November 11, 2021

Problem 1

(a) Convergence and stability of a numerical scheme. In this problem I consider the numerical scheme

$$\frac{U_j^{n+1} - 2U_j^n + U_j^{n-1}}{\Delta t^2} - c^2 \frac{U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n}{\Delta x^2} = 0$$
 (1)

to solve the one-dimensional wave equation $u_{tt} - c^2 u_{xx} = 0$. Here, $c \in R$ and U_j^n is the numerical approximation of $u(j\Delta x, n\Delta t)$.

(a) The numerical scheme in Eq. 1 is second-order accurate, this can be seen if we re-write equation (1) differently by taylor expanding its terms. Before taylor expanding them, we want to re-write them in a different form. Meaning:

$$U_{j}^{n+1} = U(t_{n} + \Delta t, x_{j})$$

$$U_{j}^{n-1} = U(t_{n} - \Delta t, x_{j})$$

$$U_{j+1}^{n} = U(t_{n}, x_{j} + \Delta x)$$

$$U_{j-1}^{n} = U(t_{n}, x_{j} - \Delta x)$$

Then I taylor expand these as:

$$U_{j}^{n+1} = U(t_{n} + \Delta t, x_{j}) = U(t_{n}, x_{j}) + \Delta t * U_{t}(t_{n}, x_{j}) + \frac{\Delta t^{2}}{2!} U_{tt}(t_{n}, x_{j}) + \frac{\Delta t^{3}}{3!} U_{ttt}(t_{n}, x_{j}) + \frac{\Delta t^{4}}{4!} U_{tttt}(t_{n}, x_{j}) + O(h^{5})$$

$$U_j^{n-1} = U(t_n - \Delta t, x_j) = U(t_n, x_j) - \Delta t * U_t(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2!} U_{tt}(t_n, x_j) - \frac{\Delta t^3}{3!} U_{ttt}(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta t^4}{4!} U_{tttt}(t_n, x_j) + O(h^5)$$

$$U_{j+1}^{n} = U(t_n, x_j + \Delta x) = U(t_n, x_j) + \Delta x_j * U_x(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x_j^2}{2!} U_{xx}(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x_j^3}{3!} U_{xxx}(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x_j^4}{4!} U_{xxxx}(t_n, x_j) + O(h^5)$$

$$U_{j+1}^{n} = U(t_n, x_j + \Delta x) = U(t_n, x_j) - \Delta x_j * U_x(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x_j^2}{2!} U_{xx}(t_n, x_j) - \frac{\Delta x_j^3}{3!} U_{xxx}(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x_j^4}{4!} U_{xxxx}(t_n, x_j) + O(h^5)$$

Now I will plug these values taylor-expanded in equation (1). Most values will cancel out. Hence, the truncation error T will result in:

$$T = \frac{2\frac{\Delta t^2}{2*1}U_{tt}(t_n, x_j) + 2\frac{\Delta t^4}{4*3*2*1}U_{tttt}(t_n, x_j) + 2*O(h^5)}{\Delta t^2} - \dots$$

November 11, 2021

... -
$$c^2 (2\frac{\Delta x_j^2}{2*1}U_{xx}(t_n, x_j) + 2\frac{\Delta x_j^4}{4*3*2*1}U_{xxxx}(t_n, x_j) + 2*\frac{O(h^5)}{\Delta x^2}\frac{1}{\Delta x^2}$$

Cancelling and rearranging other terms leads to:

$$T = \frac{\Delta t^2}{12} U_{tttt}(t_n, x_j) + U_{tt}(t_n, x_j) + 2 \frac{O^5}{\Delta t^2} - c^2 (U_{xx}(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x^2}{12} U_{xxxx}(t_n, x_j) + 2 * \frac{O(h^5)}{\Delta x^2} \Delta x^2 + \frac{O(h^5)}{h^2} \Delta x^$$

$$T = \frac{\Delta t^2}{12} U_{tttt}(t_n, x_j) + U_{tt}(t_n, x_j) + h.o.t. - c^2 (U_{xx}(t_n, x_j) + \frac{\Delta x^2}{12} U_{xxxx}(t_n, x_j) + h.o.t.$$

I know that $U_{tt}(t_n, x_j) - c^2 U_{xx} = 0$, hence:

$$T = \frac{1}{12} (\Delta t^2 U_{tttt}(t_n, x_j) + c^2 \Delta x^2 U_{xxxx}(t_n, x_j)) + h.o.t.$$
 (2)

These are second order terms, hence this equation is second order accurate.

