Name: Anar Rzayev ID:20190788

## **MAS473 - Introduction to Artificial Intelligence with Mathematics**

## **Problem 1:**

```
Running time = 0.20552945137023926

Accuracy for Decision Tree = 0.855

Running time = 0.20317459106445312

Accuracy for Decision Tree = 0.85

Running time = 0.19922161102294922

Accuracy for Decision Tree = 0.846666666666667

Running time = 0.2028653621673584

Accuracy for Decision Tree = 0.85

Running time = 0.21336913108825684

Accuracy for Decision Tree = 0.853333333333333334

Average Accuracy for Decision Tree = 0.851

Average Running Time = 0.20483202934265138
```

Figure 1: Running Decision Tree with hyperparameter max\_depth as 4

Figure 2: Running Bagging Decision Tree with hyperparameter of Decision Tree in which splitter is 'random', maximum number of leaf nodes is 16, and hyperparameters of Bagging where estimator of n will be 500, maximum number of samples is 500, maximum number of features is 20, bootstrap is assigned to True, and n\_jobs will be assigned to -1

Running time = 0.33736348152160645

Running time = 0.6956977844238281

Running time = 0.3896355628967285

Running time = 0.33271145820617676

Running time = 0.4691886901855469

Average Accuracy for Logistic Regression = 0.9233333333333333

Average Running Time = 0.44491939544677733

Figure 3: Implementing Logistic Regression with hyperparameter multi class as multinomial, solver as 1bfgs, and C as 10

Running time = 0.575089693069458 Accuracy for Linear SVM = 0.826666666666667

Running time = 0.6239869594573975 Accuracy for Linear SVM = 0.83

Running time = 0.6158089637756348

Accuracy for Linear SVM = 0.8383333333333334

Running time = 0.6138744354248047

Accuracy for Linear SVM = 0.83

Average accuracy for Linear SVM 0.8326666666666667

Average Running Time = 0.6146448612213135

Figure 4: Applying Linear Support Vector Machine with hyperparameters C = 1, loss as hinge, and max iter as 1000

As it can be seen from the submitted code implementations, we trained *Decision Tree*, *Bagging Decision Tree*, *Logistic Regression*, and *Linear Support Vector Machine* using the provided training set. We measured the training time for each of these models and evaluated the corresponding accuracy using the given testing set. From the above figures, one may imply that the fastest algorithms above those 4 approaches are Decision Tree and Logistic Regression, in which their average running time during the 5 repetitions of randomness are 0.2048 seconds, and 0.4449 seconds, respectively. However, Bagging Decision Tree & Linear Support Vector Machine were the slowest among them, with corresponding average running time of 2.1843 seconds, and 0.614645 seconds, respectively.

Subsequently, we also calculated the (average) accuracy of each of the trained models using the provided testing set. According to figures with accuracy values, Logistic Regression with hyperparameters multinomial multi-class, "lbfgs" solver and C = 10 achieved the highest accuracy measurement: 92.33 %. Similarly, taking into account the given hyperparameter values and running the training 5 times using different random values of random\_state provided in the code block, the average accuracy reached 92.3 %. In the subsequent manner, one can conclude from figures, the following model algorithms in terms of average accuracy were Decision Tree & Linear Support Vector Machine, with respective measurements of 85.1 % & 83.267 %.

In conclusion, we may encapsulate that the slowest algorithms such as Bagging Decision Tree produced higher average accuracies approximately equal to 92.3 %, whereas fastest models, particularly Decision Tree, achieved lower (average) accuracy measurements almost equal to 85.1 %.

## **Problem 2:**

Average Accuracy for Logistic Regression = 0.981666666666681 Average Running Time = 0.5862268948554993

Figure 5: Application of preprocessing method "QuantileTransformer" with hypertuned Logistic Regression model, given the trained model of chosen hyperparameter values n quantiles = 100

As seen from the submitted code implementations, we trained the *Logistic Regression* model using the provided training set, taking into account the preprocessing tool and efficient hyperparameter values in this question. Particularly, our choice of preprocessing mechanism for better data input representations was *QuantileTransformer*. As we discussed in the code blocks, this provides a unique non-parametric transformation for mapping the data into a uniform distribution where the values range between 0 and 1. More detailed information could be found in the following link:

https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html

After performing the preprocessing approach, it will be followed by the hypertuning technique in which choosing the right values for parameter  $n_{\text{quantiles}}$  in QuantileTransformer function and C value in LogisticRegression will be very critical to obtain higher accuracy (particularly, higher than 98 %). Moreover, our goal is also to construct a specific Logistic Regression method such that training time of this model needs to be less than or equal to 1 second. Therefore, experimenting with different combinations for  $n_{\text{quantiles}}$  & C, we came to the final conclusion of choosing  $n_{\text{quantiles}}$  as 100, and variable C as 10 in this tuning procedure. Indeed, having in-depth look to the training process of code block and resultant figure above, we may conclude that  $n_{\text{quantiles}} = 100$  for QuantileTransformer and C = 10 for LogisticRegression could be an ideal decided hyperparameter measurement for chosen Logistic Regression Model from problem 1.

## **Problem 3:**

Running time = 2.2080295085906982

Accuracy: 0.9733333587646484

Running time = 2.2095553874969482

Accuracy: 0.9766666889190674

Running time = 2.2087340354919434

Accuracy: 0.9833333492279053

Running time = 2.234635591506958

Accuracy: 0.9766666889190674

Running time = 2.2246365547180176

Accuracy: 0.9766666889190674

Average accuracy for CNN = 0.9773333549499512

Average time for CNN = 2.2171182155609133

Figure 6: Running CNN architecture with defined training/evaluation models and reporting average time & accuracy for CNN

As it can be seen from the submitted code implementations, we trained Convolutional Neural Network using the provided training set. We measured the training time for a given architecture model in the code block and evaluated the corresponding accuracy of the trained model using the given testing set. In the first phase, one should provide necessary macro values for batch size, learning rate, and epochs to run the DataLoader & Adam optimizer. Performing for-loop "epochs" number of times, we extract X & Y parts for training & testing data, respectively, in which forward propagation is executed using the CrossEntropyLoss as a loss function. In the end, we evaluate our predictions and compute the accuracy using the comparisons made between real values of test y and predictions coming from model() operation. This will correspondingly reveal the average running time and accuracy for CNN approach, as indicated in Figure 6. It is inevitable such approach enables much efficient (average) accuracy of 97.73 % wherein average time required to perform randomly sampled epoch number of CNN algorithm is approximately 2.217 seconds.