Decentralized Algorithms for Generalized Nash Equilibrium Seeking in Peer-to-peer Electricity Markets

Giuseppe Belgioioso September 18, 2020

1 Problem statement

Consider the same problem statement in problem_setup_v6.tex.

2 Algorithms

2.1 Semi-decentralized

The algorithm presented in this section corresponds to [1, Alg. 6B] applied on problem_setup_v6.tex. Consider the following choices for the step-sizes in Algorithm 1.

Assumption 1 (Step size selection) For all $i \in \mathcal{N}$, set $A_i = \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_i^{pi}, \alpha_i^{st}, \alpha_i^{mg}, \{\alpha_{(i,j)}^{tr}\}_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i}) \otimes I_H$, where $\alpha_i^{pi}, \alpha_i^{st} > 1$, $\alpha_i^{mg} > 3 + N \max_{h \in \mathcal{H}} d_h$, $\beta_{(i,j)} = \beta_{(j,i)} < \frac{1}{2}$, for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_i$ $A_{i,h} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\alpha_{i,h}^{\mathrm{dg}}, \alpha_{i,h}^{\mathrm{st}}, \alpha_{i,h}^{\mathrm{mg}}, \{\alpha_{(i,j),h}^{\mathrm{tr}}\}_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i}\right), \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ with } \alpha_{i,h}^{\mathrm{dg}}, \alpha_{i,h}^{\mathrm{st}} > 0 \text{ and } \alpha_{(i,j),h}^{\mathrm{tr}} > |\mathcal{N}_i|,$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_i$; $\beta_{(i,j)} = \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_i$; $\gamma < N$. To complete.

Semi-decentralized GWE seeking for P2P Energy Markets

Initialization: For all $i \in \mathcal{N}$, set locally:

- (a) Initial conditions: $u_i(0) \in \mathcal{U}_i$, $\mu_{(i,j)}(0) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_i$, and $\lambda(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{2H}_{>0}$.
- (b) Step-sizes: A_i , $\{\beta_{ij}\}_{j\in\mathcal{N}_i}$, γ as in Assumption 1.

Iterate until convergence:

Prosumers. For each prosumer $i \in \mathcal{N}$:

(1) Strategy update:

$$a_{i}(k) = \operatorname{col}\left(-\nu_{y}(k), -\nu_{y}(k), \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes I_{H}\right)^{\top} \lambda(k) + \rho(k), \left\{\mu_{(i,j)}(k)\right\}_{j \in \mathcal{N}_{i}}\right)$$

$$u_{i}(k+1) = \begin{cases} \underset{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{i}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} & J_{i}(\xi, \sigma(k)) + a_{i}(k)^{\top} \xi + \frac{1}{2} \|\xi - u_{i}(k)\|_{A_{i}}^{2} \\ \text{s.t.} & \xi \in \mathcal{U}_{i} \end{cases}$$

$$b_{i}(k+1) = p_{i}^{d} - p_{i}^{di}(k+1) - p_{i}^{st}(k+1)$$

(2) Communication with trading partners and DSO:

(a)
$$\{p_i^{\text{mg}}(k+1), b_i(k+1)\} \longrightarrow \text{DSO},$$

(b)
$$p_{(i,j)}(k+1)$$
 \longrightarrow prosumer j , for all $j \in \mathcal{N}_i$.

(3) Dual variables (reciprocity constraints) update, $\forall j \in \mathcal{N}_i$:

$$c_{(i,j)}(k+1) = p_{(i,j)}^{\text{tr}}(k+1) + p_{(j,i)}^{\text{tr}}x(k+1),$$

$$\mu_{(i,j)}(k+1) = \mu_{(i,j)}(k) + \beta_{ij} \left(2c_{(i,j)}(k+1) - c_{(i,j)}(k)\right).$$

DSO.

(1) Aggregate strategy update

$$\sigma(k+1) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} p_i^{\text{mg}}(k+1) + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{B}} p_k^{\text{pd}}$$

(2) Dual variable (grid constraints) update:

$$\lambda(k+1) = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbb{R}^{2H}_{\geq 0}} \left(\lambda(k) + \gamma \left(\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{smallmatrix} \right] \otimes \left(2\sigma(k+1) - \sigma(k) \right) - \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \overline{p}^{\operatorname{mg}} \mathbf{1}_H \\ -\underline{p}^{\operatorname{mg}} N \mathbf{1}_H \end{smallmatrix} \right] \right) \right).$$

(3) Update of the physical variables (define $\mathcal{U}_{N+1} := (15) \cap (16) \cap (17) \cap (18) \cap (19)$)

$$a_{N+1}(k+1) = \operatorname{col}\left(\left\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, -\rho(k), \{\nu_y(k), \mathbf{0}\}_{z \in \mathcal{B}_y}\right\}_{y \in \mathcal{B}}\right)$$
$$u_{N+1}(k+1) = \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{U}_{N+1}}\left(u_{N+1}(k) - A_{N+1}a_{N+1}(k)\right)$$

2

(4) Dual variable (local power balance of bus y). For all $y \in \mathcal{B}$:

$$d_y(k+1) = p_y^{\text{pd}} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}_y} b_i(k+1) - p_y^{\text{tg}}(k+1) - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{B}_y} p_{(y,z)}^{\ell}(k+1)$$
$$\nu_u(k+1) = \nu_u(k) + \delta_y(2d_y(k+1) - d_y(k)),$$

(5) Dual variable (trading with the main grid).

$$\begin{split} \hat{p}^{\text{tg}}(k+1) &= \sigma(k+1) - \sum_{y \in \mathcal{B}^{\text{mg}}} p_y^{\text{tg}}(k+1), \\ \rho(k+1) &= \rho(k) + \eta(2\hat{p}^{\text{tg}}(k+1) - \hat{p}^{\text{tg}}(k)), \end{split}$$

(6) Broadcast to the prosumers

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\sigma(k+1),\,\lambda(k+1),\rho(k+1)\} &\longrightarrow & \text{All prosumers } (\mathcal{N}),\\ (\forall y\in\mathcal{B}): & \nu_y(k+1) &\longrightarrow & \text{Prosumers on bus } y\;(\mathcal{N}_y), \end{array}$$

References

[1] G. Belgioioso and S. Grammatico, "Semi-decentralized generalized nash equilibrium seeking in monotone aggregative games," arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.04031, 2020.