

## **Pre-Assessment Moderation – Form AG114-2**

## **EIT Pre-Assessment Moderation Cover Sheet**

To be completed and filed by PC in Programme Moderation Folder

Programme title	Bachelor of Computing Systems			
Course title	ITSD6.348 Systems Analysis			
Unit/Achievement Standard Number and Version If embedded in the course, otherwise N/A	N/A			
Level	Level 6			
Credits	15			
Assessment Title	Systems Analysis Report			
Weighting	70%			
Delivery Mode	Face-to-face			
Course Educator/s	(HB) Emre Erturk (assignment writer) and (TC) Anastasia Mozhaeva (moderator).			
Programme Co-ordinator	Kim Hagen-Hall			
Date:	17/02/2025			
		Voo	No	N/A
Checklist of materials submitted		Yes	No	IN/A
Course descriptor		$\boxtimes$		
Unit/Achievement Standard document  If embedded in the course, otherwise N/A				$\boxtimes$
Course schedules showing due dates		$\boxtimes$	П	
This is to show the timing of assessments and alignment to teaching content.				
Assessment guidelines		$\boxtimes$		
Assessment marking schedules / rubrics		$\boxtimes$		
Any other relevant documentation, e.g. template / exemplars				$\boxtimes$

## **EIT Pre-Assessment Moderation Form**

	Yes	No	N/A	Feedback / Recommendation
Documentation				
Learning outcomes match the course descriptor.	$\boxtimes$			
Layout of tasks is well organised.	$\boxtimes$			
Spelling and grammar are correct.	$\boxtimes$			
Information on how to access learning support is provided.	$\boxtimes$			
Submission instructions are clear.	$\boxtimes$			
Footer includes page numbers, version/date, course code, copyright EIT.	$\boxtimes$			
Validity				
Assessment method aligns with that identified in the course descriptor.	$\boxtimes$			
Assessment design ensures the elements of the learning outcomes will be measured. (active verb, focus object and context/condition/qualifier)	$\boxtimes$			
Tasks and questions are at the right level (in terms of NZQF, the course and the learners).	$\boxtimes$			
Unit/Achievement standard outcomes, range and evidence requirements are addressed in the design.			$\boxtimes$	
Reliability				
The assessment instructions and marking schedule/rubric have sufficient detail to ensure consistent marking by different markers.	$\boxtimes$			
Model answers/rubrics/judgement statements clearly show the difference between grade levels or competent/not competent.	$\boxtimes$			

Fairness and inclusivity			
Assessment provides equitable opportunities to demonstrate learning.	$\boxtimes$		
The assessment timeframe is realistic in relation to the course schedule.	$\boxtimes$		
If the assessment is based on group work, it is clear to individuals how their contributions are assessed.	$\boxtimes$		
Assessment for learning			
Completing this assessment will provide learners with an opportunity to develop knowledge, skills and competencies.	$\boxtimes$		
Manageability			
The size/scope of the assessment is reasonable and realistic for learners in relation to the weighting.	$\boxtimes$		
The assessment design will enable quality, efficient feedback and marking by the educator.	$\boxtimes$		
Authenticity			
The assessment is of high interest, replicating or aligning to real world challenges, skills and activities.	$\boxtimes$		
Transparency			
Assessment instructions are clear, ensuring that learners understand what they need to do.	$\boxtimes$		
Marking schedule/rubric sufficiently explains the success criteria with clear, unambiguous language.	$\boxtimes$		
Mark allocation to individual tasks/components is clearly identified and add up correctly.	$\boxtimes$		
The majority of marks is allocated to tasks/components that show evidence of the learning outcomes being met.	$\boxtimes$		

Additional comments:	
Moderator's name	Anastasia Mozhaeva

Moderator's name	Anastasia Mozhaeva
Moderator's signature	A.M
Date	17/02/2025

Resulting actions: Completed and filed by PC in Programme Moderation Folder	Completion date:
	Click or tap to enter a date.
	Click or tap to enter a date.
	Click or tap to enter a date.
	Click or tap to enter a date.
	Click or tap to enter a date.
	Click or tap to enter a date.

Signature:	

Document information – Office use only		
<b>Document Name</b>	Pre-Assessment Moderation	
<b>Document Number</b>	AG114-2	
Executive	Executive Director, Student and Academic Services	
Owner	Executive Director, Student and Academic Services	
Developer	Education Advisor - Academic	
Review Frequency	12	
Last Review	4/08/2023	
Next Review	4/08/2024	
Related Items	Click here for Related Documents (available only in TeMahau)	
Version history	New format May 2018	
	Migrated format March 2023	