Lightcone Scaling in PYTHIA and the Lund String Model

Jade Abidi

Student ID: 31461964

A thesis submitted for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Honours)

November 2025

School of Physics and Astronomy Monash University

Supervisor: Peter Skands



It's too abstract!

Contents

1	Introduction
2	QCD, the Lund Model, and PYTHIA 2.1 Quantum Chromodynamics and Collider Physics
3	String Fragmentation in PYTHIA3.1 The PYTHIA Fragmentation Algorithm
4	Tuning Lightcone Scaling in PYTHIA 4.1 Similarity Indices of Rapidity Spacing and Hadronic Chemistry 4.2 The probUndo parameter 4.3 A Numerical Burn-in Optimisation Algorithm 4.4 Limitations
5	The Accordion Model for String Fragmentation 5.1 Introduction
6	Summary and Outlook

Chapter 1 Introduction

QCD, the Lund Model, and PYTHIA

- 2.1 Quantum Chromodynamics and Collider Physics
- 2.2 Monte Carlo Event Generators and PYTHIA
- 2.3 The Lund String Model

String Fragmentation in PYTHIA

3.1 The PYTHIA Fragmentation Algorithm

Having established the theoretical basis of the Lund string model for hadronisation, we can now describe how the hadronisation process is algorithmically implemented in PYTHIA. It is worth first outlining what a "successful" implementation of the Lund string model would achieve. As mentioned in section 2.3, the 1+1-dimensional kinematics of string fragmentation in a single event that produces N hadrons are completely specified by a set of N absolute lightcone momentum fractions $\{z_{{\rm abs},i}^+\}$, where we are considering fragmentation right-to-left (but could just as well consider it left-to-right).

To conserve energy and momentum, these lightcone momentum fractions must add to unity, that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} z_{\text{abs},i}^{+} = 1. \tag{3.1}$$

The area law and lightcone scaling properties of the Lund string model require that the relative lightcone momentum fractions $\{z_i^+\}$ of a single event (as defined in section 2.3) are all drawn from a given fragmentation function f(z) — specifically, the Lund symmetric fragmentation function specified in equation (((insert equation here))).

Also established in section 2.3 is the fact that the quarks produced along the string must have masses m_q and transverse momenta $p_{\perp,q}$ drawn from a distribution $\Pr(m_q^2, p_{\perp,q}^2)$ with a Gaussian suppression, as in equation (((insert equation here))). The resulting hadrons formed from these quarks (and antiquarks) must have masses and transverse momenta distributed accordingly.

(((TODO: Is this a good description? Is this necessary? What about the distribution of N?)))

The actual implementation of string fragmentation in PYTHIA is given by the pseudocode in (((link))). Here, we are considering the simplest fragmentation process where a quark q_0 and antiquark \bar{q}_0 move in opposite directions of the z-axis with centre-of-mass energy $E_{\rm CM}$. An actual event in PYTHIA will contain many such processes between different partons produced in the parton shower, and will also require the consideration of gluon kinks along the strings. However, as we will see, lightcone scaling is entirely violated in PYTHIA even in this minimal situation, and as such the rest of this thesis will be limited to simple $q\bar{q}$ hadronisation.

- 3.2 The Joining Step
- 3.3 Rapidity Plateaus, Joining Step Parameters and Phase Space

Tuning Lightcone Scaling in PYTHIA

- 4.1 Similarity Indices of Rapidity Spacing and Hadronic Chemistry
- 4.2 The probUndo parameter
- 4.3 A Numerical Burn-in Optimisation Algorithm
- 4.4 Limitations

The Accordion Model for String Fragmentation

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Analytical Framework
- 5.3 Pseudocode
- 5.4 Code and Results

Chapter 6 Summary and Outlook

Bibliography

[1] Albert Einstein. On the electrodynamics of moving bodies. *Annalen der Physik*, 17:891–921, 1905.