

B(m)=aS(m)+m. Using master's method, q=B=a and f(m)=m=7 m⁶⁹⁸⁹= m⁶⁹⁸²= m, f(m)= m= (m)= - (m logg), then applying are a yields that 3(m)= (m/gg9 Pgm)= (m/gm). Changing back from S(m) to T(h), S(m)=T(am)=T(n)=(m/gm) = (Pgn. Pg (Pgn))=7 T(n)= (Pgn. Pg (Pgn)) 1) Weippuse induction on n to find asymptotic tight bound for this recurrence. We assume T(1)=1 as a base case for our inductive hypothesis: T(n)=2n-1 (He could assume any values, since this method applies) to Perge n, but it's important to handle base cases / n=1=7 holds / Assume n=k=7 holds / where K7,1 $T(k+1) = aT(k) + 1 = a(a^{k}-1) + 1 = a^{k+1} + 1 = a^{k$ h=k+1=7 holds/meaning T(n)=2-1, given T(1)=1=7 Transforming it into A-notation, T(n)= A(an) e) Velpp again use "changing variables" method as in part (2): m=Pgh or h=2m>T(2m)=T(2m)+1 Let S(m)=T(am)=7S(m)=3(m)+1, where applying Master's theorem

a=1,6=2, f(m)=1=7 m pogg = mpg=mo=1 and f(m)=1= A (1)= A (m hogga) gives us second case of Master's Theorem: S(m)= (m logg & lgm)= (m) (Pgm) 3 (m)=T(3m)= A (Para) or plugging m=1gn, n=2m=7 T(n)= (Pg(Pgn)) (Pgn)) (Pgn) 9) T(n)= In T(In)+h, im plementing "changing variable" method, Pet n=ak=> k=lgh, T(ak)=at T(as)+ak dividing By a^{K} , $T(a^{K}) = T(a^{\frac{K}{2}}) + 1$, Let $S(K) = T(a^{K})$ $S(n) = S(\frac{n}{2}) + 1$. Using Master's Method for $q=1, b=2, f(n)=1=7h^{\log_{8}q} + \log_{1} = n^{\log_{1} 1} = n^{\log_{1} 1} = 1$ P(n)=1=A(1)=A(nPogga), meaning case a should be applied and we got S(n)= H(nPoge of pp)= A(Ppn); Since S(n)=T(ah)=7 T(an)= an (Pgn) or an=m=7T (m)=m (Pg (Pgm)) F(m)= A (m Pg (Pgm)) P) T(n)=T(\frac{n}{3})+T(\frac{an}{3})+n

Ye claim that T(n)=H (n lon) satisfies given recurrence relation

We can show that T(n) for night for suitable constant d and considering that we handled base cases=7 T(n)+T(2n)+h&d.n. Pg n+d. 2n. Pg (2n)+h= = dn Pgh- dn Pg3+ and Pga+ and Pgh- and Pg3+h= = nd fgn + and +n - nd fg3 < n fgn d => k (3d+1) < < x · d Pg 3 =7 ad +1 < d Pg 3 , d (Pg 3 - a) =1 =7 Choosing | d= = T(n) < d · h / gh | we have afready handled base cases

Hence TT(n) - O(Part) = 11 Hence, T(n)=O(nPgn) In the similar approach, we can prove that T(n), a. nfgh-6, for some a,6 Assuming we handled base cases and applying inductive hypothesis, we should be able to find suitable a and b T(3)+T(2h)+h > a. n. (Pgn-Pg3)+a. 2h (Pg3+Pgn-Pg3)
+a. 2n (Pg3+Pgn-Pg3) - 28+h= Pgh. ah-Pg3. ah-26+htz a. htgh+6 2 (29+1-0893) 7,6 choosing 29+1-089370 or 29+17apg3, 20+37apg3, 20+37apg3=apg37
29+17apg3, 20+37apg3=apg37
37apg3-a)=apg27=7pg(27)
29+1-apg3
Ye find T(n)zanpgn-B holds, Considering Gese cases hops



