *Introduction to Linear and Nonlinear Programming*, 2nd edition, Addison Wesley, Reading MA, 1973.
- Claude Mcmillan Jr., *Mathematical Programming*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1975.
- P. L. Meyer, *Introductory Probability and Statistical Applications*, Addison Wesley, 1970.
- K. G. Murty, *Linear Programming*, John Wiley, New York, 1983.
- J. Nazareth, *Computer Solution of Linear Programs*, Oxford University Press, Oxford and New York, 1987.
- G. D. Nemhauser, *Introduction to Dynamic Programming*, John Wiley and Sons, 1970.
- R. T. Rockfeller, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1970.
- D. M. Simmons, *Nonlinear Programming for Operations Research*, Prentice Hall, 1975.
- H. A. Taha, *Operations Research : An Introduction*, Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, 1997.
- F. A. Volantine, *Convex Sets*, McGraw-Hill, Co, 1964.

P. P. Varaiya, *Notes on Optimization*, Von Nonstrand Reinhold Co, 1972.

G. R. Wash, *Methods of Optimization*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1975.

D. J. White, *Introduction to Dynamic Programming*, John Wiley and Sons, 1969.

M. Zeleny, *Linear Multiobjective Programming*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.

Answers

Problem Set 1

1. opt $z = 2x_1 + x'_2 - x_3^+ + x_3^- + 1$
s.t. $2x_1 + x'_2 - x_3^+ + x'_3 + s_1 = 4$
 $3x_1 - 2x'_2 - 3x_3^+ + 3x_3^- + s_2 = 5$
 $x_1 - 3x'_2 + 4x_3^+ - 4x_3^- - s_3 = 5$
 $x_1 + x'_2 + x_3^+ - x_3^- = 3$
 $x_1, x'_2, x_3^+, x_3^-, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$
2. opt $z = 2x_1 - x'_2 + x_3$
s.t. $x_1 + x'_2 + 2x_3 - s_1 = 2$
 $u + v + s_2 = 4$
 $2x_1 - x'_2 - x_3 - u + v = 0$
 $3x_1 + 2x'_2 - 7x_3 + s_4 = 3$
 $x_1, x'_2, x_3, u, v, s_1, s_2, s_4 \geq 0$
3. opt $z = x_1 + 2x'_2 - x_3^+ + x_3^- + 2p$
s.t. $x_1 + x'_2 - x_3^+ + x_3^- + s_1 = 5 - p$
 $x_1 - 2x'_2 - 3x_3^+ + 3x_3^- + s_2 = 4 + 2p$
 $2x_1 + 3x'_2 - 4x_3^+ + 4x_3^- - s_3 = 3 - 3p$
 $x_1 + x'_2 + x_3^+ - x_3^- = 2 - p$
 $x_1, x'_2, x_3^+, x_3^-, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0.$
The range of p is $-2 \leq p \leq 1.$

4. (a) This is not an LPP (b) $\min z = x_1^+ + x_1^- + 2x_2^+ + 2x_2^- - x_3$
 s.t. $x_1^+ - x_1^- + x_2^+ - x_2^- - x_3 \leq 9$
 $x_1^+ - x_1^- - 2x_2^+ + 2x_2^- + 3x_3 = 11$
 $x_1^+, x_1^-, x_2^+, x_2^-, x_3 \geq 0$
5. Assume $y = |\min\{x_1, x_2\}|$. The LPP is $\min z = y_1 + y_2 - 2y$
 s.t. $y_1 + y_2 - 2y + s_1 = 6$
 $y_1 - 2y_2 + y - s_2 = 3$
 $y_1, y_2, y, s_1, s_2 \geq 0$
6. Define $r = \frac{1}{6 + 3x_1 - x_2} > 0$. This implies $3x_1r - x_2r = 1 - 6r$.
 Let $rx_j = y_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. The required LP model is
 $\max 2y_1 + 5y_2 - 5y_3 - 3r$,
 s.t. $3y_1 - y_2 = 1 - 6r$
 $y_1 - y_2 \geq 0$
 $7y_1 + 9y_2 + 10y_3 \leq 30r$
 $y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq r, y_3 \geq 0$
7. x_j = number of units required of j th food, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$
 $\min z = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j$
 s.t. $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \geq b_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$
 $x_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.
8. x_i = number of units manufactured of i th product, $i = A, B$
 $\max z = 5x_A + 10x_B$
 s.t. $x_A + 5x_B \leq 10000$
 $3x_A + x_B \leq 7000$
 $x_A, x_B \geq 0$ and are integers
9. x_1 = number of belts of type A, x_2 = number of belts of type B,
 $\max z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$

$$\text{s.t. } 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 1500$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 1000$$

$$x_1 \leq 500$$

$$x_2 \leq 800$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and integers}$$

- 10.** m_1 = units of milk produced in Plant-I per day, m_2 = units of milk produced in Plant-II per day, b_1 = units of butter produced in Plant-I per day, b_2 = units of milk produced in Plant-II per day. Assume that one unit milk \equiv 1000 liters and one unit butter \equiv 100 kgs.

$$\min z = (15m_1 + 28b_1 + 18m_2 + 26b_2)1000$$

$$\text{s.t. } m_1 + m_2 \geq 10$$

$$b_1 + b_2 \geq 8$$

$$3m_1 + 2b_1 \leq 16$$

$$b_1 + 1.5b_2 \leq 16$$

$$m_1, m_2, b_1, b_2 \geq 0$$

11. $\max z = 75x_1 + 50x_2$

$$\text{s.t. } 25x_1 + 40x_2 \leq 4400$$

$$30x_1 + 15x_2 \leq 3300$$

$$\frac{6}{19} \leq \frac{x_2}{x_1} \leq \frac{17}{8}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and are integers}$$

- 12.** x_i = number of master rolls cut on the pattern p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$

$$\min z = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_8$$

$$\text{s.t. } 5x_1 + 3x_2 + 3x_3 + 2x_4 + x_5 \geq 200$$

$$x_2 + 2x_5 + x_6 + x_7 \geq 90$$

$$x_3 + x_6 + 2x_8 \geq 350$$

$$x_4 + x_7 \geq 850$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8 \geq 0 \text{ and integers}$$

- 13.** x_i = Number of parent metallic sheets cut on the pattern p_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min z = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\
&\text{s.t. } 10x_1 + 6x_2 + 2x_3 \geq 2500 \\
&\quad \quad x_2 + 2x_3 \geq 1500 \\
&\quad \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and are integers}
\end{aligned}$$

14. x_1 = number of tables and x_2 = number of chairs to be manufactured

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max z = 9x_1 + 6x_2 \\
&\text{s.t. } 30x_1 + 20x_2 \leq 381 \\
&\quad \quad 10x_1 + 5x_2 \leq 117 \\
&\quad \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \text{ and integers}
\end{aligned}$$

