#### Probability

Cond. Ind.  $X \perp Y | Z \Longrightarrow P(X, Y | Z) = P(X | Z) P(Y | Z)$ 

Cond. Ind.  $X \perp Y | Z \Longrightarrow P(X | Y, Z) = P(X | Z)$ 

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} t \cdot f_X(t) dt =: \mu_X$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[X\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right)^{2}\right] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} (t - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right])^{2} f_{X}(t) \, dt = \mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]^{2}$$

$$Cov(X,Y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x,y)(x - \mu_x)(y - \mu_x) dx dy$$

"
$$\mathbf{X}^2 = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^T$$
"  $\geq 0$  ((symmetric) positive semidefinite)

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[X\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[X\right]^2$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\right] = \mathbf{A}\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathbf{X}\right]\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \quad \operatorname{Var}\left[aX + b\right] = a^{2}\operatorname{Var}\left[X\right]$$

$$Var \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i X_i \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 Var \left[ X_i \right] + 2 \sum_{i,j,i < j} a_i a_j Cov \left( X_i, X_j \right)$$

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} X_{i}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left[X_{i}\right] + \sum_{i,j,i \neq j} a_{i} a_{j} \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P(X \le t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}F_X(t) = f_X(t)$$
 (derivative of c.d.f. is p.d.f)

$$f_{\alpha Y}(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} f_Y(\frac{z}{\alpha})$$

Empirical CDF: 
$$\hat{F}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\{X_i \le t\}}$$

Empirical PDF: 
$$\hat{f}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(t - X_i)$$
 (continuous)

Empirical PDF: 
$$\hat{p}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} |x = t| x \in D$$
 (discrete)

**T.** The MGF  $\psi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tX}\right]$  characterizes the distr. of a rv

$$Pois(\lambda)$$
:  $e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}$ 

**T.** If 
$$X_1, ..., X_n$$
 are ind. rvs with MGFs  $M_{X_i}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left[e^{tX_i}\right]$ , then the MGF of  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$  is  $M_Y(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(a_i t)$ .

**T.** Let X, Y be ind., then the p.d.f. of Z = X + Y is the conv of the p.d.f. of X and Y:

$$f_Z(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_X(t) f_Y(z-t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_X(z-t) f_Y(t) dt$$

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d \det(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\mathsf{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)}$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}$$
  $\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) (\mathbf{x} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})^{\mathsf{T}}$ 

T. 
$$P(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \end{bmatrix}) = \mathcal{N}(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}_1 \\ \mathbf{a}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix})$$

 $\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{u}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^e, \ \Sigma_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{e \times e} \text{ p.s.d. } \Sigma_{12} \in \mathbb{R}^{e \times f} \text{ p.s.d.}$ 

$$\mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{u}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^f, \ \Sigma_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times f} \text{ p.s.d. } \Sigma_{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{f \times e} \text{ p.s.d.}$$

$$P(\mathbf{a}_2 \mid \mathbf{a}_1 = \mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{a}_2 \mid \mathbf{u}_2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{u}_1), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12})$$

**T.** (Chebyshev) Let X be a rv with  $\mathbb{E}_X [=] \mu$  and variance  $\operatorname{Var}[X] = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have  $P(|X - \mu| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$ .

T. (Cramer Rao Bound) If  $\hat{\theta}$  is unb., cons. est., then

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\hat{\theta} - \theta\right)^2\right] \ge \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}_n(\theta)} > 0, \text{ where}$$

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log(P(x_i \mid \theta))}{\partial \theta^2}\right].$$
 (Fisher Information)

D. (Conditional Expected Risk) Given rv X

$$R(f, X) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} L(Y, f(X)) P(Y \mid X) dY$$

 $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . (Total Expected Risk) for rvs X, Y

$$R(f) = \mathbb{E}_X [R(f, X)] = \int_{\mathcal{X}} R(f, X) P(X) dX = \mathbb{E}_{X,Y} [L(Y, f(X))].$$

