

# Fundamentals of Statistical Modeling (VT20)

Andrea Discacciati  
Karolinska Institutet  
Stockholm, Sweden

## Lab 2

Load the dataset and the `mlci` command

```
. version 14
. use https://raw.githubusercontent.com/anddis/fsm/master/data/lab2.dta, clear
. run https://raw.githubusercontent.com/anddis/fsm/master/do/mlci.do
```

Install the `rcsgen` command (you need to be connected to the Internet)


```
. cap net install http://fmwww.bc.edu/RePEc/bocode/r/rcsgen.pkg
```

### Exercise 1


This dataset contains information on the blood concentration of a biomarker ( $y$ ) in a random sample of 1432 subjects. Take a look at the histogram. What can we say about the distribution of this biomarker?

Plot also the histogram of  $\log(y)$ . How does the distribution of the biomarker after logarithmic transform look like?

```
. hist y, bin(50) name(p1, replace)
(bin=50, start=2.2592716, width=21.079531)
. graph export p1.png, replace
(file p1.png written in PNG format)
```



```
. gen log_y = log(y)
. hist log_y, bin(50) name(p2, replace)
(bin=50, start=.8150425, width=.12294848)
. graph export p2.png, replace
(file p2.png written in PNG format)
```



## Exercise 2

We assume that  $f(y)$  is gamma (see Lab 1). Estimate the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  using the `gammaden()` function. Fix the location parameter  $g$  (the third argument of the `gammaden()` function) to be equal to 0. Constrain  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be positive.

Note: the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not interpretable. We can reparametrise the gamma distribution so that one parameter is equal to its mean. This is described in the Extra material for Lab 2.


```
. local f = "gammaden(exp({theta1}), exp({theta2}), 0, y)"
. mlexp (log(`f`))
initial:      log likelihood =      -<inf>  (could not be evaluated)
feasible:      log likelihood = -96414.257
rescale:      log likelihood = -13891.173
rescale eq:    log likelihood = -13891.173
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -13891.173
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -8165.6417
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -8160.8897
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -8160.8781
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -8160.8781
Maximum likelihood estimation
Log likelihood = -8160.8781          Number of obs      =      1,432
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
/theta1	.3906123	.033984	11.49	0.000	.3240049	.4572196
/theta2	4.349872	.0403414	107.83	0.000	4.270804	4.42894

```
. mlci exp /theta1
1.477885   95% CI: 1.382654, 1.579676
. mlci exp /theta2
77.46854   95% CI: 71.57918, 83.84246
```

Plot the estimated density  $\hat{f}(y)$  over the sample histogram

```
. gen fhat_y = gammaden(exp(_b[/theta1]), exp(_b[/theta2]), 0, y)
. tw (hist y, bin(50)) (line fhat_y y, sort), name(p3, replace) legend(rows(1))
. graph export p3.png, replace
(file p3.png written in PNG format)
```



### Exercise 3

We assume that  $f(y)$  is log-normal distributed. That is, we assume that the biomarker is standard normal distributed after we apply the transform

$$G(y) = (\log(y) - \mu)/\sigma$$

The derivative of  $G(y)$  with respect to  $y$  is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = 1/(y\sigma).$$

Estimate the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ . Constrain  $\sigma$  to be positive.

```
. local sigma = "exp({theta})"
. local G = "(log(y) - {mu}) / `sigma'"
. local g = "(1 / y / `sigma'"
. local f = "normalden(`G`)*`g'"
. mlexp (log(`f`))
initial:      log likelihood = -21814.225
alternative:  log likelihood = -12440.421
rescale:      log likelihood = -10178.274
rescale eq:   log likelihood = -8264.4966
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -8264.4966
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -8198.7786
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -8159.1222
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -8158.8813
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -8158.8812
Maximum likelihood estimation
Log likelihood = -8158.8812      Number of obs      =      1,432
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
/mu	4.365484	.0242269	180.19	0.000	4.318	4.412968
/theta	-.0868798	.0186859	-4.65	0.000	-.1235034	-.0502561

```
. mlci exp /theta
.9167873 95% CI: .8838186, .9509858
```


