

# EB tresos Bootloader Generic Quality Level documentation

v2.0.0



83

Elektrobit Automotive GmbH Am Wolfsmantel 46 91058 Erlangen, Germany Phone: +49 9131 7701 0

Fax: +49 9131 7701 6333

Email: info.automotive@elektrobit.com

#### **Technical support**

https://www.elektrobit.com/support

#### Legal disclaimer

Confidential information.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. No part of this publication may be copied in any form, by photocopy, microfilm, retrieval system, or by any other means now known or hereafter invented without the prior written permission of Elektrobit Automotive GmbH.

All brand names, trademarks, and registered trademarks are property of their rightful owners and are used only for description.

Copyright 2021, Elektrobit Automotive GmbH.



# **Table of Contents**

1. Modification history	. 4
2. Overview	. 5
3. Measurement	. 6
3.1. What is measured	. 6
3.1.1. Development checks	. 6
3.1.2. Release checks	. 6
4. Quality levels	. 8
4.1. Use of quality levels	. 8
4.2. Quality levels and criteria	. 8
4.2.1. Development drop	9
4.2.2. Ready for prototyping (RFP)	. 9
4.2.2.1. Ready for prototyping level metrics	. 9
4.2.3. Ready for development (RFD)	10
4.2.3.1. Ready for development level metrics	10
4.2.4. Ready for manufacturing (RFM)	11
4.2.4.1. Ready for manufacturing level metrics	11
Bibliography	13
Glossary	14



# 1. Modification history

Version	Date	Description
1.0.10	2011-05-20	Initial release.
2.0.0	2015-04-21	Updates for OsekCore releases

Table 1.1. Modification history



## 2. Overview

The purpose of this document is to explain in detail the terms, definitions and concepts used in the evaluation of releases of the product EB Bootloader Quality Level and related projects.

This document is relevant for the development and verification processes of software units produced in the project EB Bootloader Quality Level and related projects.

During software development, a number of <u>software quality metrics</u> are collected. These metrics are then used to assign a <u>quality level</u> to the software product.

The software metrics that are collected during software development are described further in <a href="Chapter 3">Chapter 3</a>, "Measurement". The quality levels and the measurement criteria required to achieve these levels are described in <a href="Chapter 4">Chapter 4</a>, "Quality levels"



## 3. Measurement

## 3.1. What is measured

The choice of <u>software quality metrics</u> collected is derived from standards that are applicable in the domain of automotive software. See for example [<u>his\_metrics</u>], [<u>his\_subset</u>], or [<u>sqo</u>].

The metrics used during ongoing product development are defined in <u>Section 3.1.1, "Development checks"</u>. The metrics that are used prior to each product release are described in <u>Section 3.1.2, "Release checks"</u>.

### 3.1.1. Development checks

During product development, the following software quality metrics are collected:

- cyclomatic complexity
- Number (#) of compiler warnings per 100 lines of code
- Percentage (%) of implemented tests that are executed successfully
- decision coverage

These metrics are used in the definition of the product <u>quality level</u> and have specific targets that must be achieved. For each metric and for each quality level, a <u>quality criterion</u> is defined. In addition, further metrics are collected for each quality level that do not have such quality criteria defined. The quality criteria and the additional metrics collected are described for each quality level in <u>Chapter 4</u>, "<u>Quality levels</u>".

The software metrics are collected at different stages of the product development:

- During software unit testing on the <u>lead platform</u> for a software unit release.
- When the EB Bootloader Quality Level is ported to other target hardware architectures. Only those metrics that depend on the execution of test cases on the target hardware are collected, e.g. the number of compiler warnings.

Software metrics are not collected for software modules that are supplied by other vendors and which are only integrated, e.g. <u>MicroController Abstraction Layer (MCAL)</u> modules.

#### 3.1.2. Release checks

For each release which is independent of its associated <u>quality level</u>, the following items are reviewed and accepted by a project-independent third party:



- The compiler chosen, the compiler version and the compiler options used for the verification of the release.
- ► The compiler warnings produced during a release test build.
- The [MISRAC2] compliance is reviewed and it is ensured that there are no undocumented and unjustified [MISRAC2] violations.
- ► The values of <u>software quality metrics</u>.
- The <u>decision coverage</u> for each software module.
- Further internal release checklists.



# 4. Quality levels

## 4.1. Use of quality levels

Each release has an associated <u>quality level</u> that is the basis for determining the *recommended use* of that specific release. The <u>quality level</u> for a particular release is defined in the document <u>quality statement</u>, which is contained in each delivery.

The <u>quality statement</u> aggregates all quality data obtained for a release into a single statement that maps quality data to the *recommended use* of the release.

