Aalto University
School of Science
Master's Programme in Computer, Communication and Information Sciences

Ananth Mahadevan

Inferring Voting Networks in Online Elections

Master's Thesis
Espoo, March 14, 2020

DRAFT! — March 24, 2020 — DRAFT!

Supervisor: Professor Aristides Gionis
Advisor: Blank M.Sc. (Tech.)

Aalto University
School of Science

Aalto University
School of Science
Master's Programme in Computer, Communication and Information Sciences

ABSTRACT OF MASTER'S THESIS

Author:	Ananth Mahadevan		
Title:	Inferring Voting Networks in Online Elections		
Date:	March 14, 2020	**Pages:**	16
Major:	Computer Science	**Code:**	SCI3042
Supervisor:	Professor Aristides Gionis		
Advisor:	Blank M.Sc. (Tech.)		
abstract			
Keywords:	signed networks, balance, status, elections, Wikipedia, voting, graphs		
Language:	English		

Aalto-yliopisto
Perustieteiden korkeakoulu
Tieto-, tietoliikenne- ja informaatiotekniikan maisteriohjelma

DIPLOMITYÖN TIIVISTELMÄ

Tekijä:	Ananth Mahadevan		
Työn nimi:	Ohjelmistoprosessit mänteille: Uusi organisaatio, uudet pyörät		
Päiväys:	14. helmikuuta 2018	**Sivumäärä:**	16
Pääaine:	Tietotekniikka	**Koodi:**	SCI3042
Valvoja:	Professori Aristides Gionis		
Ohjaaja:	Diplomi-insinööri Blank		
Finnish Abstract			
Asiasanat:	Finnish Keywords		
Kieli:	Englanti		

Aalto-universitetet
Högskolan för teknikvetenskaper

Aalto-universitetet
Högskolan för teknikvetenskaper
Magisterprogrammet i data-, kommunikations- och informationsteknik

SAMMANDRAG AV DIPLOMARBETET

Utfört av:	Ananth Mahadevan		
Arbetets namn:	Den stora stygga vargen: Lilla Vargens universum		
Datum:	Den 18 februari 2018	**Sidantal:**	16
Huvudämne:	Datateknik	**Kod:**	SCI3042
Övervakare:	Professor Aristides Gionis		
Handledare:	Diplomingenjör Blank		
Swedish abstract			
Nyckelord:	Swedish Keywords		
Språk:	Engelska		

Acknowledgements

Espoo, March 14, 2020

Ananth Mahadevan

Contents

1 Introduction **7**
- 1.1 Thesis Contribution . . . 7
- 1.2 Thesis Outline . . . 7

2 Graph Theory and Wikipedia **8**
- 2.1 Signed Graphs, Balance and Status . . . 8
  - 2.1.1 Graphs and Signed Graphs . . . 8
  - 2.1.2 Balance Theory . . . 8
  - 2.1.3 Status Theory . . . 9
- 2.2 Elections in Wikipedia . . . 9

3 Vote Prediction **10**
- 3.1 Election versus Vote Prediction . . . 10
- 3.2 Signed Edge Prediction . . . 11
- 3.3 Linear Combination of Graphs . . . 11
- 3.4 Local Signed Network . . . 11

4 Experiments **12**
- 4.1 Datasets . . . 12
- 4.2 Graphs . . . 12
- 4.3 Models . . . 13
  - 4.3.1 Linear Combination of Graphs . . . 13
    - 4.3.1.1 Iterative Mode . . . 13
- 4.4 Evaluation . . . 13

5 Results and Discussion **14**
- 5.1 Linear Combination of Graphs . . . 14
- 5.2 Local Signed Network . . . 14
- 5.3 Comparison . . . 15

6 Conclusions and Future Work **16**

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Thesis Contribution

1.2 Thesis Outline

Chapter 2

Graph Theory and Wikipedia

Will provide background and concepts related to graph theory and Wikipedia. Then we will focus on the task of predicting the vote of an individual user given their voting history and current state of the election. We then present the local signed network based model that accurately predicts a user's vote.

2.1 Signed Graphs, Balance and Status

2.1.1 Graphs and Signed Graphs

- Discuss basic terms related to graph theory
- Define terms such as Nodes, Edges, direction, edge weight,
- successor, predecessor and neighbors
- Signed graphs and restrictions
- Explain relevance in real world settings

2.1.2 Balance Theory

- Explain balance theory origin and significance.
- Illustrate with traids and examples
- Define mathematical background to measure balance through the Eigen-decomposition of the graph Laplacian

2.1.3 Status Theory

- Describe the nature of the directed setting
- Illustrate the differences to Balance theory
- Mention existing ways to measure violation to status in a network

2.2 Elections in Wikipedia

- Explain Editors and Administrators in Wikipedia
- Describe the Request for Administrator(RfA) process
- Discuss general trends and patters
- Mention research interest and possible current works?

