

# How do I most efficiently check the unique elements in a list?

Asked 14 years, 8 months ago   Modified 14 years, 8 months ago   Viewed 376 times



let's say I have a list

5

```
li = [{'q': 'apple', 'code': '2B'},  
      {'q': 'orange', 'code': '2A'},  
      {'q': 'plum', 'code': '2A'}]
```



What is the most efficient way to return the count of unique "codes" in this list? In this case, the unique codes is 2, because only 2B and 2A are unique.

I could put everything in a list and compare, but is this really efficient?

python

list

dictionary

performance

Share

Improve this question

Follow

edited Apr 2, 2010 at 22:44



Eli Courtwright

193k ● 68 ● 218 ● 257

asked Apr 2, 2010 at 22:43



TIMEX

271k ● 364 ● 798 ● 1.1k

- 2 Duplicate of all of these: [stackoverflow.com/search?q=%5Bpython%5D+duplicate+list](http://stackoverflow.com/search?q=%5Bpython%5D+duplicate+list). Specifically this: [stackoverflow.com/questions/1143379/...](http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1143379/) – S.Lott Apr 3, 2010 at 2:40

## 1 Answer

Sorted by: Highest score (default)



Probably the most efficient simple way is to create a set of the codes, which will filter out uniques, then get the number of elements in that set:

8

```
count = len(set(d["code"] for d in li))
```



As always, I advise to not worry about this kind of efficiency unless you've measured your performance and seen that it's a problem. I usually think only about code clarity when writing this kind of code, and then come back and tighten it only if I've profiled and found that I **need** to make performance improvements.

Share

edited Apr 2, 2010 at 22:50

answered Apr 2, 2010 at 22:45

Improve this answer

Follow



Eli Courtwright

193k ● 68 ● 218 ● 257

- 
- 1 FWIW when sets were first introduced in Python this was a novel concept to me. I found it very useful to read up on the math behind sets, which ties it all together:  
[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Set\\_\(mathematics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Set_(mathematics)) – [jathanism](#) Apr 2, 2010 at 23:42
  - 2 To take the unique of some *group*, it's always  $O(n)$ , since you have look at every element, unless you have some other inside information. – [Gregg Lind](#) Apr 3, 2010 at 0:11
-