

# Lightcone Scaling in PYTHIA and the Lund String Model

Jade Abidi

Student ID: 31461964

A thesis submitted for the degree of **Bachelor of Science (Honours)**

November 2025

School of Physics and Astronomy  
Monash University

Supervisor: Peter Skands

## Abstract

Monte Carlo event generators like PYTHIA are used to simulate high-energy particle collision events involving non-perturbative physics. In PYTHIA, the strong field between a  $q\bar{q}$  pair is modelled as a classical string with constant tension, implying that the string fragmentation process should be self-similar at all points along the string. This property is currently violated at the step where string ends are joined, causing a dip in the rapidity plateau and anomalous hadronic chemistry. We introduce an additional tunable parameter and a new algorithm for string fragmentation that improve or resolve these issues, albeit with some limitations.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>QCD, the Lund Model, and PYTHIA</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Quantum Chromodynamics and Collider Physics . . . . .	3
2.2	Monte Carlo Event Generators and PYTHIA . . . . .	3
2.3	The Lund String Model . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>String Fragmentation in PYTHIA</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	The PYTHIA Fragmentation Algorithm . . . . .	4
3.2	The Joining Step . . . . .	6
3.3	Rapidity Plateaus, Joining Step Parameters and Phase Space . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Tuning Lightcone Scaling in PYTHIA</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Similarity Indices of Rapidity Spacing and Hadronic Chemistry . . . . .	7
4.2	The probRevertBreak parameter . . . . .	7
4.3	A Numerical Burn-in Optimisation Algorithm . . . . .	7
4.4	Limitations . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>The Accordion Model for String Fragmentation</b>	<b>8</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	8
5.2	Analytical Framework . . . . .	8
5.3	Pseudocode . . . . .	8
5.4	Code and Results . . . . .	8
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary and Outlook</b>	<b>9</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The field of particle physics is dedicated to investigating the most fundamental particles and interactions in nature. It naturally evolved from nuclear and atomic physics in the early 20th century as technological and scientific knowledge allowed scientists to probe matter at higher energies and smaller length scales. The physical theory underpinning particle physics developed out of quantum field theory, culminating in the Standard Model of particle physics which was formalised in the 1980s. The Standard Model unifies three of the four fundamental forces of nature (electromagnetism, the weak force, and the strong force) into a single theory, and predicted the existence of the Higgs boson well before its historic discovery at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) in 2012.

Particle physics experiments typically require particle colliders like the LHC. Such colliders accelerate charged particles, typically electrons or protons, to speeds up to 99.99999% of the speed of light. Modern particle colliders are usually circular, and use high voltages and strong magnetic fields to accelerate particles and keep them within a thin beamline. These beamlines are then made to collide at interaction points, around which bespoke detector systems are able to collect data on the final state.

# Chapter 2

## QCD, the Lund Model, and PYTHIA

2.1 Quantum Chromodynamics and Collider Physics

2.2 Monte Carlo Event Generators and PYTHIA

2.3 The Lund String Model

# Chapter 3

## String Fragmentation in PYTHIA

### 3.1 The PYTHIA Fragmentation Algorithm

Having established the theoretical basis of the Lund string model for hadronisation, we can now describe how the hadronisation process is algorithmically implemented in PYTHIA. We begin by outlining what a “successful” implementation of the Lund string model would achieve. As mentioned in section 2.3, the 1+1-dimensional kinematics (((TODO: Maybe rephrase this?))) of string fragmentation in a single event that produces  $N$  hadrons are completely specified by a set of  $N$  absolute lightcone momentum fractions  $\{z_{\text{abs},i}^+\}$ , where we are considering fragmentation right-to-left (but could just as well consider it left-to-right).

To conserve energy and momentum, these lightcone momentum fractions must add to unity, that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N z_{\text{abs},i}^+ = 1. \quad (3.1)$$

The area law and lightcone scaling properties of the Lund string model require that the relative lightcone momentum fractions  $\{z_i^+\}$  of a single event (as defined in section 2.3) are all drawn from a given fragmentation function  $f(z)$  — specifically, the Lund symmetric fragmentation function specified in equation (((insert equation here))).

