

Project #2

[Submit Assignment](#)

Due Apr 29 by 11:59pm **Points** 100 **Submitting** a file upload
Available Apr 19 at 12am - May 2 at 11:59pm 14 days

CS 475/575 -- Spring Quarter 2018

Project #2

OpenMP: N-body Problem -- Coarse vs Fine and Static vs Dynamic

100 Points

Due: April 29

Introduction

This project involves a rumble between static scheduling vs. dynamic, and coarse-grained parallelism vs. fine-grained.

The problem that we are solving is an "N-Body Problem", in which a group of planetary masses are swarming around by being mutually attracted to each other. As all bodies are attracted to all other bodies, this is potentially an $O(N^2)$ problem, and thus would be ripe for parallelism.

Requirements

- Use OpenMP for this. Use 100 bodies. Take 200 time steps.
- Use a variety of different numbers of threads. At least use 1, 2, and 4. You can also use more if you'd like.
- In the code below, "coarse-grained parallelism" means putting the OpenMP `#pragma omp parallel` before the *i* for-loop. "fine-grained parallelism" means putting it before the *j* for-loop.
- When you do the fine-grained parallelism, don't forget that the variables *fx*, *fy*, *fz* need to undergo a reduction-add.
- You can control static vs. dynamic scheduling by adding a clause to the end of the `#pragma omp parallel` for. Use either `schedule(static)` or `schedule(dynamic)`.
- Don't worry about the scheduling chunksize. Let it default to 1. Joe Parallel tried a few combinations and it didn't seem to make any difference.
- Record the data in units of something that gets larger as speed increases. Joe Parallel used "MegaBodies Compared Per Second" $((\text{float})(\text{NUMBODIES} * \text{NUMBODIES} * \text{NUMSTEPS}) / (\text{time1} - \text{time0}) / 1000000.)$, but you can use anything that makes sense.

- Your commentary write-up (turned in as a PDF file) should include:
1. Tell what machine you ran this on
 2. Create a table with your results.
 3. Draw a graph. The X axis will be the number of threads. The Y axis will be the performance in whatever units you sensibly choose. On the same graph, plot 4 curves:
 1. coarse+static
 2. coarse+dynamic
 3. fine+static
 4. fine+dynamic
 4. What patterns are you seeing in the speeds?
 5. Why do you think it is behaving this way?

The Skeleton Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

```
#include <stdlib.h>
```

```
#include <math.h>
```

```
#include <omp.h>
```

```
// constants:
```

```
const double G          = 6.67300e-11; // m^3 / ( kg s^2 )
```

```
const double EARTH_MASS  = 5.9742e24;   // kg
```

```
const double EARTH_DIAMETER = 12756000.32; // meters
```

```
const double TIMESTEP    = 1.0; // secs
```

```
#define NUMBODIES        100
```

```
#define NUMSTEPS          200
```

```
struct body
```

```
{

    float mass;

    float x, y, z;        // position

    float vx, vy, vz;     // velocity

    float fx, fy, fz;     // forces

    float xnew, ynew, znew;

    float vxnew, vynew, vznew;

};
```

```
typedef struct body Body;
```

```
Body    Bodies[NUMBODIES];
```

```
// function prototypes:
```

```
float    GetDistanceSquared( Body *, Body * );
```

```
float    GetUnitVector( Body *, Body *, float *, float *, float * );
```

```
float    Ranf( float, float );
```

```
int      Ranf( int, int );
```

```
int
```

```
main( int argc, char *argv[ ] )
```

```
{
```

```
#ifndef _OPENMP
```

```
fprintf( stderr, "OpenMP is not available\n" );

return 1;

#endif


omp_set_num_threads( NUMTHREADS );

int numProcessors = omp_get_num_procs( );

fprintf( stderr, "Have %d processors.\n", numProcessors );


for( int i = 0; i < NUMBODIES; i++ )
{
    Bodies[i].mass = EARTH_MASS * Ranf( 0.5f, 10.f );
    Bodies[i].x = EARTH_DIAMETER * Ranf( -100.f, 100.f );
    Bodies[i].y = EARTH_DIAMETER * Ranf( -100.f, 100.f );
    Bodies[i].z = EARTH_DIAMETER * Ranf( -100.f, 100.f );
    Bodies[i].vx = Ranf( -100.f, 100.f );;
    Bodies[i].vy = Ranf( -100.f, 100.f );;
    Bodies[i].vz = Ranf( -100.f, 100.f );;
};


double time0 = omp_get_wtime( );


for( int t = 0; t < NUMSTEPS; t++ )
{
    for( int i = 0; i < NUMBODIES; i++ )
    {
        float fx = 0.;
```

```
float fy = 0.;
```

```
float fz = 0.;
```

```
Body *bi = &Bodies[i];
```

```
for( int j = 0; j < NUMBODIES; j++ )
```

```
{
```

```
    if( j == i )    continue;
```

```
    Body *bj = &Bodies[j];
```

```
    float rsqd = GetDistanceSquared( bi, bj );
```

```
    if( rsqd > 0. )
```

```
    {
```

```
        float f = G * bi->mass * bj->mass / rsqd;
```

```
        float ux, uy, uz;
```

```
        GetUnitVector( bi, bj,  &ux, &uy, &uz );
```

```
        fx += f * ux;
```

```
        fy += f * uy;
```

```
        fz += f * uz;
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