15. $\max z = 15x_1 + 25x_2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{s.t. } 3x_1 + 4x_2 = 100 \\
&\quad \quad 2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 70 \\
&\quad \quad x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 30 \\
&\quad \quad x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 3
\end{aligned}$$

16. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be the number of models I, II, III, respectively to be manufactured.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max z = 30x_1 + 20x_2 + 60x_3 \\
&\text{s.t. } 6x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 4200 \\
&\quad \quad 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 \leq 2000 \\
&\quad \quad 4x_1 + 2x_2 + 7x_3 \leq 3000 \\
&\quad \quad x_1 \geq 200, x_2 \geq 200, x_3 \geq 150 \text{ and integers}
\end{aligned}$$

17. x_{ij} = number of units of product i processed on machine j , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$; $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min z = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij}x_{ij} \\
&\text{s.t. } a_{i1}x_{11} + a_{i2}x_{12} + \cdots + a_{in}x_{1n} \leq b_i \\
&\quad \quad x_{1j} + x_{2j} + \cdots + x_{mj} \geq d_j \\
&\quad \quad x_{ij} \geq 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.
\end{aligned}$$

18. x_{ij} = the amount (in tons) of the i th commodity given the placement at j th position, $i = A, B, C$, $j = 1$ (for forward), 2 (for

centre), 3 (for after)

$$\begin{aligned}\max z = & 60(x_{A1} + x_{A2} + x_{A3}) + 80(x_{B1} + x_{B2} + x_{B3}) \\ & + 50(x_{C1} + x_{C2} + x_{C3})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{s.t. } & x_{A1} + x_{A2} + x_{A3} \leq 6000 \\ & x_{B1} + x_{B2} + x_{B3} \leq 4000 \\ & x_{C1} + x_{C2} + x_{C3} \leq 2000 \\ & x_{A1} + x_{B1} + x_{C1} \leq 2000 \\ & x_{A2} + x_{B2} + x_{C2} \leq 3000 \\ & x_{A3} + x_{B3} + x_{C3} \leq 1500 \\ & 60x_{A1} + 50x_{B1} + 25x_{C1} \leq 100000 \\ & 60x_{A2} + 50x_{B2} + 25x_{C2} \leq 135000 \\ & 60x_{A3} + 50x_{B3} + 25x_{C3} \leq 30000 \\ & x_{ij} \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

19. $\min z = y$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{s.t. } & 20x_{1a} + 25x_{2a} + 20x_{3a} - y \geq 0 \\ & 25x_{1b} + 20x_{2b} + 5x_{3b} - y \geq 0 \\ & x_{1a} + x_{1b} \leq 100 \\ & x_{2a} + x_{2b} \leq 150 \\ & x_{3a} + x_{3b} \leq 200 \\ & x_{ij}, y \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \quad j = 1, b\end{aligned}$$

20. The first constraint $6x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3$ in answer to Problem 16 is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + 2x_2 & \leq 700 \\ x_2 + 3x_3 & \leq 1400\end{aligned}$$

21. $\max z = \min \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i1}x_{i1}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i2}x_{i2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^m a_{in}x_{in} \right\}$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{s.t. } & x_{i1} + x_{i2} + \dots + x_{in} \leq b_i \\ & x_{ij} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n\end{aligned}$$

- 22.** Let x_j be the number of waiters recruited on j th day, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$

$$\min z = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_1 + x_4 + x_5 \geq 25$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_5 \geq 35$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \geq 40$$

$$x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \geq 30$$

$$x_3 + x_4 + x_5 \geq 20$$

$$0 \leq x_j \leq 30 \text{ and are integers}$$

- 23.** x_{ij} = number of buses of type i allocated to city j ; $i = 1, 2, 3$,
 $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. s_j = number of passenger not served for the cities
 $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\begin{aligned} \min z = & 3000x_{11} + 2200x_{12} + 2400x_{13} + 1500x_{14} \\ & + 3600x_{21} + 3000x_{22} + 3300x_{23} + 2400x_{24} \\ & + 3500x_{31} + 4500x_{32} + 3600x_{33} + 2000x_{34} \\ & + 40s_1 + 50s_2 + 45s_3 + 70s_4, \end{aligned}$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 x_{1j} \leq 5, \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 x_{2j} \leq 8, \quad \sum_{j=1}^4 x_{3j} \leq 10$$

$$300x_{11} + 280x_{21} + 250x_{31} + s_1 = 1000$$

$$200x_{12} + 210x_{22} + 250x_{32} + s_2 = 2000$$

$$200x_{13} + 210x_{23} + 200x_{33} + s_3 = 900$$

$$100x_{14} + 140x_{24} + 100x_{34} + s_4 = 1200$$

$$\text{all } x_{ij} \geq 0, \text{ and } s_j \geq 0$$

- 24.** x_{iA} = amount invested in year i under Scheme A; x_{iB} = amount invested in year i under Scheme B

$$\max z = 2.4x_{2B} + 1.6x_{3A}$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_{1A} + x_{1B} \leq 2,00,000$$

$$x_{2A} + x_{2B} \leq 1.6x_{1A}$$

$$x_{3A} \leq 2.4x_{1B} + 1.6x_{2A}$$

$$x_{iA}, x_{iB} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

- 25.** Define x_1 = number of units of P_1 made on regular time; x_2 = number of units of P_2 made on regular time; x_3 = number of units of P_1 made on overtime; x_4 = number of units of P_2 made on overtime; x_5 = number of units of P_1 made on regular time on M_1 and overtime on M_2 ; x_6 = number of units of P_2 made on regular time on M_1 and overtime on M_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 8x_1 + 10x_2 + 4x_3 + 8x_4 + 6x_5 + 9x_6 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 5x_1 + 4x_2 + 5x_5 + 4x_6 \leq 120 \text{ (regular time of } M_1) \\ & 5x_3 + 4x_4 \leq 50 \text{ (overtime of } M_1) \\ & 3x_1 + 6x_2 \leq 150 \text{ (regular time on } M_2) \\ & 3x_3 + 6x_4 + 3x_5 + 6x_6 \leq 40 \text{ (overtime on } M_2) \\ & x_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 6 \end{aligned}$$

- 26.** $\max \quad z = (x_1 + x_{11} + x_{12})p_1 + (y_1 + y_{11} + y_{12})p_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{s.t.} \quad & 24x_1 + 36y_1 - 0.75x_{11} - 0.75y_{11} - 0.33y_{12} \leq G_1 \\ & 8x_1 + 12y_1 - 0.5x_{11} - 0.5y_{11} \leq G_2 \\ & 100x_1 + 50y_1 - 0.9x_{11} - 0.9y_{11} - 0.6y_{12} \leq P_1 \\ & x_1, x_{11}, x_{12}, y_1, y_{11}, y_{12} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 27.** x_1 = number of units of P_1 , x_2 = number of units of P_2