Also established in section 2.3 is the fact that the quarks produced along the string must have masses  $m_q$  and transverse momenta  $p_{\perp,q}$  drawn from a distribution  $\text{Pr}(m_q^2, p_{\perp,q}^2)$  with a Gaussian suppression, as in equation (((insert equation here))). The resulting hadrons formed from these quarks (and antiquarks) must have masses and transverse momenta distributed accordingly.

(((TODO: Is this a good description? Is this necessary? What about the distribution of  $N$ ?)))

The actual implementation of string fragmentation in PYTHIA is given by the high-level pseudocode in (((link))). Here, we are considering the simplest fragmentation process where a quark  $q_0$  and antiquark  $\bar{q}_0$  move in opposite directions along the  $z$ -axis with centre-of-mass energy  $E_{\text{CM}}$ . An actual event in PYTHIA will contain many such processes between different partons produced in the parton shower, and will also require the consideration of gluon kinks along the strings. (((TODO, elaborate, make less vague))) However, as we will see, lightcone scaling is entirely violated in PYTHIA even in this minimal situation, and as such the rest of this thesis will be limited to simple  $q\bar{q}$  hadronisation.

---

**Algorithm 1** The default PYTHIA 8.3 algorithm for  $q\bar{q}$  hadronisation

---

```
procedure FRAGMENT( $E_{\text{CM}}, \text{flav}(q_0), \text{flav}(\bar{q}_0)$ )  
  initialise event record event  
   $i \leftarrow 1$   
   $p_x(q_0) \leftarrow 0.0$   
   $p_y(q_0) \leftarrow 0.0$   
   $p_x(\bar{q}_0) \leftarrow 0.0$   
   $p_y(\bar{q}_0) \leftarrow 0.0$   
  loop  
     $\text{fromPos} \leftarrow$  true or false with equal probability  
     $\text{flav}(q_i) \leftarrow$  flavour according to Gaussian suppression ((equation)) and PYTHIA  
weights  
     $\text{flav}(\bar{q}_i) \leftarrow$  antflavour of  $\text{flav}(q_i)$   
     $p_x(q_i) \leftarrow$  transverse momentum according to Gaussian suppression ((equation))  
     $p_x(\bar{q}_i) \leftarrow -p_x(q_i)$   
     $p_y(q_i) \leftarrow$  transverse momentum according to Gaussian suppression ((equation))  
     $p_y(\bar{q}_i) \leftarrow -p_y(q_i)$   $\triangleright$  String break done.  
    if fromPos then  
       $\text{event}[i].id \leftarrow$  hadron selected from combination of  $\text{flav}(q_{i-1})$  and  $\text{flav}(\bar{q}_i)$   
       $\text{event}[i].m \leftarrow$  mass selected according to Breit-Wigner distribution  
       $\text{event}[i].p_x \leftarrow p_x(q_{i-1}) + p_x(\bar{q}_i)$   
       $\text{event}[i].p_y \leftarrow p_y(q_{i-1}) + p_y(\bar{q}_i)$   
    else  
      end if  
    end loop  
end procedure
```

---

## **3.2 The Joining Step**

## **3.3 Rapidity Plateaus, Joining Step Parameters and Phase Space**



# Chapter 4

## Tuning Lightcone Scaling in PYTHIA

- 4.1 Similarity Indices of Rapidity Spacing and Hadronic Chemistry
- 4.2 The probRevertBreak parameter
- 4.3 A Numerical Burn-in Optimisation Algorithm
- 4.4 Limitations

# Chapter 5

## The Accordion Model for String Fragmentation

### 5.1 Introduction

### 5.2 Analytical Framework

### 5.3 Pseudocode

### 5.4 Code and Results

## Chapter 6

### Summary and Outlook

# Bibliography

- [1] Albert Einstein. On the electrodynamics of moving bodies. *Annalen der Physik*, 17:891–921, 1905.