## DataVizA Tutorial: k Nearest Neighbour Classification: Solutions

Department of Econometrics and Business Statistics, Monash University

Tutorial 9

## Wine Data

These questions are based on the problem from last weeks tutorial

1. Carry out kNN classification using all data in ExistingWines.rds in the training set and predict the data in NewWines.rds. Let k=1

2. What are the predictions for the first ten wines in the NewWines.rds

```
#The first ten predictions can be checked using the head function
head(yhat_k1,10)
```

- ## [1] Australia Australia Australia Australia Australia Australia Australia
  ## [8] Australia Australia Australia
  ## Levels: Australia Europe Japan
  - 3. Repeat the analysi with k=5

```
#Same code as before different k argument
yhat_k5<-knn(old_x,new_x,old_y,k=5)
head(yhat_k5,10)</pre>
```

- ## [1] Australia Australia Australia Australia Australia Australia
- ## [8] Australia Australia Australia
- ## Levels: Australia Europe Japan

4. What are the predicted probabilities for the first ten wines in the NewWines.rds when k=5

```
#Same as before but set prob to T
yhat_k5<-knn(old_x,new_x,old_y,k=5,prob = T)
#Use attr function to get probabilities
head(attr(yhat_k5,"prob"),10)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.0
```

#Notice that only the probability of the highest probability class is available.

5. Split the data in *Existing Wines.rds* into a training sample (of roughly 70%) and a test sample (of roughly 30%). Hint runif(125)<0.7 will create a vector of length 125 where each element is either TRUE with probability 70% and false with probability 30%. You may also want to use the ifelse function

```
#Create an indicator that determines whether it is training or test sample.
ind<-ifelse(runif(125)<0.7, "Training Sample", "Test Sample")

train_y<-old_y[ind=="Training Sample"]

test_y<-old_y[ind=="Test Sample"]

train_x<-old_x[ind=="Training Sample",]

test_x<-old_x[ind=="Test Sample",]</pre>
```

6. Is k = 1 a better choice than k = 5 according to the misclassification rate?

```
yhat_k1<-knn(train_x,test_x,train_y,k=1)
mean(yhat_k1!=test_y)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.1025641
```

```
yhat_k5<-knn(train_x,test_x,train_y,k=5)
mean(yhat_k5!=test_y)</pre>
```

## ## [1] 0.07692308

 $\#For\ this\ particular\ example\ k=5\ has\ a\ lower\ missclassification\ rate$