There are two types of quality statement available:

- The generic type quality statement: this provides the qualification for a Windows platform. The Windows
  version and toolchain (compiler/linker and settings) used are documented.
- The QP1 type quality statement: this provides the qualification for a specific hardware platform (uC) and toolchain (compiler/linker). This type of quality statement is always provided in addition to a generic quality statement. The generic type quality statement must exist in advance for the modules that are to be qualified for QP1.

The metrics which are used are different and are dependent on the type of <u>quality statement</u>. For the generic type, the qualification is dependent on the full set of metrics as defined in tables in <u>Section 4.2</u>, "<u>Quality levels</u> and <u>criteria</u>". For the <u>QP1</u> type, the qualification is dependent on a reduced set of metrics. In the tables in <u>Section 4.2</u>, "<u>Quality levels and criteria</u>", a note indicates when a metric is used for <u>QP1</u> qualification.

#### **NOTE**

#### Safe and correct use of the release



If you intend to use the release for purposes other than the *recommended use*, ensure that additional verification measures are conducted. These measures shall be sufficient to ensure the safe and correct use of the release for the purpose that is intended.

## 4.2. Quality levels and criteria

There are four possible quality levels defined:

- Development drop, defined in <u>Section 4.2.1, "Development drop"</u>
- Ready for prototyping (RFP), defined in <u>Section 4.2.2, "Ready for prototyping (RFP)"</u>
- Ready for development (RFD), defined in <u>Section 4.2.3</u>, "Ready for development (RFD)"
- Ready for manufacturing (RFM), defined in <u>Section 4.2.4, "Ready for manufacturing (RFM)"</u>



## 4.2.1. Development drop

The <u>quality level</u> *Development drop* is assigned to a release that does not fulfill any defined set of quality criteria. A release with the <u>quality level</u> *Development drop* is neither ready for development nor fully tested.

Note: In Quality Statements, which have been created before 04-2015, this quality level is referenced as *Integration Snapshot*.

## 4.2.2. Ready for prototyping (RFP)

The <u>quality level</u> ready for prototyping is assigned to a release that is neither ready for development nor fully tested.

Note: In Quality Statements, which have been created before 04-2015, this quality level is referenced as *Prototype level*.

#### 4.2.2.1. Ready for prototyping level metrics

The set of <u>software quality metrics</u> and associated criteria checked for a <u>generic quality statement</u> are as follows: the tables show the criteria defined for <u>ready for prototyping level</u>.

software quality metrics	quality criterion
called functions	<= 20
goto statements	== 0
call levels	<= 16
function parameters	<= 8
static path count	<= 4000
return points	<= 1
function statements	<= 100
cyclomatic complexity	<= 30
language scope	<= 20
recursions	== 0
direct recursions	== 0
number of compiler warnings <sup>a</sup>	<= 10
number of undocumented MISRA violations	<= 10

Table 4.1. Ready for prototyping level: code metrics with <u>quality criterion</u>



software quality metrics	quality criterion
decision coverage	>= 0.8
percentage of successful tests <sup>a</sup>	>= 0.7

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>This criterion is also measured for QP1 quality statement.

Table 4.2. Ready for prototyping level: test metrics with quality criterion

software quality metrics	quality criterion
number of test cases which are not required	== 0
number of tracing fulfillments which are not required	== 0
number of implemented tests without test specification	== 0

Table 4.3. Ready for prototyping level: process metrics with quality criterion

## 4.2.3. Ready for development (RFD)

The <u>quality level</u> ready for development is assigned to a release that is ready for development, but not fully tested.

Note: In Quality Statements, which have been created before 04-2015, this quality level is referenced as *Development level*.

#### 4.2.3.1. Ready for development level metrics

The set of <u>software quality metrics</u> and associated criteria checked for a *generic* <u>quality statement</u> are as follows: the tables show the criteria defined for *ready for development level*.

software quality metrics	quality criterion
called functions	<= 15
goto statements	== 0
call levels	<= 12
function parameters	<= 8
static path count	<= 2000
return points	<= 1
function statements	<= 75
cyclomatic complexity	<= 30
language scope	<= 12



software quality metrics	quality criterion
recursions	== 0
direct recursions	== 0
number of compiler warnings <sup>a</sup>	<= 1
number of undocumented MISRA violations	<= 1

Table 4.4. Ready for development level: code metrics with quality criterion

software quality metrics	quality criterion
decision coverage	>= 0.9
percentage of successful tests <sup>a</sup>	== 1.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>This criterion is also measured for QP1 quality statement.

