CS4102 Algorithms

Nate Brunelle

Fall 2017

<u>Warm up:</u>

What is a Decision Problem?

Max Independent Set

Find the largest set of non-adjacent nodes



k Independent Set



Is there a set of non-adjacent nodes of size k?



Maximum Independent Set

- Independent set: $S \subseteq V$ is an independent set if no two nodes in S share an edge
- Maximum Independent Set Problem: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ find the maximum independent set S

k Independent Set

- Independent set: $S \subseteq V$ is an independent set if no two nodes in S share an edge
- k Independent Set Problem: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a number k, **determine whether there is an independent set $\boldsymbol{S}$ of size $\boldsymbol{k}$**

Min Vertex Cover

Find the smallest set of nodes which covers every edge



k Vertex Cover

Is there a set of nodes of size k which covers every edge?



Minimum Vertex Cover

- Vertex Cover: $C \subseteq V$ is a vertex cover if every edge in E has one of its endpoints in C
- Minimum Vertex Cover: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ find the minimum vertex cover C

k Vertex Cover

- Vertex Cover: $C \subseteq V$ is a vertex cover if every edge in E has one of its endpoints in C
- k Vertex Cover: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a number k, **determine whether there is a vertex cover $\boldsymbol{C}$ of size $\boldsymbol{k}$**

Problem Types

- Decision Problems: If we can solve this
  - Is there a solution?
    - Output is True/False
  - Is there a vertex cover of size k?
- Search Problems: Then we can solve this
  - Find a solution
    - Output is complex
  - Give a vertex cover of size k
- Verification Problems:
  - Given a potential solution, is it valid?
    - Output is True/False
  - Is **this** a vertex cover of size k**?**

Using a k-VertexCover decider to build a searcher

- Set $i = k - 1$
- Remove nodes (and incident edges) one at a time
- Check if there is a vertex cover of size i
  - If so, then that removed node was part of the k vertex cover, set $i = i - 1$
  - Else, it wasn't

5 Vertex Cover (Decision)



Is there a set of nodes of size 5 which covers every edge?

Yes!

4 Vertex Cover (Decision)



Is there a set of nodes of size 4 which covers every edge?

No!

4 Vertex Cover (Decision)



Is there a set of nodes of size 4 which covers every edge?

Yes!

3 Vertex Cover (Decision)

Is there a set of nodes of size 3 which covers every edge?

No!



Reduction


k-VertexCover Solver
A
Solution for A
X
Remove a node, etc…
Relate Solutions of problem B to Solutions of A
Reduction
k-VertexCover Decider
B
Using any Algorithm for B
Solution for B
Y

Today’s Keywords

- Reductions
- NP-Completeness
- Vertex Cover
- Independent Set
- 3-SAT
- Clique

CLRS Readings

- Chapter 34

Homeworks

- HW8 Released
  - Due Wednesday 5/2 at 11pm
  - Written (use LaTeX)
  - Reductions

P vs NP

- P
  - Deterministic Polynomial Time
  - Problems solvable in polynomial time
    - $O(n^p)$ for some number p
- NP
  - Non-Deterministic Polynomial Time
  - Problems verifiable in polynomial time
    - $O(n^p)$ for some number p
- Open Problem: Does P=NP?
  - Certainly $P \subseteq NP$



k-Independent Set is NP

- To show: Given a potential solution, can we verify it in $O(n^p)$? $[n = V + E]$

How can we verify it?

1. Check that it's of size k $O(V)$
2. Check that it's an independent set $O(V^2)$

k-Vertex Cover is NP

- To show: Given a potential solution, can we verify it in $O(n^p)$? $[n = V + E]$

How can we verify it?

1. Check that it’s of size k $O(V)$
2. Check that it’s a Vertex Cover $O(E)$

NP-Hard

- How can we try to figure out if P=NP?
- Identify problems at least as “hard” as NP
  - If any of these “hard” problems can be solved in polynomial time, then all NP problems can be solved in polynomial time.
- Definition: NP-Hard:
  - B is NP-Hard if $\forall A \in NP, A \leq_p B$
  - $A \leq_p B$ means A reduces to B in polynomial time



NP-Hardness Reduction



NP-Complete

- "Together they stand, together they fall"
- Problems solvable in polynomial time iff ALL NP problems are
- NP-Complete = NP ∩ NP-Hard
- How to show a problem is NP-Complete?
  - Show it belongs to NP
    - Give a polynomial time verifier
  - Show it is NP-Hard
    - Give a reduction from another NP-H problem