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 10x_1 + 30x_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & -0.6x_1 + 0.4x_2 \leq 0 \\ & x_1 \leq 100 \\ & x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 120 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- 28.** $\max \quad z = 4000(x_{1A} + x_{1B} + x_{1C}) + 3000(x_{2A} + x_{2B} + x_{2C}) + 4000(x_{3A} + x_{3B} + x_{3C})$
subject to

Availability of acreage for each crop

$$\begin{aligned} x_{1A} + x_{1B} + x_{1C} &\leq 700 \\ x_{2A} + x_{2B} + x_{2C} &\leq 800 \\ x_{3A} + x_{3B} + x_{3C} &\leq 300 \end{aligned}$$

Availability of usable acreage in each farm

$$x_{1A} + x_{2A} + x_{3A} \leq 400$$

$$x_{1B} + x_{2B} + x_{3B} \leq 600$$

$$x_{1C} + x_{2C} + x_{3C} \leq 300$$

Water available (in acre feet) constraints

$$5x_{1A} + 4x_{2A} + 3x_{3A} \leq 1500$$

$$5x_{1B} + 4x_{2B} + x_{3C} \leq 2000$$

$$5x_{1C} + 4x_{2C} + x_{3C} \leq 900$$

To ensure that the percentage of usable acreage is same for each farm

$$\frac{x_{1A} + x_{1B} + x_{1C}}{400} = \frac{x_{2A} + x_{2B} + x_{2C}}{600} = \frac{x_{3A} + x_{3B} + x_{3C}}{300} \text{ or}$$

$$3(x_{1A} + x_{1B} + x_{1C}) = 2(x_{2A} + x_{2B} + x_{2C})$$

$$x_{2A} + x_{2B} + x_{2C} = 2(x_{3A} + x_{3B} + x_{3C})$$

$$x_{ij} \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \quad j = A, B, C$$

29. $\max z = \sum_{j=1}^8 u_j(1 - p_{1j})^{x_{1j}} p_{2j}^{x_{2j}} p_{3j}^{x_{3j}}$, subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^8 x_{ij} \leq a_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 x_{ij} \geq b_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, 8$$

$$x_{ij}, p_{ij} \geq 0,$$

where p_{ij} is the probability that target j will be undamaged by weapon i and x_{ij} is the number of weapons i assigned for target j .

Problem Set 2

1. (a) Not convex; (b) convex; (c) not convex; (d) convex; (e) not convex; (f) not convex
2. $\min z = 2x_1 + x_2$,
s.t. $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1$
 $x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 2$
 $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

7. The equivalent system with inequalities is
- $$x + 1 + x + 2 \leq 1$$
- $$2x_1 - 4x_3 \leq -5$$
- $$-3x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3 \leq 4$$
10. (a) Triangle with vertices at $(0, -1)$, $(2, 0)$, $(1, 2)$; (b) \mathbb{R}^2 ; (c) Disc $\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1\}$; (d) quadrilateral with vertices as these points.
12. (a) $(0, 2, 0, 0, 0)$; (b) $(-6, 14, 0, 0, 0)$; (c) for x_3 and x_4 as basic variables: infinite solutions; (d) if we take x_1 and x_5 as basic variables: nonexistent solution
13. $(1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $(0, 5/3, 4/3, 0, 0)$, $(3, 0, 0, 0, 8)$
17. No
19. $\binom{n-p}{m-p}$
20. Problem 18 ensures the existence of three bases corresponding to the degenerate BFS $(0, 2, 0, 0)$. Two bases are given in Example 2, Section 2.3 and the third one is
- $$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -8 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$
21. $(-11, 5, 2, 8)$
24. (a) $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 0$, $z = -2$; (b) Unbounded solution
25. The optimum will not exist until the objective function is constant.
29. $\min z = -x_1 - x_2 + 14$,
s.t. $-3x_1 + x_2 \leq 3$
 $x_2 \leq 7$
 $x_1 - x_2 \leq 2$
 $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$
Optimal solution: $x_1 = 9$, $x_2 = 7$, $z = -2$
30. $(1, 1, 0, 0)$, $(1, 0, 1, 0)$, $(1, 0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 7/2, 0, -3/2)$, $(0, 0, -7/2, -3/2)$

Problem Set 3

2. (a) $x_1 = 2/3$, $x_2 = 7/3$, $z = 16/3$; (b) $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 2$, $z = 14$;
 (c) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 1$, $z = 1$; (d) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 30$, $z = 18$

3. $\max z = -4x_1 + x_2$

s.t. $7x_1 - 3x_2 \leq 3$

$$-2x_1 + x_2 \leq 1$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

4. Basic solution $(4, 0, -6, 0)$, but not feasible

5. Unbounded solution

6. $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = -2$, $z = 6$

8. (i) x_3 , x_1 and s_3 are the basic variables in that order; (ii) The LPP is

$$\max z = 6x_1 + 2x_2 + 10x_3 + 2x_4,$$

s.t. $x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 5$

$$3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \leq 10$$

$$x_1 + x_3 + x_4 \leq 8$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

10. Unbounded solution

11. (a) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 2$, $s_1 = 1$, $s_2 = 0$, $s_3 = 7$

(b) $x_1 = 1/8$, $x_2 = 9/4$, $s_1 = 11/8$, $s_2 = s_3 = 0$

12. (a) $(0, 2, 1, 0, 1)$ (b) $(1/8, 9/4, 11/8, 0, 0)$

13. $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = x_4 = 1$, $x_5 = 3$, $z = 5$

15. The solution space is unbounded in x_2 direction

16. $x_1 = 7$, $x_2 = -1$, $z = 22$

17. Optimal solution: $x_1 = 22/5$, $x_2 = 31/5$, $x_3 = 0$, $z = 8$

18. x_1 must be preferred if $\theta_1(z_1 - c_1) < \theta_2(z_2 - c_2)$ for min problem, and the reverse inequality is considered for max problem

21. $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 1$, $x_4 = 0$, $z = -5/4$

Problem Set 4

1. $C = -b$ and A is skew-symmetric matrix

2. Yes, take (x_1, x_2) as the starting BFS

3. Dual:

$$\max z = -y_1 - 2y_2 - 2y_3$$

$$\text{s.t. } -y_1 + y_2 - y_3 \leq -2$$

$$-2y_1 + y_3 \leq -3$$

$$y_2 - 2y_3 \leq -1$$

$$y_1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$$

Optimal solution of the primal $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 1/2$, $x_3 = 5/4$, $x_0 = -11/4$

4. Optimal solution of primal: $x_1 = 1/8$, $x_2 = 9/4$, $x_0 = 7/4$;

Optimal solution of the dual: $y_1 = 0$, $y_2 = 7/4$, $y_3 = 1/4$, $y_0 = 7/4$.