Table 4.5. Ready for development level: test metrics with guality criterion

software quality metrics	quality criterion
percentage of implemented requirements	>= 0.85
percentage of tested requirements	>= 0.75
number of test cases which are not required	== 0
number of tracing fulfillments which are not required	== 0
number of implemented tests without test specification	== 0

Table 4.6. Ready for development level: process metrics with quality criterion

## 4.2.4. Ready for manufacturing (RFM)

The quality level ready for manufacturing is assigned to a release that is ready for development and fully tested.

Note: In Quality Statements, which have been created before 04-2015, this quality level is referenced as *Production level*.

#### 4.2.4.1. Ready for manufacturing level metrics

The set of <u>software quality metrics</u> and associated criteria checked for a *generic* <u>quality statement</u> are as follows: the tables show the criteria defined for *ready for manufacturing level*.

software quality metrics	quality criterion
called functions	<= 15
goto statements	== 0



software quality metrics	quality criterion
call levels	<= 12
function parameters	<= 8
static path count	<= 2000
return points	<= 1
function statements	<= 75
cyclomatic complexity	<= 30
language scope	<= 12
recursions	== 0
direct recursions	== 0
number of compiler warnings a	== 0
number of undocumented MISRA violations	== 0

Table 4.7. Ready for manufacturing level: code metrics with quality criterion

software quality metrics	quality criterion
decision coverage	== 1.0
percentage of successful tests <sup>a</sup>	== 1.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>This criterion is also measured for QP1 quality statement.

Table 4.8. Ready for manufacturing level: test metrics with quality criterion

software quality metrics	quality criterion
percentage of implemented requirements	== 1.0
percentage of tested requirements	== 1.0
number of not implemented requirements	== 0
number of not tested requirements	== 0
number of test cases which are not required	== 0
number of tracing fulfillments which are not required	== 0
number of implemented tests without test specification	== 0
number of test cases which are not implemented	== 0
number of requirement tracing rules violations	== 0

Table 4.9. Ready for manufacturing level: process metrics with quality criterion

For a release with *ready for manufacturing level* all structural code branches that are not covered in module tests are reviewed and accepted by an independent third party. Such branches are usually the result of <u>defensive programming</u>.



# **Bibliography**

- [automotive\_spice] *Automotive SPICE*, Process Assessment Model, <u>automotiveSIG\_PAM\_v25.pdf</u>, Version 2.5, 2010-05-10
- [his\_metrics] *HIS Source Code Metriken*, <u>his-sc-metriken.1.3.1.pdf</u>, Version 1.3.1, HIS AK Softwaretest, 2008-04-01
- [his\_subset] Gemeinsames Subset der MISRA C Guidelines, <a href="his\_subset\_misra\_c\_2.0.pdf">his\_subset\_misra\_c\_2.0.pdf</a>, Version 2.0, HIS AK Softwaretest, 2006-02-14
- [iso\_c] *ISO/IEC* 9899:1990, Programming languages C, International Organization for Standardization , 1990, Copyright © 1990 ISO,
- [MISRAC2] MISRA-C:2004 Guidelines for the use of the C language in critical systems, <a href="http://www.misra.org.-uk/">http://www.misra.org.-uk/</a>, 2004-10, Copyright © 2004 MIRA Limited,
- [MISRAC2TC1] MISRA-C:2004 Technical Corrigendum 1, Technical clarification of MISRA-C:2004, 2007-07-17, Copyright © 2007 MIRA Limited,
- [misra\_deviation] MISRA deviation documentation, EB tresos AutoCore Generic, v1.0.4, 2011-03-22, Copyright © 2011 Elektrobit Automotive GmbH,
- [sqo] Software Quality Objectives for Source Code, <u>72337\_Software\_Quality\_Objectives\_V3.0.pdf</u>, Version 3.0, 2012-05-02
- [autosar solutions] EB Solutions, PD EB Solutions Overview.pdf, Version 1.0.1, 2014-11-19

Glossary

# **Glossary**

calling functions Number of distinct callers of a function.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

called functions Number of distinct functions called by a function.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

call levels Depth of function nesting. Maximum depth of control structures within a func-

tion body. The value of 1 means either no control structure exists within a function body or all existing control structures are not nested within another

control structure.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

comment density Relationship of the number of comments (outside and within functions) to the

number of statements.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

cyclomatic complexity The cyclomatic complexity of a function is the count of the number of linearly

independent paths through the source code. It is a measure about the struc-

tural complexity of a function.

See Cyclomatic complexity for further information.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

decision coverage The decision coverage is a measure for structural code coverage. Based on

the control flow graph of a program, it measures if each edge has been exe-

cuted by at least one test case.