#### 2 Matrix Calculus

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}_0) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}_0)^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \quad (2\text{nd order Taylor Expan.})$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^{\mathsf{T}} \text{Hess}(f; \mathbf{x}_0) (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) + \mathcal{O}((\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^3)$$

$$\operatorname{Hess}(f; \mathbf{x}_0) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \mathbf{x} \partial \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}}(\mathbf{x}_0)$$

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \right] = \mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{x}) \right] = \mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbf{f} (\mathbf{x}) \right]}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \right] = 2 \mathbf{A}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left[ \left\| \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right\|_2^2 \right] = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left[ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$$

**T.** (Sylvester Criterion) A  $d \times d$  matrix is positive semi-definite if and only if all the upper left  $k \times k$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, d$  have a positive determinant.

#### 3 Miso

**T.** (Jensen) f convex/concave,  $\forall i : \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$   $f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i) \leq / \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)$  Special case:  $f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ .

T. (CSU) 
$$|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle|^2 \le \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle \cdot \langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

Special case: 
$$(\sum x_i y_i)^2 \le (\sum x_i^2)(\sum y_i)^2$$

Special case:  $\mathbb{E}[XY]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[X^2]\mathbb{E}[Y^2]$ 

**Lag.:** 
$$f(x,y)$$
 s.t.  $g(x,y) = c$   $\mathcal{L}(x,y,\gamma) = f(x,y) - \gamma(g(x,y) - c)$ 

### 4 Kernels

**D.** (Kernel) Kernel functions k(x, x') must satisfy (cf. properties of covariance matrices)

- 1. Symmetry: k(x, x') = k(x', x)
- 2. Positive semi-definiteness (continuous case):  $\forall f \in L_2 \, \forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R} \colon \int_{\Omega} k(x, x') f(x) f(x') \, dx \, dx' \geq 0$
- 3. Positive semi-definiteness (discrete case): For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and any set  $S = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ , the kernel (Gram) matrix  $\mathbf{K} = (k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  must be positive semidefinite ( $\forall \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x} > 0$ ).

**T.** (Comp. Rules)  $k_1 + k_2$ ;  $k_1 \cdot k_2$ ;  $c \cdot k_1$  (c > 0);  $f(k_1)$  where f is a poly. w. pos. coeff., or the exp. func..

$$\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{Kernel} \ k(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = & \textbf{Feature Map} \ \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \\ k_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + k_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) & (\phi_1(\mathbf{x}),\phi_2(\mathbf{x}))^\mathsf{T} \\ c \cdot k_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \ (c > 0) & \sqrt{c} \cdot \phi_1(\mathbf{x}) \\ k_1(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \cdot k_2(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) & (\phi_1(\mathbf{x})_i \cdot \phi_2(\mathbf{y})_j)^\mathsf{T}_{\text{for } 1 \leq i \leq d_1, 1 \leq j \leq d_2} \\ \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \ \mathbf{A} \ \mathbf{p.s.d.} & \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^\mathsf{T} \\ \mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{M} \ \text{arbitrary} & \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x} \end{array}$$

Linear  $k(x,y) = x^{\top}y$ , Polynomial  $k(x,y) = (x^{\top}y + 1)^d$ 

RBF 
$$k(x,y) = \exp(\frac{-\|x-y\|_2^2}{h^2})$$
, Sigmoid  $k(x,y) = \tanh(kx^\top y - b)$ 

Proof Tricks: Counterexample, Prove by "for arbitrary n,  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n \sum_i \sum_j c_i c_k k(x_i, x_j) \ge 0$ ", constant kernel

k(x,y) = c is a kernel, find feature map and inner product.