5. Dual:

$$\min y_0 = 14y_1 + 17y_2 - 19y_3 + 100$$

$$\text{s.t. } -3y_1 - 5y_2 + 2y_3 \leq -9$$

$$8y_1 - 2y_2 - 4y_3 \leq 6$$

$$-5y_1 + 6y_2 = -4$$

$$y_1, y_3 \geq 0, y_2 \text{ unrestricted}$$

6. Primal:

$$\min z = -2x_1 - 3x_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } x_1 - x_2 \geq 3$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \geq 2$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Dual:

$$\max z' = 3y_1 + 2y_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } y_1 - y_2 \leq -2$$

$$-y_1 + y_2 \leq -3$$

$$y_1, y_2 \geq 0$$

7. $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_0 = 15$; Dual:

$$\min y_0 = -3y_1 + 5y_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } 2y_1 + 3y_2 \geq 2$$

$$-y_1 + y_2 \geq 3$$

$$y_1, y_2 \geq 0$$

10. Converse is also true

11. $\min x_0 = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3$

$$\text{s.t. } x_2 + x_3 \geq -2$$

$$-x_1 + 3x_3 \geq 3$$

$$-2x_1 - 3x_2 \geq 4$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

13. yes, converse is also true

15. $\min y_0 = 5y_1 + 10y_2 + 8y_3$

$$\text{s.t. } 3y_2 + y_3 \geq 6$$

$$y_1 - y_2 \geq 2$$

$$2y_1 + y_2 + y_3 \geq 10$$

$$y_2 + y_3 \geq 0$$

$$Y - 1, y_2, y_3 \geq 0$$

Optimal solution: $y_1 = 4$, $y_2 = 2$, $y_3 = 0$, $y_0 = 40$

16. $x_1 = -4$, $x_2 = 4$, $x_0 = 0$

17. $y_1 = 3$, $y_2 = -1$, $y_0 = 5$

18. (b) $y_1 = 1$, $y_2 = -4/3$, $y_0 = 11/3$

19. Optimal solution is $x_1 = 1.286$, $x_2 = 0.476$, $x_3 = 0$, $z = 2.095$

20. No feasible solution

21. Optimal of primal: $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 3.57$, $x_4 = 1.43$, $x_5 = 0$, $x_6 = 0.86$, $x_0 = 2.71$, Optimal of dual: $y_1 = -0.36$, $y_2 = 0.07$, $y_3 = 0.93$, $y_0 = 2.71$

- 22.** $\max y_0 = b^T Y + \ell^T Y' - u^T Y''$, subject to $A^T Y + \mathbf{I}Y' - \mathbf{I}Y'' \leq C$, where $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ unrestricted, $Y' = (y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_n) \geq 0$, $Y'' = (y''_1, y''_2, \dots, y''_n) \geq 0$ and \mathbf{I} is identity matrix of order n
- 23.** $\max y_0 = y_{m+1}$, subject to $A^T Y + e y_{m+1} \leq C$, where $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T$ and y_{m+1} are unrestricted

Problem Set 5

1. $x_1 = 71/10$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 0$, $x_4 = 13/10$, $x_5 = 0$, $x_6 = 2/5$, $z = 71/10$
2. Infeasible solution (no solution)
3. $x_1 = 5/3$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_0 = 10/3$
4. $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 14$, $x_3 = 9$, $z = -9$
5. $x_1 = 4$, $x_2 = 15/4$, $x_3 = 0$, $z = 123/14$
6. $y_1 = 3$, $y_2 = 5$, $y_3 = 2$, $z = 34$
7. A-type belts = 200, B-type belts 800, maximum profit = 2200
8. $\max z = 6x_1 + 7.50x_2 - 0.5x_3^-$
s.t. $10x_1 + 12x_2 + x_3^+ - x_3^- = 2500$
 $150 \leq x_1 \leq 200$
 $x_2 \leq 45$
all var ≥ 0
 - (a) Optimal solution $x_1 = 200$, $x_2 = 45$, $x_3^+ = 0$, $x_3^- = 40$ and $z = \$1517.50$
 - (b) Optimal solution $x_1 = 196$, $x_2 = 45$, $x_3^+ = 0$, $x_3^- = 0$ and $z = \$1513.50$, hence no overtime is recommended by the new solution.
9. $x_1 = 47/20$, $x_2 = 1/10$, $x_3 = 27/10$, $x_4 = 6/4$, $z = 479/10$
11. Taking $Q = 2^6 = 65$, $n = 6$ and $M > 0$ (large), the Karmarkar's standard form is

$$\begin{aligned}
\min \quad & y_0 = 65y_1 + 65y_2 + 65y_3 - 195y_4 + 390y_5 + 260y_6 + My_9 \\
\text{s.t.} \quad & y_1 + y_2 + 3y_4 - y_5 + 2y_6 - 6y_7 = 0 \\
& y_2 + y_3 - y_4 + 4y_5 + y_6 - 3y_7 - 3y_8 = 0 \\
& y_1 + y_3 - 2y_4 + y_5 + y_5 + 5y_6 - 5y_7 - y_8 \\
& y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6 + y_7 - 64y_8 + 57y_9 = 0 \\
& y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + y_4 + y_5 + y_6 + y_7 + y_8 + y_9 = 1 \\
& \text{all var} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

- 12.** With $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{6}$, $x^1 = (4/9, 5/18, 5/18)$, $\min = 5/9$. Continuing this iterative process, the Karmarkar's algorithm will stop at the optimal solution $x^1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $\min = 0$

Problem Set 6

- For same optimal solution the variation in costs satisfies the inequality $\underline{\delta} \leq \delta \leq \bar{\delta}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\delta} &= \max_j \left\{ \max_k \left(\frac{z_j - c_j}{\alpha_k^j}, \alpha_k^j, j \in \overline{N} \right), -\infty \right\}, \\
\bar{\delta} &= \min_j \left\{ \min_k \left(\frac{z_j - c_j}{\alpha_k^j}, \alpha_k^j, j \in \overline{N} \right), +\infty \right\}
\end{aligned}$$
- For same optimal basis the variation δ in b satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\delta} \leq \delta \leq \bar{\delta}, \text{ where } \underline{\delta} &= \max_k \left\{ \max_i \left\{ \frac{X_{B_i}}{-\beta_{ik}}, \beta_{ik} > 0 \right\}, -\infty \right\}, \\
\bar{\delta} &= \min_k \left\{ \min_i \left\{ \frac{X_{B_i}}{-\beta_{ik}}, \beta_{ik} < 0 \right\}, +\infty \right\}
\end{aligned}$$
- (a) $c_1 \in [1, 6]$ (b) $b_2 \in [5/3, 10]$
- (a) $x_1 = 7/2$, $x_2 = 1/2$, $x_0 = 17/2$ (b) $x_1 = 12/5$, $x_2 = 6/5$, $x_0 = 42/5$ (c) $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 1$, $x_0 = 5$ or $x_1 = 5/2$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_0 = 5$
- (a) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 2$, $x_3 = 0$, $x_0 = -4$ (b) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 6$, $x_3 = 4$, $x_0 = -8$ (c) Unbounded solution
- $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 8$, $z = -8$, Dual variables $y_1 = 1$, $y_2 = 0$, $y_0 = 8$; (a) optimal solution remains unchanged (b) optimal solution remains unchanged (c) $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 4$, $x_0 = -4$

