## **FCFS** Trace

PID/P#	AT	ВТ	CT/FT	TAT	WT
I	0	4	4	4	0
2	I	3	7	6	3
3	2	I	8	6	5
4	3	2	10	7	5
5	4	5	15	11	6
			Average WT		19/5

	PI	P2	<b>P</b> 3	P4	P5	
(	0 4	4 7	7 8	3 1	0 15	ļ

**GANTT CHART** 

# SJF – SHORTEST JOB FIRST TRACE

PID/P#	AT	ВТ	CT/FT	TAT	WT
I	6	4	16	10	6
2	2	5	7	5	0
3	3	3	12	9	6
4	I	I	2	I	0
5	4	2	9	5	3
6	5	6	22	17	11
			Average WT		26/6

	E	P4	<b>P2</b>	<b>P5</b>	<b>P3</b>	PI	P6	
(	) <b>I</b>		2	7	9	12	16	22

**GANTT CHART** 

#### **CPU SCHEDULING ALGORITHMS**

□Convoy Effect – FCFS suffers from this limitation when early part of the arrivals are long (service times)

✓ Long Processes may monopolize the setup – can result in poor cpu and device utiliation – low throuhgput and average wait time becomes high.

✓ Not ideal for an interactive System.

Below Setup I (AWT =49.5), setup 2 AWT=0





✓ No Starvation as algorithm is unbiased w.r.t scheduling

P#	AT	BT
1	0	100
2		

P#	AT	вт
		100
2	0	I



Long Johs

Short Jobs Starved

### **SJF** Few more Examples

PID/P#	AT	вт	CT/FT	TAT	WT
) [	1	7	8	7	0
2	2	5	16	14	9
3	3	I	9	6	5
4	4	2	П	7	5
5	5	8	24	19	11
			Average WT		30/5=6

	PI	P3	P4	P2	P5
•	I 8	9	11	I	5 24

**GANTT CHART** 

### **SJF** Few more Examples

PID/P#	AT	вт	CT/FT	TAT	WT
0	6		8	2	I
2	3	3	13	10	7
3	4	6	19	15	9
4	1	5	6	5	0
5	2	2	10	8	6
6	5	I	7	2	I
			Average WT		24/6=4

E	P4	P6	PI	P5	P2	P3	
0	1	6	7	8	10	13	_ 19

On clash of Burst Times – Resolve by Earlier Arrivals

Maximises Throughput as it picks on shorter jobs

Penalizes long processes and eventually can result in starvation