#### 5 Regression

**Problem** What is the optimal estimate of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  based on noisy data  $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon_i$ 

Solution the regression function

$$f^*(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[Y \mid X = x\right] = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} y \cdot P\left(y \mid X = x\right) dy$$

### 5.1—Ridge Regression—

 $\mathbf{w}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2 \quad (\lambda > 0, \text{ chosen via CV})$ 

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{y}$$
 (always has a solution)

$$\mathbf{g}_t = -2\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{w}_t) + 2\lambda\mathbf{w}_t$$

Note: Scale of the data matters for  $\lambda!$  ( $\rightarrow$  normalize data)

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}, \sigma^2), \quad y_i = \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$P(Y = y \mid \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mathbf{w}, \sigma^2)) = \mathcal{N}(y; h(\mathbf{x}), \sigma^2), h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}$$

Weights prior:  $\mathbf{w} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta^2 \mathbf{I}), \quad w_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \beta^2)$ 

Maximizing  $P(\mathbf{w} \mid D)$  then leads to the connection  $\lambda = \frac{\sigma^2}{\beta^2}$ .

### 5.2—Bayesian Linear Regression—

$$Y = \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon, \ \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$P(Y | \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(Y - \boldsymbol{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\beta})^2\right)$$

$$P(\boldsymbol{\beta} | \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{o}, \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)$$

$$P\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\,|\,\mathbf{X},\mathbf{y},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right) = \frac{P\left(\mathbf{y}\,|\,\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)P\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\,|\,\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)}{P\left(\mathbf{y}\,|\,\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\Lambda},\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)} \propto P\left(\mathbf{y}\,|\,\mathbf{X},\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\sigma}\right)P\left(\boldsymbol{\beta}\,|\,\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(y_i \mid \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma) P(\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \boldsymbol{\Lambda}) = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left( \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} - \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \sigma^2 \left( \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{X} + \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \right)^{-1}$$

Special Case: Ridge Regression:  $\Lambda = \lambda \mathbf{I}$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ 

### 5.3 - Kernelized Ridge Regression -

**Insight** optimal  $\mathbf{w}^*$  lies in the span of the data.

$$\mathbf{w}^* = \mathbf{X}_{\phi}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{z}^* \quad (\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X}_{\phi} \mathbf{X}_{\phi}^\mathsf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$$

$$\mathbf{z}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}} \|\mathbf{K}\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \mathbf{z}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{z}$$

- 1) Closed form  $\mathbf{z}^* = (\mathbf{X}_{\phi} \mathbf{X}_{\phi}^{\mathsf{T}} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{K} \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}$
- 2) Gradient descent  $\mathbf{g}_t = 2\mathbf{K}^\mathsf{T}(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{z} \mathbf{y}) + 2\lambda\mathbf{K}\mathbf{z}$

Prediction 
$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \phi(\mathbf{x}) = \ldots = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x})$$

**Bayesian Interpretation** Same as ridge regression, except that the hypothesis class for  $\mathcal{H}$  for h (comp. of mean) may be different.

### 5.4—Sparse Regression: LASSO—

Requires  $\|\mathbf{w}\|_1 \le s$ . Prior:  $w_i \sim p(w_i; 0, b) = \frac{1}{2b} \exp\left(-\frac{|w_i - \mu|}{b}\right)$  where  $\mu = 0$ , (connection:  $\lambda = \frac{2\sigma^2}{b}$ ).

#### shere $\mu = 0$ , (connection: $\lambda = \frac{1}{b}$ ). 5.5 — Ensemble of Regressions -

**T.** The average of B unbiased estimators  $\hat{f}_i$  remains unbiased.

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\hat{f}_{i}(X)\right] - \theta = 0.$$

l | T. The variance of am average of B unbiased estimators, (\*)

which have small covariances  $\approx 0$ , and similar variances  $\approx \sigma^2$ my reduce the variance to  $\sigma^2/B$ .

$$\operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\hat{f}_{i}(X)\right] = \frac{1}{B^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{B}\operatorname{Var}\left[\hat{f}_{i}(X)\right] + \frac{1}{B^{2}}\sum_{\substack{i,j\\i\neq j}}^{B}\operatorname{Cov}\left(\hat{f}_{i}(X),\hat{f}_{i}(X)\right) \stackrel{(*)}{\approx} \frac{\sigma^{2}}{B}.$$