(b) To show that the numerical scheme is stable, I will use Fourier stability analysis, by substituting in the ansatz $U_j^n = \lambda(k)^n e^{ijk\Delta x}$.

I want to rewrite equation (1). I can say that $v = \frac{\Delta t * c}{\Delta x}$. Consequently, equation (1) becomes:

$$T = U_j^{n+1} - 2U_j^n + U_j^{n-1} - v^2[U_{j+1}^n - 2U_j^n + U_{j-1}^n] = 0$$

Here, we can now plug in: $U_j^n = \lambda(k)^n e^{ijk\Delta x}$.

$$\lambda^{n+1}e^{ikj\Delta x} - 2\lambda^n e^{ikj\Delta x} + \lambda^{n-1}e^{ikj\Delta x} - v^2\lambda^n [e^{ik(j+1)\Delta x} - 2e^{ikj\Delta x} + e^{ik(j-1)\Delta x}] = 0 \quad (3)$$

Additionally, I know that $e^{ik(j+1)\Delta x} = e^{ikj\Delta x}e^{ik\Delta x}$

Hence I will divide (3) for $e^{ijk\Delta x}$ on both sides. This will result into :

$$\lambda^{n+1} - 2\lambda^n + \lambda^{n-1} - v^2 \left[e^{ik\Delta x} - 2 + e^{-ik\Delta x}\right]\lambda^n = 0$$

Note: $e^{ik\Delta x} = cos(k\Delta x) + isin(k\Delta x)$ and $e^{-ik\Delta x} = cos(k\Delta x) - isin(k\Delta x)$. For our equation above, we can then further reduce using: $e^{ik\Delta x} + e^{-ik\Delta x} = 2cos(k\Delta x)$. Then, we can divide out by λ^{n-1} to get:

$$\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1 - v^2\lambda[2\cos(k\Delta x) - 2] = 0$$

November 11, 2021

Here we want to solve for λ and we can use the quadratic formula.

$$\lambda^{2} - \lambda(2v^{2}cos(k\Delta x) - 2v^{2} + 2) + 1 = 0$$

Here you want to solve for λ .

$$\lambda^{2} - \lambda(2v^{2}cos(k\Delta x) - 2v^{2} + 2) + 1 = 0$$

Solving for λ it becomes:

$$\lambda = \frac{2v^2 cos(k\Delta x) - 2v^2 + 2 \pm \sqrt{4(v^2 cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2 - 4}}{2}$$
$$= v^2 cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1 \pm \sqrt{(v^2 cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2 - 1}$$

Where we know that $(v^2k\Delta x - v^2 + 1)^2 - 1$ is always negative. Hence λ is a complex number made of a real part a and an imaginary part b, i.e. a+ib. Where b is $1-(v^2k\Delta x - v^2 + 1)^2$ and a is $v^2cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1$.

$$\lambda = v^2 \cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1 \pm i\sqrt{1 - (v^2 \cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2}$$

$$a = v^{2}cos(k\Delta x) - v^{2} + 1$$

$$b = 1 - (v^{2}k\Delta x - v^{2} + 1)^{2}$$

Now, we can solve for the roots of λ :

$$|\lambda| = a^2 + ib^2$$

$$|\lambda| = (v^2 \cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2 \pm (i\sqrt{1 - (v^2 \cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2})^2$$

$$|\lambda| = (v^2 cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2 \pm 1 - (v^2 cos(k\Delta x) - v^2 + 1)^2$$

$$|\lambda| \pm 1$$

$$\lambda = 1$$

Given this, our scheme is stable because it satisfies that $\lambda = < 1$.