- (d) Increase right side of the first constraint. Since $C_B^T B^{-1} = (-1, 0)$, the increase in right side of the first constraint will further reduce the objective function value, it is profitable to increase right side of the first constraint. Increase in right side of second constraint will cause no effect on the objective function value.
10. (i) Optimal solution $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 8$, $x_3 = 20/3$, $x_0 = 20/3$, $x_0 = 680/3$; (ii) $c_1 > 44/3$; (iii) $c_2 = 56/3$; (iv) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 43/5$, $x_3 = 17/3$, $x_0 = 686/3$ when $b_1 = 103$, and $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 12$, $x_3 = 0$, $x_0 = 240$, when $b_1 = 200$
11. $x_1 = 7/5$, $x_2 = 2/5$, $z = 4$; $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 1$, $z' = 6$
12. (a) Deletion of first constraint renders the LPP with unbounded solution. Similar is the case when third constraint is deleted (b) The deletion of second constraint causes no effect on the optimal solution
13. $x_1 = 20$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_0 = 80$

Problem Set 7

1. $x_{12} = 5$, $x_{13} = 20$, $x_{21} = 20$, $x_{24} = 10$, $x_{32} = 15$, $x_{34} = 5$, $x_{43} = 10$, $x_{45} = 25$, $x_0 = 590$
3. $x_{13} = 50$, $x_{21} = 30$, $x_{23} = 120$, $x_{31} = 70$, $x_{32} = 130$, $x_{43} = 30$; $x_0 = 1460$
4. $x_{12} = 50$, $x_{22} = 50$, $x_{23} = 100$, $x_{31} = 100$, $x_{33} = 100$, $x_{42} = 30$; $x_0 = 1500$
5. $x_{12} = 15$, $x_{13} = 13$, $x_{21} = 5$, $x_{23} = 7$, $x_{24} = 6$, $x_{25} = 5$, $x_{34} = 19$; $x_0 = 148$
6. N-W rule: 1765, LCM: 1800, VAM: 1695, modified VAM: 1645. Clearly, modified VAM gives better initial basic feasible solution.
7. $\binom{m(n+1)}{m+n}$
8. (a) No (b) up to 4 (c) yes, $x_{12} = 12$, $x_{14} = 9$, $x_{1\text{dummy}} = 1$, $x_{23} = 10$, $x_{31} = 5$, $x_{3\text{dummy}} = 3$, $x_0 = 89$
9. 270

12. (a) Not an optimal schedule (b) 4 (c) no change
13. $x_{13} = 20, x_{21} = 30, x_{24} = 10, x_{32} = x_{33} = 25; x_0 = 495$
14. $W_1 \rightarrow M_4, W_2 \rightarrow M_3, W_3 \rightarrow M_2, W_4 \rightarrow M_1, W_5 \rightarrow M_5, W_5 \rightarrow M_6$
15. $M_1 \rightarrow J_1, M_2 \rightarrow J_2, M_3 \rightarrow J_3, M_4 \rightarrow J_4; x_0 = 19$
16. $1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 4$, total cost: \$15,000
17. $M_1 \rightarrow A, M_2 \rightarrow C, M_3 \rightarrow B, M_4 \rightarrow D$, minimum cost of installation: 34
21. The formulation of the problem is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min \quad & x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ijk} x_{ijk} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^m x_{ijk} \geq a_{ik}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^l x_{ijk} \geq b_{jk}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{demand}) \\
 & \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ijk} \leq d_{ij}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l; \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (\text{supply}) \\
 & x_{ijk} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j, \text{ and } k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem Set 8

1. (a) Connect nodes 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4, 3 and 5, 3 and 6, 5 and 7, minimum length = 14 units (b) shortest path: $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7$, shortest distance = 10 units, alternate shortest path: $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7$
2. (a) $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7$, distance 11 units (b) $7 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$, distance 11 units (c) $2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7$, distance 7 units
3. max flow 25 units and optimal flow in arcs $1 \rightarrow 2$: 8 units, $1 \rightarrow 3$: 13, $1 \rightarrow 5$: 4, $2 \rightarrow 4$: 2, $2 \rightarrow 5$: 6, $3 \rightarrow 4$: 3, $3 \rightarrow 5$: 10, $4 \rightarrow 5$: 5

- (a) surplus capacities: $1 \rightarrow 3$ 1 unit, $2 \rightarrow 4$ 2 units $3 \rightarrow 4$ 3 units;
 - (b) flow through node 2 = 8 units, through node 3 = 13 units, through node 4 = 5 units;
 - (c) can be increased along $3 \rightarrow 5$ because there is surplus capacity at node 1, increase along $4 \rightarrow 5$ is also possible;
 - (d) alternative optimal solution: max flow 25 units and optimal flow in arcs $1 \rightarrow 2$: 7, $1 \rightarrow 3$: 14, $1 \rightarrow 5$: 4, $2 \rightarrow 4$: 3, $2 \rightarrow 5$: 6, $3 \rightarrow 2$: 4, $3 \rightarrow 4$: 3, $3 \rightarrow 5$: 10, $4 \rightarrow 5$: 5
4. maximum flow = 110 million bbl/day; (a) Refinery 1 = 20 million bbl/day, Refinery 2 = 80 million bbl/day, Refinery 10 million bbl/day; (b) Terminal 7 = 60 million bbl/day, Terminal 8 = 50million bbl/day; (c) Pump 4 = 30 million bbl/day, Pump 5 = 50 million bbl/day, Pump 6 = 70 million bbl/day
5. maximum flow = 100 million bbl/day; Pump 4 = 30 million bbl/day, Pump 5 = 40 million bbl/day, Pump 6 = 60 million bbl/day.