See Code coverage for further information.

defensive programming See <u>Defensive programming</u> for further information.

direct recursions Number of direct recursions.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

tion interface.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

function statements Number of statements of a function, which is a measure of function complexity.

Glossary

Taken from [his metrics]. goto statements Number of goto statements per function. Taken from [his\_metrics]. language scope The language scope is an indicator of the cost of maintaining or changing functions. language scope := (N1+N2) / (n1+n2)where: n1 = number of different operators N1 = sum of all operators n2 = number of different operands N2 = sum of all operands Taken from [his\_metrics]. lead platform The *lead platform* is a target hardware for which the EB Bootloader Quality Level is actively developed. The software product is then only ported to other target hardware architectures. lines of code The lines of code is a software metric used to measure the size of a program. See <u>Source\_lines\_of\_code</u> for further information. lines of comment The number of lines containing comments. MicroController Abstraction The MCAL contains basic software modules that abstract the microcontroller Layer (MCAL) specifics (drivers). number of source files Number of source files per software unit. number of header file inclu-Number of directly and indirectly included header files per software unit. sions number of statement lines The *number of statement lines* is the number of <u>lines of code</u> that include an executable statement. number of requirements The number of requirements per software unit. number of requirements that The number of requirements that need implementation per software unit. need implementation number of requirements that The number of requirements that are implemented per software unit.

are implemented



number of requirements that need testing	The number of requirements that need testing per software unit.
number of requirements that are tested	The number of requirements that are tested per software unit.
number of not implemented requirements	The number of requirements that need implementation, but have not been implemented or are only partially implemented.
number of not tested require- ments	The number of requirements that need testing, but have not been tested or are only partially tested.
number of test cases which are not required	The number of test cases that are specified and do not trace correctly to requirements.
number of test cases which are not implemented	The number of test cases that are specified and have not been implemented.
number of tracing fulfillments which are not required	The number of coverages in requirement tracings that are not required, e.g. there exists a test specification, but the requirement does not need a test case.
number of implemented tests without test specification	The number of implemented test cases that are not derived from a test specification.
number of requirement trac- ing rules violations	The number of requirement tracing rules that are violated.
·	The number of requirement tracing rules that are violated.  The number of compiler warnings per 100 lines of code.
ing rules violations	
ing rules violations number of compiler warnings number of undocumented	The number of compiler warnings per 100 <u>lines of code</u> .  The number of unjustified violations against [MISRAC2] per 100 <u>lines of code</u> .
ing rules violations number of compiler warnings number of undocumented MISRA violations percentage of implemented	The number of compiler warnings per 100 lines of code.  The number of unjustified violations against [MISRAC2] per 100 lines of code.  This takes [MISRAC2TC1] into account.  The percentage of requirements that need implementation and have been
ing rules violations number of compiler warnings number of undocumented MISRA violations percentage of implemented requirements percentage of tested require-	The number of compiler warnings per 100 lines of code.  The number of unjustified violations against [MISRAC2] per 100 lines of code.  This takes [MISRAC2TC1] into account.  The percentage of requirements that need implementation and have been implemented.
ing rules violations number of compiler warnings number of undocumented MISRA violations percentage of implemented requirements percentage of tested require- ments percentage of successful	The number of compiler warnings per 100 lines of code.  The number of unjustified violations against [MISRAC2] per 100 lines of code.  This takes [MISRAC2TC1] into account.  The percentage of requirements that need implementation and have been implemented.  The percentage of requirements that need testing and have been tested.  Percentage of implemented tests that have been executed with a successful
ing rules violations number of compiler warnings number of undocumented MISRA violations percentage of implemented requirements percentage of tested require- ments percentage of successful tests	The number of compiler warnings per 100 lines of code.  The number of unjustified violations against [MISRAC2] per 100 lines of code. This takes [MISRAC2TC1] into account.  The percentage of requirements that need implementation and have been implemented.  The percentage of requirements that need testing and have been tested.  Percentage of implemented tests that have been executed with a successful result.

Glossary

quality criterion A quality criterion is a definition of the lowest acceptable value for software

quality metrics. This value is dependent on the quality level.

quality level A quality level defines a set of quality criteria that shall be satisfied by a mod-

ule. The module is then said to have this quality level.

quality statement The quality statement is a document created by quality assurance that testifies

that a release satisfies a quality level.

recursions Call graph recursions. Number of call cycles over one or more functions. If

one function is at the same time directly recursive (it calls itself) and indirectly

recursive, the call cycle is counted only once.

See also direct recursions.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

return points Number of return points of a function.

Taken from [his\_metrics].

software quality metrics A software quality metric defines a method to determine a value for a particular

attribute of the software.

static path count Estimated static path count of a function.

Taken from [his\_metrics].