

1. KNN Definition
2. k in 1KNN
3. Distance Metrics (ED, MD, MD')
4. Solving the problem
5. City distance metrics
6. Implementation of KNN

Agenda:-

1. Template for ML Classification Algo.

2. Evaluation of Classification Algo

a. Confusion Matrix

b. Precision

c. Recall

d. f1 score

e. Accuracy

3. KNN Regression Algorithm

Scaling:- \rightarrow $\begin{matrix} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{matrix}$ $\begin{matrix} 20 \\ 15 \\ 10 \\ 5 \\ 3 \end{matrix}$

Scaling \rightarrow Bin \rightarrow R

75000	90000	150000	200000	250000
-------	-------	--------	--------	--------

$\begin{matrix} \sqrt{0-1} \\ 0-1 \\ 0-1 \\ 0-1 \\ 0-1 \end{matrix}$

Confusion Matrix:-

Evaluate model performance \propto Classification

eg:- Assume you have some symp of fever.
You visit a doctor.

2 possibilities

- ① Have fever
- ② No fever.

ML Algorithm

- ① Have fever
- ② No fever.

Actual :- Have Fever No Fever		ML Prediction :- Have Fever No Fever.	
		(Doctor) <u>Actual</u>	(Reality) <u>Actual</u>
<u>ML</u> Prediction →	No	No Doc :- No fever ML Algo :- No fever (True Negative)	Yes Doc :- Has fever ML Algo :- No fever (False Negative)
	Yes	Doc :- No fever ML Algo :- Has fever (False positive)	Doc :- Has fever ✓ ML Algo :- Has fever ✓ (True positive)

$n=100$

Doctor

	No	Yes
ML No	56 (TN)	11
Algo yes	9	24 (TP)

24 = TP

= Doc \rightarrow Have Fever

ML \rightarrow Have Fever

56 = TN

\Rightarrow Doc \rightarrow No Fever

ML \rightarrow No Fever
Algo

$11 = \bar{FN}$

$\Rightarrow Doc = Has Fever$

~~ML~~ = No Fever.
Algo

$9 = FP$

$\Rightarrow Doc = No Fever$

ML - Has Fever
Algo

① TP & TN should have big nos.

② FP & FN should have small nos.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 64 & 12 \\ 4 & 20 \end{bmatrix}$$
 Purchased
 (Social Network Ads)

$TP = 20 \rightarrow R = \text{Yes} \quad \text{ML Algo} \Rightarrow \text{Yes}$

$TN = 64 \Rightarrow R = \text{No} \quad \text{ML Algo} \Rightarrow \text{No}$

$FP = 4 \Rightarrow R = \text{No} \quad \text{ML Algo} \Rightarrow \text{Yes}$

$FN = 12 \Rightarrow R = \text{Yes} \quad \text{ML Algo} \Rightarrow \text{No}$

Precision :- What proportion of positive identifications were actually correct?

$$\text{Precision} :- \frac{TP}{TP+FP} = \frac{20}{20+4} = 83\%$$

*: A model with '0' FP has a precision of 1

$$P = \frac{TP}{TP+0} = \frac{\cancel{TP}}{\cancel{TP}} = 1$$

Recall :- What proportion of actual positives were identified correctly

$$R = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} = \frac{20}{20 + 12} = \frac{20}{32} = 62.5\%$$

* A model with '0' FN has a recall of 1

$$R = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} = \frac{TP}{TP + 0} = \frac{TP}{TP} = 1$$

Accuracy:-

$$\frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

$$= \frac{20 + 64}{20 + 64 + 4 + 12} = 84\%$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error-rate} &= \frac{FP + FN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} \\ &= \frac{4 + 12}{20 + 64 + 4 + 12} \\ &= 16\% \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{f1-score} &= \frac{2 * \text{Precision} * \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}} \\
 &= \frac{2 * 0.83 * 0.625}{0.83 + 0.625} \\
 &= 71.4\%
 \end{aligned}$$