Problem Set 9

1. Denote $(1,2)=A$, $(1,3)=D$, $(2,4)=B$, $(3,5)=E$, $(4,5)=C$ and join appropriate nodes in ascending order
2. Critical path: *BEGIJ*
3. Take $A = (1, 2)$, $B = (1, 3)$, $C = (1, 4)$, $D = (2, 3)$, $G = (3, 4)$, $I = (3, 5)$, $E = (2, 6)$, $F = (2, 7)$, $H = (4, 6)$, dummy activity = $(6, 7)$, $J = (6, 9)$, $M = (7, 8)$, $O = (8, 9)$, dummy activity $(5, 8)$, $L = (5, 9)$, $K = (5, 10)$, $P = (9, 10)$ and join appropriate nodes in ascending order
4. A is initial node and H is terminal node, Also, introduce dummy activity from E to F. Critical path: $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow H$, Normal duration 29 days
5. Critical path *ADFI*, 67 days
6. Critical Path: $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 13$. The normal duration is 320 hours

7. Critical path: $ABEG$ (dummy activity connects D and E), Normal duration = 26 days
8. The most economical schedule is for 21 days with total cost = 9535

Problem Set 10

1. $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2$, Total elapsed time = 28 days, idle time for $M_1 = 2$ days, idle time for $M_2 = 6$ days
2. $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5$, Total elapsed time = 485 hours, idle time for $M_1 = 20$ hours, idle time for $M_2 = 105$ hours
3. $A \rightarrow D \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow F \rightarrow E$, Total elapsed time = 85 hours, idle time for $M_1 = 12$ hours, idle time for $M_2 = 9$ hours
4. No method is applicable
5. (a) $3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$, alternative sequence $3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$, Total elapsed time = 54 hours (b) $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7$, Total elapsed time = 88 hours
6. $D \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \rightarrow B$
8. Job 1 precedes job 2 on machine M_1 , job 1 precedes job 2 on machine M_2 , job 1 precedes job 2 on machine M_3 , job 2 precedes on machine 1; Total elapsed time $22 + 10 + 1 = 33$ hours
9. $4 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2$, Total elapsed time = 159 minutes, idle time for $A = 17$ minutes, idle time for $B = 3$ minutes

Problem Set 11

1. $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 3$, min value = 4.
2. $x_1 = 15$, $x_2 = 2$, min value = 106
4. Optimal tour $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$, Cost of travel = 14
5. Optimal tour $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$, Travel cost = 13
6. Optimal tour $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$, Travel cost = 170

9. x_i = amount of the food item i to be loaded, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

$$\max 40x_1 + 50x_2 + 60x_3 + 55x_4 + 60x_5$$

$$\text{s.t. } 20x_1 + 30x_2 + 40x_3 + 55x_4 + 80x_5 \leq 105$$

$$x_i = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

Problem Set 12

2. $x = 0, y = 2$, min value: 2
3. $y_1 = 18/3, y_2 = 12/13$ min value: 30/13
4. $y_1 = 1, y_2 = 1, y_3 = 18$ max value: 326, For alternate solutions any two variables at unity level and third variable at 18.
5. $y_1 = 4, y_2 = 5, y_3 = 1, y_0 = 38$
7. $x_1 = 7, x_2 = 11, z_{\max} = 106$
8. $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 6, z_{\max} = 36$

Problem Set 13

1. (a) Indefinite (b) positive semi-definite
2. (a) $\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 > 1/3, x_2 > 3 - 7x_1\}$ (b) $\{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 > 0, x_1x_2 > 1\}$
3. Not convex
4. Minimum points: $X_1 = (2, 2, 1), X_2 = (2, -2, 1), X_3 = (2.8, 0, 1.4)$ is not an extreme point
9. Optimal solution $x_1 = 2/3, x_2 = 14/9, z_{\max} = 22/9$.
10. Optimal solution $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 1, f_{\max} = 1$
11. Infeasible solution
12. Optimal solution $x_1 = 4/11, x_2 = 3/11, x_0 = 5/11$.
13. $x_A = 0, x_B = 85/2; f(X) = 80325/4, x_i$ = number of units of product $i, i = A, B$

15. $\min z = 3x_1^2 + 3x_2^2 + 8x_3$

s.t. $x_1 - x_3 = 200$

$x_2 + x_3 = 200$

$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$

$x_1 = 748/3$, $x_2 = 752/3$, $x_3 = 148/3$, where x_1 = number of units produced in January, x_2 = number of units produced in February, x_3 = number of units sold at the end of January.

Problem Set 14

4. (a) 34 (b) 20 (c) 16 (d) 16

5. (a) $X_{\min} = 1.49975$, $f_{\min} = 0.943$ (b) $X_{\min} = 1.845$, $f_{\min} = 0.25$
(c) $X_{\min} = 1.932$, $f_{\min} = 0.026$

6. For both methods with $n = 8$, $X_{\min} = 0.01$, $f_{\min} = 2.01$

10. Minimum point $X_3 = (-1, 3/2)^T$ and $f_{\min} = 0.25$

11. Minimum point $X_2 = (4/3, 0)^T$ and $f_{\min} = 248/27$, only one iteration is required, since $\nabla f(X_2) = (0, 0)^T$.

Problem Set 15

1. (a) $\sqrt{2}$ (b) 1

5. minimum cost = $2c_4V$; each side = $[2c_4V/(c_1 + c_2 + c_3)]^{1/3}$ $L = [Vc_1^2/(\pi c_2^2N)]^{1/3}$, $R = [Vc_2/(\pi c_1N)]^{1/3}$, L and R are length and radius of the pipe, respectively

10. $100[1 - n(1 + 0.01k)]$

11. $x_0 = (2^{11/4}/5^{5/8})c_1^{5/8}c_2^{1/8}c_3^{1/4}$, $D = 5x_0/8c_1$, $Q = 4c_3/x_0$

12. $P_2 = (P_1^2P_4)^{1/3}$, $P_3 = (P_1P_4^2)^{1/3}$

13. $D = (5c_2/c_1)^{1/6}$

Problem Set 16

1. $x_1 = 25/3$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 5/3$, $s_2^+ = 25/3$. Since $s_1^- = s_1^+ = 0$ and $s_3^+ = 0$, the first and third goals are fully satisfied. However, the employment level exceeds by $25/3$, i.e., 833 employees.
4. (b) The formulation of the problem is

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & S = P_1(s_1^- + s_1^+) + P_2s_2^+ + P_3s_3^+ + P_4s_4^- + P_5s_5^+ + P_6s_6^+ \\ & + P_7s_7^- + P_8s_8^+ \end{aligned}$$

s.t.

$$2x_1 + 5x_2 + 4x_3 + d_1 = 600$$

$$3x_1 + 7x_2 + 5x_3 + d_2 = 500$$

$$2x_1 + 5x_2 + 6x_3 + s_1^- - s_1^+ = 450$$

$$4x_1 + 3x_2 + 3x_3 + s_2^- - s_2^+ = 500$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + s_3^- - s_3^+ = 600$$

$$3x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 + s_4^- - s_4^+ = 500$$

$$3x_1 + 4x_2 + 7x_3 + s_5^- - s_5^+ = 900$$

$$5x_1 + 3x_2 + 3x_3 + s_6^- - s_6^+ = 800$$

$$3x_1 + 6x_2 + 4x_3 + s_7^- - s_7^+ = 400$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + s_8^- - s_8^+ = 500$$

$$d_1, d_2, x_j, s_i^-, s_i^+ \geq 0, j = 1, 2, 3; i = 1, 2, \dots, 8.$$

The optimal solution is $x_1 = 91.66$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 44.44$, $d_1 = 238.88$, $d_2 = 2.78$, $s_3^- = 238.88$, $s_4^- = 2.78$, $s_5^- = 313.88$, $s_6^- = 208.33$, $s_7^+ = 52.77$, $s_8^- = 136.11$, $S = 2.78$. The algorithm requires 4 iterations to find the optimal solution of the problem whereas, the number of iterations required by the lexicographic minimization method using LINDO software is 8.