Chapter 3

Vote Prediction

In this section we first provide the motivation of choosing independent vote prediction as our target and the differnces from predicting the result of an election.Next we describe the available techniques and methods to predict individual votes or signed edges in a network and how it relates to the problem at hand. We then provide two novel methods of using user information long with past election results to predict votes.

3.1 Election versus Vote Prediction

- Discuss existing election result predictions schemes
- Discuss the limitations in understanding election dynamics through just predicting election results
- Describe the process as an information cascade, discuss the potential Game Theory settings
- Show the two parts of the problem from an information cascading perspective
  - Who is going to vote next
  - How they are going to vote
- Discuss the assumptions in usual Independent Cascade (IC) models
- Explain the difficulty of both aspects in the domain of an election
- Motivate the selection of the problem as an **Independent Vote Prediction**

3.2 Signed Edge Prediction

- Discuss the existing edge predictions work
- Directly using signed traids as features
- Using triads along with network features
- Using user information and interaction data for predicting votes and/or elections
- The main drawbacks in these methods when considering an election setting

3.3 Linear Combination of Graphs

- Describe the linear combination of graphs derived from user and election data
- Explain topic similarity, follows network, interaction networks and other features
- How it can also incorporate signed features as additional features in prediction

3.4 Local Signed Network

- Explain the concept of the local signed network for a particular user
- Motivate the definition with respect to elections and influence
- Describe how to use balance and status theory to predict the vote
- Clarify the differences to signed edge prediction efforts
- Mention Agony as a way to measure status compliance here?

Chapter 4

Experiments

In this section we first describe the datasets that will be used in building our vote prediction models. Then we discuss the various linear and graphical models that we consider and their implementations details. Lastly we define the metrics and other means of evaluating the models and the results.

4.1 Datasets

- Maybe a short description of existing SNAP datasets and their limitations
- The details of the *Wiki-RfA* data and the *User-Contribution* datasets

4.2 Graphs

- Discuss the process of extraction of the various graphs discussed in the previous sections
- **Agree Graphs and Follows Graph**, where we measure the degree to which one user agrees and follows another user in previous elections
- **Topic similarity** from the top 100 articles edited for each user and the pairwise Jaccard similarity
- **Talk and Interaction graphs**, measures communication between users on their respective user talk pages
- **Signed Graphs**, triad encoding and extracting the triad counts for each voter

4.3 Models

4.3.1 Linear Combination of Graphs

- Discuss the various linear models considered for Graph Combinations
  - Linear Regression
  - Support Vector Classifier
  - Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBOOOST)
- Discuss how each graph contributes features and the problem is a linear classification problem

4.3.1.1 Iterative Mode

- Discuss the motivation behind an iterative model versus a static prediction model
- Describe how balance is derived from the Agree Graph in a local signed network
- Discuss how the Agree graph is updated in terms of Balance
- Describe how status is derived from the Follows graph in a local signed network
- Discuss how the Follows graph is updated after every election
- Describe how to make the predictions
  - Deterministic : just decide based on eigen value or agony as support or oppose
  - Probabilistic : provide a probability for predicting a support vote

4.4 Evaluation

- Discuss the issues with the imbalance in the datasets
- Illustrate the issues with pure measures of accuracy
- Define Precision, Recall and Macro F1 score
- Discuss ROC AUC and Precision Recall curves for probability based predictions

Chapter 5

Results and Discussion

In this section we will present the results of the models and discuss their implications.

5.1 Linear Combination of Graphs

- Present results for each linear classifier
- Discuss the different splits of the dataset to check for robustness and chronological consistency
- Show the feature importances and discuss their relevance
- Compare the raw accuracy versus the macro f1 scores
- Highlight the difficulty of predicting negative votes

5.2 Local Signed Network

- Present the Iterative Balance model results
- Discuss quality of predictions using evaluation metrics
- Mention the difference between deterministic and probabilistic prediction accuracies
- Explain the Iterative Status model results
- Discuss the issues with local model of status and the potential reasons for lower score and quality

5.3 Comparison

- Compare results from signed edge prediction and Iterative signed models
- Discuss Static Linear combination predictions versus Iterative signed predictions
- Discuss the assumptions used in the models and limitations

Chapter 6

Conclusions and Future Work