#### 5.6—Bias Variance for Squared Loss

$$\mathbb{E}_{D}\left[\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X},Y}\left[(Y-\hat{h}_{D}(\mathbf{X}))^{2}\right]\right] \quad \text{(Note: } h^{*}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{Y}\left[Y\mid X\right]\text{)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}\left[\left(\mathbb{E}_{D}\left[\hat{h}_{D}(\mathbf{X})\right] - h^{*}(\mathbf{X})\right)^{2}\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}\left[\mathbb{E}_{D}\left[\left(\hat{h}_{D}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}_{D'}\left[\hat{h}_{D'}(\mathbf{X})\right]\right)^{2}\right]\right]$$
Variance

 $+\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X},Y}\left[\left(Y-h^*(\mathbf{X})\right)^2\right]$  (Noise)

Derivation: 1)  $\pm \mathbb{E}_Y [Y | X]$  gives noise, 2) Prove vanishing cross-term, 3)  $\pm \mathbb{E}_D |\hat{f}(X)|$  gives bias and variance

#### 5.7 – Gaussian Processes

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon, \ \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{o}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n), \ \ \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1})$$

Since the outputs **v** are a linear combination of normally distributed rvs  $\beta$ , they are jointly Gaussian themselves.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\beta,\epsilon} [\mathbf{y}] = \mathbb{E}_{\beta,\epsilon} [\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon] = \mathbf{X} \mathbb{E}_{\beta} [\beta] + \mathbb{E}_{\epsilon} [\epsilon] = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{o} + \mathbf{o} = \mathbf{o}.$$

$$\operatorname{Cov} (\mathbf{y}) = \mathbb{E} [\mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}] = \mathbb{E} [(\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon)(\mathbf{X}\beta + \epsilon)^{\mathsf{T}}] = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} + \sigma^{2} \mathbf{I}.$$

Special case:  $\Lambda^{-1} := \lambda \mathbf{I}$ : Cov  $(\mathbf{y}) = \lambda^{-1} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} + \lambda \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$ .

Then we can rewrite the joint distr. as  $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{o}, \mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})$ where  $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{x}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} \mathbf{x}_i$  is a so-called kernel function (could be replaced with others).

Joint D. 
$$P((y_{n+1}^{\mathbf{y}}) | x_{n+1}, \mathbf{X}, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}((y_{n+1}^{\mathbf{y}}) | \mathbf{o}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{p} & \mathbf{k} \\ \mathbf{k}^{\mathsf{T}} & c \end{pmatrix})$$
  
 $\mathbf{K} = k(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}), \ \mathbf{C}_{n} = \mathbf{K} + \sigma^{2}\mathbf{I}, \ c = k(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \sigma^{2},$   
 $\mathbf{k} = k(x_{n+1}, \mathbf{X})$ 

Pred D. 
$$P(y_{n+1} | x_{n+1}, \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{y}, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}\left(y_{n+1} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_{y_{n+1}}, \sigma_{y_{n+1}}^2\right)$$
  
 $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{y_{n+1}} = \mathbf{k}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{C}_n^{-1} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{k}^\mathsf{T} (\mathbf{K} + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \ \sigma_{y_{n+1}}^2 = c - \mathbf{k}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{C}_n^{-1} \mathbf{k}$ 

#### 6 Classification

 $0/1 \text{ Loss } w^* = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \neq sign(w^\top x_i)]$ 

Perceptron  $w^* = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\max(0, y_i w^\top x_i)]$ 

Exponential Loss  $L(y, z) = \exp{-(2y - 1)(2z - 1)}$ 

Logistic Loss  $L(y, z) = \ln(1 + \exp(-(2y - 1)(2z - 1)))$ 

Dep. on appl. use  $\mathbf{y}\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T}\mathbf{x}$  or -(2y-1)(2z-1) as error