6. The optimal solution is $x_1 = 27/13$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 3/26$, $N_g = 4$. The goal constraints with the respective priorities being assigned

are:

$$x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \leq 2$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 3$$

$$4x_1 - x_2 + 6x_3 = 9$$

$$3x_1 - 2x_2 - 2x_3 \geq 4$$

$$-2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \geq 2 \quad P_5$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Here the goal constraints (1), (2), (3) and (4) may be assigned any priorities from P_1 to P_4 .

Problem Set 17

1. $x_1 = 1/2$, $x_2 = 1/2$, value of game = 2.5; $y_1 = 1/2$, $y_2 = 1/2$, $y_3 = 0$
3. $x_1 = 1/2$, $x_2 = 1/2$, value of game = 0; $y_1 = 2/3$, $y_2 = 1/3$, $y_3 = 0$
4. $x_1 = 2/3$, $x_2 = 1/3$, value of game = $4/3$; $y_1 = 2/3$, $y_2 = 1/3$
5. $x_1 = 0$, $x_1 = 2/3$, value of game = $7/3$; $y_1 = 8/9$, $y_2 = 1/9$, $y_3 = 0$
6. $p = (5, \infty)$, $q = (-\infty, 5)$
7. x_1 , x_2 and x_3 are the investments on Deposits, Bonds and Stocks, respectively. (a) $x_1 = 1,000,000$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 0$, value of the game = 8,000; (b) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 87,500$, $x_3 = 12,500$, value of the game = 8,750; (c) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 1,000,000$, $x_3 = 0$; value of the game = 1,000.
8. Let x_1 and x_2 correspond to frequency the Australian and West Indies teams use lineup- i and lineup- j , respectively, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, 3$. (a) $x_1 = 0$, $x_2 = 2/5$, $x_3 = 3/5$, $y_1 = 4/5$, $y_2 = 0$, $y_3 = 1/5$, value of the game = $23/50$; (b) $x_1 = 23/49$, $x_2 = 9/49$, $x_3 = 17/49$, value of the game = $22/49$

Problem Set 18

1. $x_{11} = 10, x_{12} = 0, x_{13} = 15, x_{14} = 0, x_{15} = 5, x_{21} = 0, x_{22} = 0, x_{23} = 10, x_{24} = 30, x_{25} = 0, x_{31} = 10, x_{32} = 20, x_{33} = 0, x_{34} = 0, x_{35} = 0.$

This solution yields values of 14, 20 and 21 for the first, second and third secondary objective functions, respectively. The solution also happens to be the optimal solutions for the second and third secondary objective functions.

Appendix: Answers to Objective Type Questions

1. (a) 2. (a) 3. (a) 4. (c) 5. (c) 6. (b) 7. (b) 8. (a) 9. (c) 10. (c) 11. X_1 12. (a) 13. (d) 14. X_2 15. (c) 16. (c) 17. (c) 18. (b) 19. (d) 20. (b) 21. (a) 22. (b) 23. (a) 24. (b) 25. (d) 26. (c) 27. (c) 28. (d) 29. (c) 30. (a) 31. (b) 32. (a) 33. (c) 34. (b) 35. (b) 36. (d) 37. (c) 38. (c) and (b) depending whether the rule $\theta_j(z_j - c_j)$ is followed 39. (c) 40. -5 41. (a) 42. (b) 43. (a) 44. (d) 45. (c) 46. (b) 47. (a) 48. (c) 49. (a) 50. (b) 51. $(0, -1)^T$ 52. $y_1 = -16/5, y_2 = -11/10$ 53. (b) 54. (a) 55. (b) 56. (c) 57. (c) 58. (c) 59. (b) 60. (c) 61. (a) 62. (a) 63. (a) 64. (d) 65. (a) 66. 3 67. $8x_1 + 13x_2$ 68. $(13, 8)^T$ 69. $(3, 2)^T$ 70. $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 1$ 71. $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 0$ 72. (d) 73. (b) 74. (b) 75. (c) 76. (a) 77. (c) 78. (a) true (b) False (c) False (d) True (e) True (f) True, if solution space is bounded; False, if solution space is unbounded (g) True (h) False (i) True (j) False (k) False (l) False (m) True 79. (c) 80. (c) 81. (d) 82. (d) 83. (d) 84. (b) 85. (a) 86. (a) 87. (d) 89. (b) 90. (d) 91. (a) 92. (b) 93. (b) 94. (d) 95. (b) 96. (b) 97. (a) True (b) False (c) False (d) False (e) True (f) True (g) false (h) true (i) False (j) True (k) True (l) False 98. (c) 99. (c) 100. (a) 101. (d) 102. (b) 103. (a) 104. (d) 105. (a) 106. (c) 107. (b) 109. (b)

Index

- Absolute minimum, 390
- Activity, 286
 - critical, 289
 - dummy, 286
 - noncritical, 293
 - slope of, 292
- Addition of a constraint, 183
- Addition of variable, 186
- Alternative optimal solution, 95
- AM-GM inequality, 443
- Artificial variable, 84
- Assignment problem, 236
- Backward recursion, 367
- Basic feasible solution (BFS), 51
 - degenerate, 51
 - nondegenerate, 51
- Basic infeasible solution, 63
- Basic solution, 50
- Basic variables, 51
- Basis matrix, 51
- Beta distribution, 302
- Bibliography, 535
- Big-M method, 84
- Bland's rule, 102
- Bolzano search, 439
- Bordered Hessian matrix, 390
- Boundary point, 36
- Bounded interval programming, 170
- Bounded set, 36
- Bounded variable technique, 148
- Branch and bound algorithm, 336
- Bus scheduling problem, 12
- Canonical form
 - of equations, 55
 - of LPP, 111
- Capital budgeting problem, 374
- Cargo loading problem, 350, 375
- Caterer problem, 13
- Change in availabilities, 179
- Change in constraint matrix, 183
- Change in cost vector, 174
- Charne's perturbation method, 98
- Closed half-space, 40
- Closed set, 36
- Complexity, 147
 - simplex algorithm, 147
- Complimentary slackness conditions, 118
- Complimentary slackness theorem, 120
- Compression limit, 293
- Conjugate gradient method, 433
- Conjugate vectors, 433
- Constraint, 4
 - goal, 468
 - matrix, 41
 - real, 468
 - redundant, 50
 - resource, 468
 - rigid, 468
- Convex
 - function, 394

