

PRO

Concurrency by Tutorials

Second Edition

Swift 5.1, iOS 13, Xcode 11

Before You Begin

SECTION 0: 3 CHAPTERS

Section I: Getting Started with Concurrency

SECTION 1: 2 CHAPTERS

Section II: Grand Central Dispatch

SECTION 2: 3 CHAPTERS

Section III: Operations

SECTION 3: 5 CHAPTERS

Section IV: Real-Life Concurrency

SECTION 4: 3 CHAPTERS

11. Core Data

11.1 NSManagedObjectContext is not thread safe

11.2 Importing data

11.3 NSAsynchronousFetchRequest

11.4 Sharing an NSManagedObject

11.5 Where to go from here?

12. Thread Sanitizer

12.1 Why the sanitizer?

12.2 Getting started

12.3 Enabling sanitization

12.4 It's not code analysis

12.5 Xcode keeps getting smarter

12.6 Where to go from here?

13. Conclusion

11 Core Data

Written by Scott Grosch

Many of the iOS apps you'll develop are likely to use Core Data for data storage. While mixing concurrency and Core Data is no longer complicated in modern versions of iOS, there are still a couple of key concepts that you'll want to be aware of. Just like most of UIKit, Core Data is not thread safe.

Note: This chapter assumes that you already know how to perform basic Core Data concepts such as searching, creating entities, etc... Please check out our book, *Core Data by Tutorials* at <https://bit.ly/2VkJKNb> if you are new to Core Data.

NSManagedObjectContext is not thread safe

The `NSManagedObjectContext`, which gets created as part of an `NSPersistentContainer` from your `AppDelegate`, is tied to the main thread. As you've learned throughout the rest of this book, this means you can only use that context on the main UI thread. However, if you do so, you're going to negatively impact your user's experience.

There are two methods available on the `NSManagedObjectContext` class to help with concurrency:

- `perform(_:)`
- `performAndWait(_:)`

What both methods do is ensure that whatever action you pass to the closure is executed on the same queue that created the context. Notice how it's not "on the main thread." You might create your own context on another queue. These methods thus ensure that you are always executing against the proper thread and don't crash at runtime.

The only difference between the two is that the first is an asynchronous method, whereas the second is synchronous. It should be abnormal for you to perform Core Data tasks without utilizing either of these methods. Even though you might know you're on the proper thread already, you might refactor your code in the future and forget to wrap the Core Data calls at that point in time. Save yourself the headache and use them right from the start!

Importing data

When your app starts, one of the first goals it frequently has is to contact the server and download any new data. Once the network operation completes, an expensive compare and import cycle will have to take place. However, you don't need to create an entire `Operation` for this common task. Core Data's `NSPersistentContainer` provides `performBackgroundTask(_:)` which will help you out:

```
persistentContainer.performBackgroundTask { context in
    for json in jsonDataFromServer {
        let obj = MyEntity(context: context)
        obj.populate(from: json)
    }

    do {
        try context.save()
    } catch {
        fatalError("Failed to save context")
    }
}
```

Notice how the closure argument is an `NSManagedObjectContext`. Core Data will generate a new context on a private queue for you to work with so that you don't have to worry about any concurrency issues. Be sure not to use any other context in the closure or you'll run into tough to debug runtime issues.

If you've worked with Core Data and concurrency in the past, you'll see that `performBackgroundTask` is a convenience method for this previously required song and dance:

```
let childContext = NSManagedObjectContext(concurrencyType:
    .privateQueueConcurrencyType)
childContext.parent = persistentContainer.viewContext

childContext.perform {
    ...
}
```

No lying, now: How many times have you written the preceding code and forgotten to set the `parent` context properly?

NSAsynchronousFetchRequest

When you use an `NSFetchRequest` to query Core Data, the operation is synchronous. If you're just grabbing a single object, that's perfectly acceptable. When you're performing a time-consuming query, such as retrieving data to populate a `UITableView`, then you'll prefer to perform the query asynchronously. Using `NSAsynchronousFetchRequest` is the obvious solution.

Construct the fetch request as you normally would but then pass that fetch request as the first parameter to the constructor of `NSAsynchronousFetchRequest`. The second parameter is a closure to be executed when the fetch completes. The closure takes a single argument of type `NSAsynchronousFetchResult`.

Note: An asynchronous fetch request must be run in a private background queue.