```
float ax = fx / Bodies[i].mass;
```

```
float ay = fy / Bodies[i].mass;
```

```
float az = fz / Bodies[i].mass;
```

```
Bodies[i].xnew = Bodies[i].x + Bodies[i].vx*TIMESTEP + 0.5*ax*TIMESTEP*TIMESTEP;
```

```
Bodies[i].ynew = Bodies[i].y + Bodies[i].vy*TIMESTEP + 0.5*ay*TIMESTEP*TIMESTEP;
```

```
Bodies[i].znew = Bodies[i].z + Bodies[i].vz*TIMESTEP + 0.5*az*TIMESTEP*TIMESTEP;
```

```
Bodies[i].vxnew = Bodies[i].vx + ax*TIMESTEP;
```

```
Bodies[i].vynew = Bodies[i].vy + ay*TIMESTEP;
```

```
Bodies[i].vznew = Bodies[i].vz + az*TIMESTEP;
```

```
}
```

```
// setup the state for the next animation step:
```

```
for( int i = 0; i < NUMBODIES; i++ )
```

```
{
```

```
    Bodies[i].x = Bodies[i].xnew;
```

```
    Bodies[i].y = Bodies[i].ynew;
```

```
    Bodies[i].z = Bodies[i].znew;
```

```
    Bodies[i].vx = Bodies[i].vxnew;
```

```
    Bodies[i].vy = Bodies[i].vynew;
```

```
    Bodies[i].vz = Bodies[i].vznew;
```

```
}
```

```
} // t
```

```
double time1 = omp_get_wtime( );
```

```
// print performance here:::
```

```
return 0;
```

```
}
```

```
float
```

```
GetDistanceSquared( Body *bi, Body *bj )
```

```
{
```

```
    float dx = bi->x - bj->x;
```

```
    float dy = bi->y - bj->y;
```

```
    float dz = bi->z - bj->z;
```

```
    return dx*dx + dy*dy + dz*dz;
```

```
}
```

```
float
```

```
GetUnitVector( Body *from, Body *to, float *ux, float *uy, float *uz )
```

```
{
```

```
    float dx = to->x - from->x;
```

```
    float dy = to->y - from->y;
```

```
    float dz = to->z - from->z;
```

```
    float d = sqrt( dx*dx + dy*dy + dz*dz );
```

```
    if( d > 0. )
```

```
    {
```

```
        dx /= d;
```

```
        dy /= d;
```

```
        dz /= d;
```

```
    }
```

```
*ux = dx;  
  
*uy = dy;  
  
*uz = dz;  
  
return d;  
}
```

```
float  
Ranf( float low, float high )  
{  
  
    float r = (float) rand();      // 0 - RAND_MAX  
  
    return(  low + r * ( high - low ) / (float)RAND_MAX  );  
}
```

```
int  
Ranf( int ilow, int ihigh )  
{  
  
    float low = (float)ilow;  
    float high = (float)ihigh + 0.9999f;  
  
    return (int)(  Ranf(low,high) );  
}
```


Where Did This Project Come From?

This project was inspired by the colliding galaxies scene from the IMAX movie *Cosmic Voyage*. It involved a 165GB dataset and thousands of hours of computer time to simulate. You can see this scene by going to:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jrrm4F2IJMc> ↗ [_ \(http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jrrm4F2IJMc\)](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jrrm4F2IJMc)



[_ \(http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jrrm4F2IJMc\)](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jrrm4F2IJMc)

.

(Don't worry about trying to make a real animation out of this assignment. We would probably need to pay much closer attention to the program's parameters to make this happen correctly.)

Grading:

| Feature | Points |
|------------------|--------|
| Table of Results | 30 |
| Graph of Results | 30 |
| Commentary | 40 |
| Potential Total | 100 |