Fundamentals of Statistical Modeling (VT21)

Andrea Discacciati Karolinska Institutet Stockholm, Sweden

Lab 2

Load the dataset and the mlci command

{{1}}}

Install the qplot command (you need to be connected to the Internet)

{{2}}

Install the rcsgen command (you need to be connected to the Internet)

{{3}}

Exercise 1

This dataset contains information on the blood concentration of a biomarker (y) in a random sample of 1432 subjects. Take a look at the histogram. What can we say about the distribution of this biomarker?

Plot also the histogram of log(y). How does the distribution of the biomarker after logarithmic transform look like?

{{4}}}

{{5}}

Exercise 2

We assume that f(y) is gamma (see Lab 1). Estimate the parameters α and β using the gammaden() function. Fix the location parameter g (the third argument of the gammaden() function) to be equal to 0. Constrain α and β to be positive.

Note: the parameters α and β are not interpretable. We can reparametrise the gamma distribution so that one parameter is equal to its mean. This is described in the Extra material for Lab 2.

{{6}}

Plot the estimated density $\hat{f}(y)$ over the sample histogram

{{7}}

Exercise 3

We assume that f(y) is log-normal distributed. That is, we assume that the biomarker is standard normal distributed after we apply the transform

$$G(y) = (\log(y) - \mu)/\sigma$$

The derivative of G(y) with respect to y is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = 1/(y\sigma).$$

Estimate the parameters μ and σ . Constrain σ to be positive.

{{8}}

Compare the likelihood with that from the gamma model

Plot the estimated density $\hat{f}(y)$ over the sample histogram

{{9}}

Exercise 4

We make the transform G(y) more flexible using polynomials. Consider the transform

$$G(y) = (\log(y) + \eta \log(y)^2 - \mu)/\sigma$$

The derivative of G(y) with respect to y is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = (1 + 2\eta \log(y)) / (\sigma y)$$

Estimate the parameters μ, σ, η . Constrain σ to be positive.

{{10}}}

Plot the estimated density $\hat{f}(y)$ over the sample histogram

{{11}}

Exercise 5

Instead of a quadratic term, we add two restricted cubic splines transforms of $\log(y)$: $V_2(\log(y))$ and $V_3(\log(y))$. We consider the transform

$$G(y) = (\log(y) + \eta_1 V_2(\log(y)) + \eta_2 V_3(\log(y)) - \mu)/\sigma$$

The derivative of G(y) with respect to y is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = (1 + \eta_1 v_2(\log(y)) + \eta_2 v_3(\log(y))) / (\sigma y)$$

Estimate the parameters μ , σ , η_1 , η_2 . Constrain σ to be positive. Jointly test the 2 parameters η_1 , η_2 to assess whether adding the 2 RCS transforms improves the fit of this model with respect to the "basic" log-normal model (see Exercise 3).

{{12}}

Plot the estimated density $\hat{f}(y)$ over the sample histogram

{{13}}

Exercise 6

Let's assess the goodness-of-fit of the log-normal model with RCS transforms (see Exercise 5) and of the log-normal model (see Exercise 3) using a quantile plot.

{{14}}

Extra: Exercise 7 (more on transforms of random variables)

We now assume that f(y) is gamma-distributed after square root transform.

$$G(y) = \sqrt{y}$$

The derivative is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = 0.5/\sqrt{y}$$

Estimate the parameters α and β using the gammaden() function. Fix the location parameter g to be equal to 0. Constrain α and β to be positive. Compare the likelihood with that form the log-normal and gamma models

{{15}}

Plot the estimated density $\hat{f}(y)$ over the sample histogram. Visually compare the estimated density from the lognormal + splines model with the density from the gamma model after square root transform. What do you conclude?

{{16}}}

Extra: Exercise 8 (more on goodness of fit)

Let's go back to the normal distributed variable (Exercise 1, Lab 1).

{{17}}

Assume that $f(y_n)$ is normal and estimate the parameters μ and σ . Generate the transform $u = \hat{F}(y_n)$. Draw the estimated quantile plot using the qplot command.

{{18}}

Assume now that $f(y_n)$ is exponential and estimate the parameter λ . Generate the transform $u = \hat{F}(y_n)$. Draw the estimated quantile plot using the qplot command.

{{19}}

What can you conclude about the goodness of fit of the normal and exponential model?

Extra: Exercise 9 (binary variables)

Assume that y_{ber} follows a Bernoulli distribution. We want to estimate the probability of "success" ($y_{ber} = 1$). Estimate the probability η while constraining it to be bounded between 0 and 1. First, write down the likelihood by hand. Then, use the binomialp() function.

Are the results you obtain identical to those obtained from logistic regression?

{{20}}