

Moin god: prof of Bloch conj for siefaus
NOT of Jewind
type

(1) Recapi on classification of surfaces

(2) The proof

Recap 1:19 geometric Bloch X surproj surface w/ h(\Q2)=0 => CHo(X) is finite dimensional rapresentable all : CHO(X) -> All is an iso (injectin) 3CEX such that CHO(C) ->>> CHO(X)

Recep ou dassification of surfaces/C

Classification up to birational equivalences It's based on the Kodaira dimension.

is a binational invunion t (actually, Ho(X, DZ) is big inv) Chuomical Sketch of proof

The proof is based on Hartys throrum. I

$$X - - - > Pwi(\Phi H^{o}(X, K_{X}^{om})) = X_{can}$$

$$bod(X) = dim(X_{cun})$$
 (de $m(X) = -\infty$)

$$(ain(\not z) = -\infty)$$

There is another definition:

Lod (X) setisfies him
$$\frac{h^o(X,K_X^{\otimes m})}{M^{Fod(X)}}$$
 <+000

In particular
$$knd(x) \in \{-\infty, 0, 2, \dots, dim(x)\}$$

For X surfau, Kod(X) \ \{-00,0,1,2}

 $H_o(X'U_S^X) = H_o(X'K^X)$ is a binational invariants is a binotional invariant! Sut also CHO(X) Sketch : X () all of there

(Sketch : X ()) one blow-ups

of proof: X ()) and blow-chang it's enough
to verify the

it's enough to prove that $CH_0(X)$ Bloch com?

is voit affected by blow-ups and bir. eq

blow downs D

kod (X) = -00

Tam (Beauville)

18 X has K.ohim = -00 => X (...) X' birationally ruled Let us compare $H^0(X;K_X)$ Adjunction formula: $N_{pr}=0$ $K_X^{on}=K_{pr}+\text{ olet}(N_{pr})=(0,-2)=H^0(X;K_X)=0$ We expect by Black conjecture that $CH_0(X')$ is finited.

kod (X) = -00

Tam (Beauville)

18 X has K.ohim = -00 => X (...) X' birationally ruled Let us compare $H^0(X;K_X)$ Adjunction formula: $N_{pr}=0$ $K_X^{on}=K_{pr}+\text{ olet}(N_{pr})=(0,-2)=H^0(X;K_X)=0$ We expect by Black conjecture that $CH_0(X')$ is finited.

Proof of the Duch Con for kid(x1=-co

XEX binationally mind = XE IP^2CX

Consider DSX

PEC

Very ample divisor = DNP^2 = [3.7, moreover

X ~at y because we one on P?

CHO(D) ->> CHO(X)
and ne've seen that this is equivalent to
finite dimensionality of CHO(X). []

If X supraj surf has $p_g=0 \Rightarrow q(X) = h^n(X, U_g) \leq 1$ Lemma Proof
Claim: $c_2(K_X)^2 = 0$ Civen the doin, we can apply Noether's formula: $1-9+\frac{1}{4}=\chi(X)=\frac{c_1(X)^2+c_2(T_X)}{12}=\frac{1}{12}(\chi_{top}(X))$ 12(\(\(\Si^{1/1}\)bi) = \(\si_{12}(1-29+\)^{1/2}-29+1)\)
The base bi = \(\Gamma\) the ptg = i

$$1 - 9 = \frac{1}{12} \left(2 - 49 + h^{1/1} \right)$$

$$0 = -12 + 129 + 2 - 49 + h^{1/1} = -10 + 89 + h^{1/1}$$

$$9 = \frac{1}{8} \left(10 - h^{1/1} \right) < 2 \quad \square$$

ne don't cave Theorem (i) X abelien surface (Py +0, 9=2) bod(X)=0 => (ii) X K3 surface C Pg = 0 re should take con of lourly fluge (iii) X Enniques (quotient of a K3 by) an involution which) has a fixed ply (iv) X = Ext/G where: E ellipti curve, F/G national curve G = Ant(E) x Ant(F) and acts on E by translations

Proof of the Bloch coij

Suppose
$$g(X)=1 \Rightarrow (a) \operatorname{lcod}(X)=0$$
, $X = E \times F/G$
(b1) $\operatorname{Kod}(X)=1$, $X \to Alb = E$ is trivial
 $X \to E$ elliptic
 $X \to E$ elliptic

Clean all these cases can be reduced to Case (a) $E \times C \rightarrow X$ How to reduce (b1) to (a)?

Letter Exc/G

It is true that C/G=1P? It's enough to show that Ho(c/6, DC/0) = Ho(C, DC) Observe that Gacts on E by trustations, hunce Ho(E'U5/p=Ho(E'V5) 0 = H°(X, Kx) = H°(Exc, Ω &Ω)6 IF H⁰(C,Ω, 16 ± 0 ⇒) H⁰(ExC,Ω₂ ≥ 2) ± 0

Hence H⁰(C,Ω, 16 = 0 ⇒) G/G ≅ P¹ = +0, shows

(b2) ⇒ (a) How can be reduce (62) to (a)? Suppose to have a section E =>X > X→T $x \mapsto aib_x([x]-[6])$ 11 you don't have a section, consider JCEX such that 5 (1) Ex: strow that if you can prove Bloch unjecture for X which implies BC for X.

We're in the setup (a):
$$X = E \times F/G$$
 in $G \in Aut(E) \times Aut(F)$

Claim 1 $CH_0(F)_0^G \cong \mathbb{Q}$ [G)

Proof: $CH_0(F)_0^G \cong CH_0(F/F)_0^G \cong CH_0(P^1)_0$

Chaim 2 $CH_0(E)_0^F \cong CH_0(E)_0$

Proof: $G \xrightarrow{f_1} CH_0(E)/CH_0(E)_{hom}$

because $G \xrightarrow{f_1} CH_0(E)_{hom}$
 $G \xrightarrow{f_1} CH_0(E)_{hom}$

Lecause $G \xrightarrow{f_1} CH_0(E)_{hom}$
 $G \xrightarrow{f_1} CH_0(E)_{hom}$

 $\exists \text{ Let } 2 \in (H_{\bullet}(E), g^* 2 = 2 + 2g) \Rightarrow g^* g^* = 2 + 22g$ $\exists \text{ not } (g^*)^2 = 2 + n2g \Rightarrow n2g = 0$ $z = id^*z$ or, in other terms, the action of 6 on CHO(E) as trivial $\frac{1}{g \in G} \left(g_{1}^{*} e, g_{2}^{*} c \right) = \left[e, g_{2}^{*} c \right] = \left[e, g_{2}^{*} c \right]$

In other terms, we have shown that this is suzjective

$$CH_0(E \times \{c_0\}) = CH_0(E \times \{c_0\})^G \longrightarrow CH_0(E \times F)^G$$

$$CH_0(X)_Q$$

$$CH_0(X)_Q$$

This implies that

By Roitmann's thru, me know that $CH_0(X)$ tous Alb_X^+ Alb_X^+ $Alb_X^ Alb_X$ Alb_X Alb_X

we've reduced ourselves to Study jacobian consider $9(X), P_g(X) = 0$ => $P_g(5) = 0$ ×→J x -> ab ([x] - [G(p)]) $CH_0(J) \cong CH_0(X)$ (9=0=) Alb istinuil =) no tonsion (Ho)