We now just need a FIRST NP-Hard problem

NP-Completeness



NP-Completeness



3-SAT

- Shown to be NP-Hard by Cook and Levin (independently)
- Given a 3-CNF formula (logical AND of clauses, each an OR of 3 variables), Is there an assignment of true/false to each variable to make the formula true?

$$\underbrace{(x \vee y \vee z)}_{\textbf{Clause}} \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$$

Variables

$$\boldsymbol{x = true}$$
$$\boldsymbol{y = false}$$
$$\boldsymbol{z = false}$$
$$\boldsymbol{u = true}$$

k-Independent Set is NP-Complete

1. Show that it belongs to NP
   - Give a polynomial time verifier (slide 21)
2. Show it is NP-Hard
   - Give a reduction from a known NP-Hard problem
   - Show $3SAT \leq_p kIndSet$

$3SAT \leq_p kIndSet$



Instance of 3SAT to Instance of kIndSet

$$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$$



For each clause, produce a triangle graph with its three variables as nodes

Connect each node to all of its opposites

Let k = number of clauses

There is a k-IndSet in this graph, iff there is a satisfying assignment

kIndSet ⇒ Satisfying Assignment

$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$



$\boldsymbol{x = true}$
$\boldsymbol{y = false}$
$\boldsymbol{z = false}$
$\boldsymbol{u = true}$

One node per triangle is in the Independent set:
because we can have exactly k total in the set,
and 2 in a triangle would be adjacent

If x is selected in some triangle, $\bar{x}$ is not selected in any triangle:
Because every x is adjacent to every $\bar{x}$

Set the variable which each included node represents to “true”

Satisfying Assignment ⇒ kIndSet

$$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$$



$\boldsymbol{x = true}$
$\boldsymbol{y = false}$
$\boldsymbol{z = false}$
$\boldsymbol{u = true}$

Use one true variable from the assignment for each triangle

The independent set has k nodes, because there are k clauses

If any variable x is true then $\bar{x}$ cannot be true

$3SAT \leq_p kIndSet$



k-Vertex Cover is NP-Complete

1. Show that it belongs to NP
   - Give a polynomial time verifier (slide 22)
2. Show it is NP-Hard
   - Give a reduction from a known NP-Hard problem
   - We showed $kIndSet \leq_p kVertCov$
     - (Last Class)

$kIndSet \leq_p kVertCov$



k-Clique Problem

- Clique: A complete subgraph
- k-Clique Problem:
  - Given a graph G and a number k, is there a clique of size k?



k-Clique is NP-Complete

1. Show that it belongs to NP
   – Give a polynomial time verifier
2. Show it is NP-Hard
   – Give a reduction from a known NP-Hard problem
   – We will show $3SAT \leq_p kClique$

k-Clique is NP

1. Given a Graph and a potential solution
2. Check that the solution has k nodes
3. Check that every pair of nodes share an edge



$3SAT \leq_p kClique$



Instance of 3SAT to Instance of kClique

$$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$$



(also do this for the other clauses, omitted due to clutter)

For each clause, produce a node for each of its three variables

Connect each node to all non-contradictory nodes in the other clauses (i.e., anything that's not its negation)

Let $k =$ number of clauses

There is a k-Clique in this graph, iff there is a satisfying assignment

kClique ⇒ Satisfying Assignment

$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$



$\boldsymbol{x = true}$
$\boldsymbol{y = false}$
$\boldsymbol{z = false}$
$\boldsymbol{u = true}$

There are k triplets in the graph, and no two nodes in the same triplet are adjacent

To have a k-Clique, must have one node from each triplet

Cannot select a node for both a variable and its negation

Therefore selection of nodes is a satisfying assignment

Satisfying Assignment ⇒ kClique

$$(x \vee y \vee z) \wedge (x \vee \bar{y} \vee y) \wedge (u \vee y \vee \bar{z}) \wedge (z \vee \bar{x} \vee u) \wedge (\bar{x} \vee \bar{y} \vee \bar{z})$$



$x = true$
$y = false$
$z = false$
$u = true$

Select one node for a true variable from each clause

There will be k nodes selected

We can't select both a node and its negation

All nodes will be non-contradictory, so they will be pairwise adjacent

$3SAT \leq_p kClique$