WHEN DOES INFORMATION INCREASE ELECTORAL ACCOUNTABILITY? LESSONS FROM A FIELD EXPERIMENT IN MEXICO REPLICATION NOTES

ERIC ARIAS*
HORACIO A. LARREGUY[†]
JOHN MARSHALL[‡]
PABLO QUERUBÍN[§]

DECEMBER 2017

^{*}Niehaus Center for Globalization and Governance, Princeton University. Email: eric.arias@princeton.edu.

[†]Department of Government, Harvard University. Email: hlarreguy@fas.harvard.edu.

[‡]Department of Political Science, Columbia University. Email: jlmarsh@fas.harvard.edu.

[§]Department of Politics, New York University. Email: pablo.querubin@gmail.com.

Data & Replication code

The replication package contains three do-files and four datasets.

The two main do-files (i) individual_results.do and (ii) precinct_results.do contain the code to replicate the individual and precinct-level results, respectively.

We describe the additional files below:

- Base QFD Intervencion election 2015_27082015_rev.dta is the raw data sent to us by DATA OPM/QFD. This is the main file used in individual_results.do.
- To edit most of the survey raw data, the file SURVEY_VARIABLES_chapter.do is internally called. Note that in some cases, for ease of programming, the variables of interest are created/edited right before the analysis.
- ANALYSIS.dta combines the experimental information (e.g., assignment, leaflet delivery)
 with municipal and precinct level variables. In particular (such as census data, electoral
 results, etc).
- Muni_runnerup_2012.dta complements ANALYSIS.dta with precinct-level electoral results from the 2012 Municipal elections.
- surveydata4precinctdata_chapter.dta complements ANALYSIS.dta with survey variables that are aggregated at the precinct-level.