### 6.1 – Generative VS Discriminative –

$$P(Y | X) = \frac{P(X | Y) P(Y)}{\sum_{u} P(X | Y) P(Y)}$$

**Generative:** Est. both P(Y) and P(X|Y) then use Bayes. **Discr.:** Est. P(Y|X) directly, fitting discr. f. q(Y,X).

6.2 - SVMs -

## 6.2.1 – Primal, constrained

 $\min_{w} w^{\top} w + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i$ , s.t.  $y_i w^{\top} x_i \ge 1 - \xi_i, \xi_i \ge 0$ 

6.2.2—Primal, unconstrained

 $\min_{w} w^{\top} w + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max(0, 1 - y_i w^{\top} x_i)$  (hinge loss)

6.2.3 — Dual -

 $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j x_i^{\top} x_j$ , s.t.  $0 \le \alpha_i \le C$ 

6.2.4 - Dual to Primal

Dual to primal:  $w^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^* y_i x_i, \alpha_i > 0$ : support vector.

6.3 - Kernelized SVMs

 $\max_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j k(x_i, x_j), \text{ s.t. } 0 \ge \alpha_i \ge C$ 

Classify:  $y = sign(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i k(x_i, x))$ 

- How to find  $a^T$ ? -

 $a = \{w_0, w\}$  used along  $\widetilde{x} = \{1, x\}$ 

Gradient Descent:  $a(k+1) = a(k) - \eta(k)\nabla J(a(k))$ 

Newton: 2nd ord. Taylor, where  $\eta_{opt} = H^{-1}$ ,  $H = \frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial a_i \partial a_j}$ 

J is the cost mat., popular: Perceptron cost:  $J_p(a) = \sum (-a^T \widetilde{x})$ 

### 6.4 - Logistic Regression -

$$P(Y = y \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = Ber(y; \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x})) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}}} = p_y$$

 $P(Y = +1 \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x}) = p_+$ 

Gr. st. w. Gau. Pr.:  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}(1-2\lambda\eta_t) + \eta_t y \mathbf{x} \hat{P}(Y = -y \mid \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x})$ 

6.4.1—Multi-Class Logistic Regression

$$P(Y = i \mid \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_c) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^c \exp(\mathbf{w}_i^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{x})} = p_i$$

#### 7 Multiclass Classification

One-VS-All  $y = \arg \max_{i \in \{1,...,c\}} f_i(\mathbf{x})$ 

**One-VS-One**  $\binom{c}{2}$  bin. clf. for each  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, c\}^2$ .

$$f_{(i,j)} \colon \mathcal{X} \to \{-1, +1\} \ \ y = \arg\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, c\}} \sum_{j=1 \neq i}^{c} \mathbb{1}_{\{f_{(i,j)}(\mathbf{x}) = c\}}$$

### 8 Jackknife

Method to compensate for syst. est. errors (bias reduction).

**Goal:** Numerically estimate the bias of an estimator  $\hat{S}_n$ .

 $\tilde{S}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n S_{n-1}^{-i}$  (LOO- Estimator)

Then the est. for the bias of  $\hat{S}_n$  is: bias  $J^K = (n-1)(\tilde{S}_n - \hat{S}_n)$ Then the unbiased estimate is  $\hat{S}^{JK} = \hat{S}_n - \text{bias}^{JK}$ .

