Opcode cache impact on memory usage

Asked 16 years, 3 months ago Modified 8 years, 1 month ago



Viewed 751 times Part of PHP Collective



Can anyone tell me what is the memory usage overhead associated with PHP opcode cache?





I've seen a lot of reviews of opcode cache but all of them only concentrate on the performance increase. I have a small entry level *VPS* and memory limits are a concern for me.





opcode-cache php

Share

Improve this question

Follow

edited Nov 8, 2016 at 16:32



Hamza Zafeer

2,438 • 13 • 35 • 47

asked Aug 27, 2008 at 4:36



7,182 • 8 • 40 • 44

3 Answers

Sorted by:

Highest score (default)



5

Most of the memory overhead will come from the opcode cache size. Each opcode cacher has their own default(e.g. 30MB for APC) which you can change through the config file.



Other than the cache size, the actual memory overhead of the cacher itself is negligible.



Share Improve this answer

edited Aug 27, 2008 at 7:08



Follow

answered Aug 27, 2008 at 5:09





In todays world: It's neglectible. I think memory consumption was about 50 MB bigger with eAccelerator then it was without when I did my <u>benchmarks</u>.



0

If you really need the speed but do have headaches that your RAM might be not enough: grab \$40 and buy another GIG of RAM for your server;)



Share Improve this answer Follow

answered Aug 27, 2008 at 9:03



28.8k • 33 • 93 • 106



You can set a limit to memory consumption for APC, but that potentially limits its effectiveness.







43)

If you're just using it for silent *opcode caching*, then it should be fine. Once the memory allotment is full, no new files will be cached, but everything will work as expected. However, the user-space cache functions like <code>apc_store()</code> and <code>apc_fetch()</code> will fail silently and inexplicably if there is no memory available.

This can be tricky to catch and debug since no error is reported and no exception is thrown.

Share Improve this answer Follow

edited Nov 8, 2016 at 16:07



answered Aug 27, 2008 at 9:51

