

## RE: S1 Course Evaluation Summary - Cybersecurity

From Geoffrey Mather <GMather@eit.ac.nz>

Date Thu 30/05/2024 12:24 PM

Anastasia Mozhaeva < AMozhaeva@eit.ac.nz>

Joanna Frain < jfrain@eit.ac.nz>

Kia ora Anastasia

Understand that it must be challenging for all involved.

Great that you and John have reflected on this semester's experience together.

One step at a time, I say.

Keep up the excellent reflective practice.

Warm Regards Geoffrey

From: Anastasia Mozhaeva <AMozhaeva@eit.ac.nz>

Sent: Thursday, 30 May 2024 12:09 pm To: Geoffrey Mather < GMather@eit.ac.nz> Cc: Joanna Frain < jfrain@eit.ac.nz>

Subject: Re: S1 Course Evaluation Summary - Cybersecurity

Geoffrey, good day

Thank you very much for your letter.

This was my first experience of co-teaching. It wasn't entirely clear to me how I could provide my cybersecurity knowledge in the course because I am not a lecturer here. I only provided support in the software part. I think John provides a lot of valuable and accessible information, and as Aleria pointed out, he has a lot of experience and very interesting lectures.

John and I have talked about this course and will try separate practices next semester so I can provide students with help right away. We will also try cross-marking, allowing students in the TC to receive feedback quickly.

Regards, Anastasia

From: Geoffrey Mather < GMather@eit.ac.nz > Sent: Thursday, May 30, 2024 8:53 AM To: Anastasia Mozhaeva < AMozhaeva @eit.ac.nz >

Cc: Joanna Frain < jfrain@eit.ac.nz>

Subject: S1 Course Evaluation Summary - Cybersecurity

Kia ora Anastasia

As you know, a Course Evaluation was recently undertaken on one of the courses you are delivering this semester: Cybersecurity.

Below is a summary of the feedback received from ākonga.

Keep up the good work and let me know if you would like to discuss any of this feedback.

Thanks.

Warm Regards Geoffrey

Course:	Cybersecurity		
Tutor:	Anastasia M	TC:	1

## Course feedback

100% Strongly Agree; 0% Agree, 0% Disagree

0% Strongly Agree; 100% Agree, 0%

Disagree

0% Strongly Agree; 100% Agree, 0% Disagree

Course organisation

Course assessment instructions

Course met expectations

Course assessments were fair and relevant Course assessment feedback Course meeting needs/expectations

Student Support Services General comment Mail - Anastasia Mozhaeva - Outlook

100% Strongly Agree; 0% Agree, 0% Disagree

0% Strongly Agree; 0% Agree, 100% Disagree, 0% Str Dis

0% Strongly Agree; 100% Agree, 0% Disagree 0% Strongly Agree; 100% Agree, 0% Disagree

Whats difficult about this evaluation is that I am only evaluating Anastasia, when I feel as though John is the "main" lecturer/teacher. As he is the one who created the assignment (I believe), marks the assignments, delivers the lecture etc. So this does not feel entirely fair in that regard. When it comes to the marking of the labs, which are all part of one big assignment - which is 100% of all of course work and therefore 100% of the course weighting- once we have submitted a lab, thats final. Even though theyre all part of one big assignment. I like how they are spreadout overall, but I do not really like that the submission is final, and then we get feedback after the submission, but we cannot act on that feedback. I am sure that we could get feedback before the due date, if we shared what we were working on with John, but I am so busy in the week that I bascially finish it just before it is due, on average. Which makes that not the best way of getting feedback. What I would prefer is if we could still have the multiple labs, but have the submissions be more like checkins, with the final submission at the end being the whole assignment, where after that submission we cannot change anything. I understand that this would mean John has more to mark all at once, but I personally think it would allow us to learn from the comments he makes on our lab submissions and actually implenent them into our work. That way it would feel more like a large assignment, rather than a bunch of smaller assignments. That would be my biggest note, overall I think John did a good job at explaining the topics, it can just be hard for me to grasp such a new and complicated topic, over a screen. I dont always love the more "online" classes, as it makes me nervous to ask questions with all these people on the other side of a screen. I much prefer face to face teaching, it also makes it easier for the teacher to show things in person/help with issues. Thankfully I have Anastasia here, she is a big help but overall I dont think she has all of the knowledge that John has. Which is very understandable. John has more knowledge because its more his area, he is the main lecturer and has been teaching this subject for a long time. So I feel that his students in Taradale benefit more than I do, but that is also understandable. It is just the way things are. Overall I am happy with the course, it isnt my favourite subject which doesnt help with my motivation to complete tasks, along with my laptop issues the

course has not always been fun, but I believe my lecturers have done a good job.

	•	••	
Lectu			

Lecturer is enthusiastic about the subject Content was interesting and engaging Useful feedback provided 0% Strongly Agree; 100% Agree, 0% Disagree

0% Strongly Agree; 100% Agree, 0% Disagree, 0% St. Disagree

100% Strongly Agree; 0% Agree, 0% Disagree