

# Rails - Single Table Inheritance problems. Any solutions / alternatives

Asked 13 years, 7 months ago   Modified 13 years, 6 months ago

Viewed 705 times



For my project management application, I am currently using Single Table Inheritance so that:

**2**

Lead < Requirement



Project < Requirement



By which I mean to say that Lead is a Requirement and Project is a Requirement. It was okay, while I had these two only. Then I had another similar thing (Tender), so I created

Tender < Requirement

Now the problem is when a Tender converts to a project there is no way for me to identify which projects were Tenders and which were Leads. So I can't say for example:

Out of 100 leads I get 20 projects and out of 100 Tenders I get 5 projects.

For now as a workaround I think I can use boolean field to say if this was a tender. But that defeats the purpose of having STI. Is there another way to do this using STI itself. Or are booleans [or some sort of category/project\_type field] the only way to accomplish this.

Can I use state\_machine for this?

I have been trying to get this right for some time now. Any help would be great.

ruby-on-rails

ruby-on-rails-3

single-table-inheritance

state-machine

sti

Share

Improve this question

Follow

asked May 29, 2011 at 6:17



Chandresh Pant

1,183 ● 15 ● 19

I have used boolean fields and its working great. But I am still searching for a solution which will allow this in a better way. Another solution that I am thinking about is has\_one, but not sure if it would work. Any suggestions? – Chandresh Pant  
May 30, 2011 at 9:45

1 Answer

Sorted by:

Highest score (default)



As no one answered this question, I am documenting the different approaches I have / am trying. But as I try more

0

and more I am starting to dislike STI.



1. Use booleans to specify if a requirement is a tender/lead/project. Added benefit of being able to tick more than one. A requirement can a Tender to start with then become a Lead and then a Project.
2. Status field: HABTM. Can check one or more statuses. Again similar to 1 but added benefit of being able to add statuses.
3. Has one: but this one seems non-dry. Haven't tried. Adding as a theoretical option. Project has one Lead Or Project has one Tender.
4. State-Machine: Seems like an interesting option. Not sure how I will be able to track the state changes. Can anybody with experience with State-Machine help me here?

Share Improve this answer

Follow

answered May 30, 2011 at 11:40



**Chandresh Pant**

**1,183** ● 15 ● 19