- hull, 45
 - linear combination, 36
 - set, 37
- Convexity, 35
- Cost vector, 6
- CPM, *see*
 - Critical path method, 286
- Crash cost, 291
- Crash duration, 291
- Crash limit, 293
- Critical activity, 289
- Critical path, 289
- Critical path method, 286
- Critical point, 388
- Cycling, 98
- Decision variable, 4
- Decomposition principle, 155
- Degeneracy, 51, 97
 - cyclic, 98
 - permanent, 98
 - temporary, 98
- Deletion of constraint, 188
- Deletion of variable, 190
- Dichotomous search, 423
- Diet problem, 10
- Dijkstra's algorithm, 266
- Dual, 112
 - constraint, 113
 - feasibility, 116
 - variable, 112
- Duality
 - in NLPP, 413
 - economic interpretation, 122
 - in LPP, 111
- Dummy
 - activity, 286
 - destination, 226
 - source, 226
- Dynamic programming, 359
 - backward, 360
 - forward, 362
 - vs linear programming, 379
- Earliest starting time, 289
- Eigenvalue test, 387
- Elapsed time, 316
- Elimination theorem, 470
- Ellipsoid method, 2
- Extremal direction, 57
- Extreme point(s)
 - of the function, 391
 - of the set, 47
- Extremum difference method, 497
- Farka's lemma, 133
- Fathomed node, 337
- Feasible solution, 41
- FF limit, 293
- FF limit method, 292
- Fibonacci search, 425
- Floyd's algorithm, 268
- Formulation, 9
- Forward recursions, 378
- Free float limit (FF limit), 293
- Free floats, 292
- Gambler problem, 18
- Games theory, 483
- Gamma function, 302
- Generalized assignment problem, 505
- Generalized simplex algorithm, 132
- Generalized transportation problem, 499
- Geometric programming, 443
- Goal constraint, 468
- Goal programming, 467
- Golden search, 429
- Gradient method, 430
- Gradient vector, 388
- Graphical method, 59, 326
- Half-space, 38

- closed, 40
- open, 38
- Hessian matrix, 388
- Hungarian method, 238
- Hyperplane, 38
- Inconsistency, 49
- Indefinite (quadratic form), 386
- Infeasible solution, 63, 93
- Infinity solutions, 55
- Inflexion point, 388
- Integer programming, 335
- Interior point, 36
- Interior point algorithm, 160
- Interval of uncertainty, 422
- Interval programming, 170
- Inverse matrix method, 136
- Karmakar's specific format, 161
- Karmarkar algorithm, 160
- Knapsack problem, 350, 375
- Kuhn-Tucker conditions, 398
- Lagrange function, 390
- Lagrange multipliers, 390
- Latest completion time, 289
- Least cost method, 213
- Linear combination, 36
 - convex, 36
- Linear programming problem, 4
 - duality in, 112
 - Fundamental theorem of, 58
 - geometric interpretation, 35
 - standard form-I, 86
 - standard form-II, 86
- Linearly independent, 68
- Local minimum, 389
- Matrix
 - Basis, 51
 - bordered Hessian, 390
 - constraint, 41
 - Hessian, 388
 - nonsingular, 69
 - pay-off, 485
 - rank of, 49
 - reduced, 238
- Matrix minor test, 386
- Maximum flow problem, 274
- Measure of effectiveness, 422
- Minimal spanning tree algorithm, 260
- Minimum ratio rule, 78
- Mixed strategy, 484
- Modified distribution method, 217
- Negative definite, 386
- Negative semi-definite, 386
- Neighbourhood, 35
 - deleted, 35
- Network, 286
- Nonbasic solution, 52
- Nonbasic variables, 51
- Noncritical activity, 293
- Nondegeneracy, 51
- Nonlinear programming problem, 4
 - duality in, 413
- Nonnegative restriction, 4
- Nonredundant, 43
- Norm, 167
- Normal cost, 293
- Normal duration, 293
- Normality condition, 450
- North-West rule, 212
- Objective function, 4
- One-dimensional search techniques, 430
- Open half-space, 38
- Open set, 36
- Optimal scheduling, 291
- Optimal solution, 41
- Optimality principle, 365

- Optimization, 1
- Ordered basis, 70
- Orthogonal condition, 450
- Parametric programming, 197
- Pay-off matrix, 485
- Penalty method, 85
- PERT, 301
- Polyhedron, 40
- Polytope, 41
- Positive definite, 386
- Positive semi-definite, 386
- Post optimal analysis, 173
- Posynomial, 448
- Primal, 112
- Primal feasibility, 116
- Principal of optimality, 359
- Problem of n jobs on m machines, 321
- Problem of n jobs on two machines, 316
- Product mix problem, 11
- Production planning problem, 19
- Programming
 - bounded interval, 170
 - dynamic, 359
 - geometric, 443
 - goal, 467
 - integer, 5, 335
 - linear, 4
 - linear fractional, 23
 - mathematical, 4
 - nonlinear, 4
 - quadratic, 5, 401
 - separable, 406
- Pure strategy, 484
- Quadratic form, 385
- Quadratic programming, 401
- Rank, 68
- Real constraint, 468
- Reduced matrix, 238
- Relative cost, 70
- Relative minimum, 389
- Reliability problem, 372
- Resolution theorem, 57
- Resource constraint, 468
- Restricted variable, 6
- Revised dual simplex method, 146
- Revised simplex method, 135
- Rigid Constraint, 468
- Saddle point, 388, 486
- Sensitivity analysis, 173
- Separable function, 406
- Separable programming, 406
- Separation theorem, 46
- Sequencing, 315
- Shortest path problem, 266
- Simplex method, 68
 - dual, 123
 - primal, 124
 - revised, 135
- Simplex multiplier, 139
- Slack variable, 6
- Standard form, 5
- Standard form-I, 86
- Standard form-II, 86
- State variables, 366
- Stationary points, 388
- Steepest descent method, 430
- Stepping step method, 300
- Strategy
 - mixed, 484
 - pure, 484
- Strong duality theorem, 117
- Supporting hyperplane, 46
- Surplus variable, 6
- Total float, 293
- Transportation problem, 209
- Transshipment, 231

- Traveling salesman problem, 341
- Trim-loss problem, 15
- Two jobs on ordered m machines, 325
- Two person zero sum game, 484, 489
 - with mixed strategies, 487
 - with pure strategies, 484
- Two phase method, 87
- u-v method, 217
- Unbalanced transportation problem, 225
- Unbounded
 - objective value, 117
 - solution, 94
- Unconstrained minimization, 448
- Unimodal function, 421
- Unrestricted variable, 6
- Variable(s)
 - artificial, 84
 - basic, 51
 - decision, 4
 - deviational, 470
 - dual, 112
 - nonbasic, 51
 - restricted, 6
 - slack, 6
 - state, 366
 - surplus, 6
 - unrestricted, 6
- Vector
 - column, 69
 - coordinate, 70
 - gradient, 388
 - norm, 167
 - row, 4
- Vertex, 47
- Vogel approximation method, 214
- Wander salesman problem, 356
- Warehousing problem, 12
- Weak duality theorem, 116
- Wolfe's method, 402