### Probabilistic Methods

$$\underbrace{P\left(\text{model }\theta \mid \text{data }D\right)}_{\text{Posterior}} = \underbrace{\frac{P\left(\text{data} \mid \text{model}\right) \times P\left(\text{model}\right)}{P\left(\text{data}\right)}}_{\text{Evidence}} \underbrace{\frac{P\left(\text{model}\right)}{P\left(\text{model}\right)}}_{\text{Evidence}}$$

### 9.0.1 – Maximum (Cond.) LH Est., (MLE)

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \hat{P}(y_1, \dots, y_n | \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ 

 $= \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \hat{P}(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \dots \text{insert, derivate.}$ 9.0.2 – Maximum a Posteriori Estimate, (MAP)

 $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} P(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid D) = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} P(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ 

 $\stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} \arg \min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \log P(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log P(y_i | \mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \dots \text{insert, derivate}$ 

### 10 Ensemble Methods

Use combination of simple hyp. (weak lerners) that are sufficiently diverse to prod. a valid sol. with low bias and var.

**Bagging**: train weak l. on bootstr. sets with equal weights.

Boosting: train on all data, but reweigh misclassified samples higher and use error-sensitive reweighting of classifiers.

Left out: Decision trees (Stump:  $h(x) = sign(ax_i - t)$ ), Random forest: bagging of trees.

#### 10.0.1 – Ada Boost

 $f^*(x) = \arg\min_{f \in F} \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(-y_i f(x_i))$  (eff. mins. exp. 1.)

### Algorithm 1: AdaBoost Algorithm

Initialize the observation weights:  $\forall i : w_i^{(1)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{r}$ 

for  $b \leftarrow 1$  to B do | Fit a clf.  $c_b(x)$  to the weighted training data (acc. to

 $\epsilon_b \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(b)} \mathbb{1}_{\{c_b(x_i) \neq y_i\}}}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i^{(b)}}$  (error of the weak learner)

 $\alpha_b \leftarrow \log\left(\frac{1-\epsilon_b}{\epsilon_b}\right)$  (weigh classifier acc. to accuracy)

Update the datapoint weights for the next step: For all  $i \in \{1, \dots n\}$  do

 $w_i^{(b+1)} \leftarrow w_i^{(b)} \exp\left(\alpha_b \mathbb{1}_{\{u_i \neq c_b(x_i)\}}\right)$ 

**return**  $\hat{c}_B(x) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{b=1}^B \alpha_b c_b(x)\right)$ 

Additive log. reg., Bayesian approach (assumes poster.), Newtonlike updates (GD), if prev. clf. bad, next has high weight.

#### 11 Generative Methods

# 11.1 – Naive Bayes

Features indep.  $P(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z}P(y)P(x|y), Z = \sum_{y} P(y)P(x|y)$ 

 $y = \arg \max_{y'} P(y'|x) = \arg \max_{y'} \hat{P}(y') \prod_{i=1}^{d} \hat{P}(x_i|y')$ 

Discr. Func.:  $f(x) = \log(\frac{P(y=1|x)}{P(y=1|x)}), y = sign(f(x))$ 

#### 11.2 - Fischer's LDA -

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\|\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\|}{\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2)\mathbf{w}} \hat{\mathbf{w}} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \text{ (unscaled)}$$

However, all samples influence boundary (better: points at border, SVM)

### 12 Unsupervised Learning

## 12.1 - Gaussian Mixture Modeling -

$$(\mu^*, \Sigma^*, \pi^*) = \arg\min - \sum_i \log \sum_{j=1}^k \pi_j \mathcal{N}(x_i | \mu_i, \Sigma_j)$$

# 12.2 – EM Algorithm —

Problem: sum within log-term of likelihood.

**E-step**: expectation: pick clusters for points.

Calculate  $\gamma_i^{(t)}(x_i) = \frac{P(c|\theta^j)(x_i|c,\theta^j)}{\sum P(x_i|\theta)}$  for each i and j

M-Step: maximum LH: adjust clusters to best fit points.  $\text{prior}_{i}^{(t)} = \pi_{i}^{(t)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{(t)}(x_{i})$ 

$$\mu_j^{(t)} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma^{(t)}(x_i)}, \, \Sigma_j^{(t)} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)(x_i - \mu_j^{(t)})(x_i - \mu_j^{(t)})^\top}{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_j^{(t)}(x_i)}$$