Running your asynchronous fetch request in a private background queue is easily accomplished via the `newBackgroundContext` method of your persistent container, like so:

```
let ageKeyPath = #keyPath(Person.age)

let fetchRequest = Person.fetchRequest() as NSFetchRequest<Person>
fetchRequest.predicate = NSPredicate(format: "%K > 13", ageKeyPath)

let asyncFetch = NSAsynchronousFetchRequest(fetchRequest: fetchRequest) {
    [weak self] result in

    guard let self = self,
        let people = result.finalResult else {
        return
    }

    self.tableData = people

    DispatchQueue.main.async {
        self.tableView.reloadData()
    }
}

do {
    let backgroundContext = persistentContainer.newBackgroundContext()
    try backgroundContext.execute(asyncFetch)
} catch let error {
    // handle error
}
```

Sharing an NSManagedObject

You can't share an `NSManagedObject` — or a subclass — between threads. Sure, you *can*, and more often than not it will *seem* to work, but your app is going to break, so don't do it!

That doesn't mean you can't effectively use Core Data if your app is written to fully utilize multiple threads. If two separate threads both need access to the same object, you must pass the `NSManagedObjectId` instead of the actual `NSManagedObject`. You can get the `NSManagedObjectId` via the `objectId` property. A common situation wherein you'd need to use the same entity across multiple threads is when you post a notification after creating or updating the entity.

It's incredibly easy to get the entity you want based on an ID:

```
let objectId = someEntity.objectID

DispatchQueue.main.async { [weak self] in
    guard let self = self else { return }

    let myEntity = self.managedObjectContext.object(with: objectId)
    self.addressLabel.text = myEntity.address
}
```

Using ConcurrencyDebug

To help protect yourself from sharing an `NSManagedObject` across threads, you can enable a runtime debug flag by editing the project's scheme and passing a runtime argument.

Add `-com.apple.CoreData.ConcurrencyDebug 1` to your app's scheme to catch calling Core Data methods on the wrong thread in the debugger. While adding the debugging flag provides an extra level of safety, it also comes at a large performance cost. You'll find that leaving the flag enabled is usually a bad idea as it makes your app look less performant, which is the opposite of what you're trying to do! However, you should periodically perform your full suite of tests with the flag enabled to catch any mistakes.

In this chapter project's download materials, open the **Concurrency.xcodeproj** project. In **MainViewController.swift** you'll see there are two aptly named methods defined, both match the callback signature for an `NSNotification`:

- `doItTheRightWay(note:)`
- `doItTheWrongWay(note:)`

The project is currently set to pass the actual `NSManagedObject` to the notification, which, of course, you just learned is really bad! Build and run the app. You can tap on the **Generate** button a bunch of times, and you'll likely be told how many Core Data entities were generated.

What's going on here, though? I just told you the app is written incorrectly, yet everything works just fine! Edit the scheme of your app now and put a checkmark next to the concurrency debug argument so that it's enabled and then run the app again.

This time the progress bar will run to completion as normal but, instead of getting a text message telling you how many entities were created, you'll notice that you crashed in Xcode. Using the extra debugging provided by the runtime argument caused Xcode to notice that you crossed threads with an `NSManagedObject` and so it aborted with an **EXC_BAD_INSTRUCTION** exception. Running in debug mode catches your bad memory usage.

Head back to `MainViewController` and change this line at the top of the class:

```
private let passActualObject = true
```

To this:

```
private let passActualObject = false
```

Rebuild and rerun your app. This time you won't crash. You'll see the difference between the two methods is that the `doItTheRightWay(note:)` method is looking up the entity properly based on the `objectId` and not taking an actual object.

Hopefully this small example shows you how important it is to run with the extra debugging enabled long before you ship your app to production. Just because the app normally works doesn't mean that it always will if you cross thread boundaries. On a real device, performing normal daily tasks, you'll eventually hit memory corruption if you try to share an `NSManagedObject` across threads.

Where to go from here?

Hopefully, the preceding few pages have shown you that it's pretty easy to work with Core Data in a thread safe way. If you previously shied away from this great framework because it was hard to use concurrently, now is the time to take another look!

If you'd like to know more about the intricacies of Core Data, please check out our book, *Core Data by Tutorials* at <https://bit.ly/2VkJKNb>.

☐ Mark Complete

12. Thread Sanitizer

10. Canceling Operations

Have a technical question? Want to report a bug? You can ask questions and report bugs to the book authors in our official book forum [here](https://bit.ly/2VkJKNb).

Have feedback to share about the online reading experience? If you have feedback about the UI, UX, highlighting, or other features of our online readers, you can send them to the design team with the form below:

Feedback about the UI, UX, or other features of the online reader? Leave them here!

[Send Feedback](#)