Compare the likelihood with that from the gamma model

Plot the estimated density  $\hat{f}(y)$  over the sample histogram

```

. gen fhat_y2 = normalden((log(y) - _b[/mu]) / exp(_b[/theta]))*(1 / y / exp(_b[/theta]))
. tw (hist y, bin(50)) (line fhat_y fhat_y2 y, sort), name(p4, replace) legend(rows(1))
. graph export p4.png, replace
(file p4.png written in PNG format)

```



## Exercise 4

We make the transform  $G(y)$  more flexible using polynomials. Consider the transform

$$G(y) = (\log(y) + \eta \log(y)^2 - \mu) / \sigma$$

The derivative of  $G(y)$  with respect to  $y$  is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = (1 + 2\eta \log(y)) / (\sigma y)$$

Estimate the parameters  $\mu, \sigma, \eta$ . Constrain  $\sigma$  to be positive.

```

. local sigma = "exp({theta})"
. local G = "(log(y)+{eta}*log(y)^2 - {mu}) / `sigma'"
. local g = "(1 + {eta}*2*log(y)) / (`sigma'*y)"
. local f = "normalden(`G`)*`g'"
. mlexp (log(`f`))

initial:      log likelihood = -21814.225
alternative:  log likelihood = -62186.361
rescale:      log likelihood = -21814.225
rescale eq:   log likelihood = -21814.225
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -21814.225 (not concave)
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -10891.752 (not concave)
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -8663.3365
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -8355.3282
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -8210.2164
Iteration 5:   log likelihood = -8167.6715
Iteration 6:   log likelihood = -8151.9226
Iteration 7:   log likelihood = -8142.8803
Iteration 8:   log likelihood = -8139.5952
Iteration 9:   log likelihood = -8138.8787
Iteration 10:  log likelihood = -8138.5163
Iteration 11:  log likelihood = -8138.5033
Iteration 12:  log likelihood = -8138.5022
Iteration 13:  log likelihood = -8138.5022

Maximum likelihood estimation
Log likelihood = -8138.5022          Number of obs   =      1,432


```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
/eta	.1804595	.0689773	2.62	0.009	.0452665 .3156524
/mu	7.956257	1.37386	5.79	0.000	5.263542 10.64897
/theta	.8361374	.2318207	3.61	0.000	.3817772 1.290498

```
. mlci exp /theta
2.307437 95% CI: 1.464886, 3.634595
```

Plot the estimated density  $\hat{f}(y)$  over the sample histogram

```
. gen fhat_y3 = normalden((log(y)+_b[/eta]*log(y)^2 - _b[/mu])/exp(_b[/theta])) * ///
> (1+_b[/eta]*2*log(y)) / (exp(_b[/theta]) * y)
. tw (hist y, bin(50)) (line fhat_y fhat_y2 fhat_y3 y, sort), name(p5, replace) legend(rows(1))
. graph export p5.png, replace
(file p5.png written in PNG format)
```



## Exercise 5

Instead of a quadratic term, we add two restricted cubic splines transforms of  $\log(y)$ :  $V_2(\log(y))$  and  $V_3(\log(y))$ . We consider the transform

$$G(y) = (\log(y) + \eta_1 V_2(\log(y)) + \eta_2 V_3(\log(y)) - \mu) / \sigma$$

The derivative of  $G(y)$  with respect to  $y$  is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = (1 + \eta_1 v_2(\log(y)) + \eta_2 v_3(\log(y))) / (\sigma y)$$

Estimate the parameters  $\mu, \sigma, \eta_1, \eta_2$ . Constrain  $\sigma$  to be positive. Jointly test the 2 parameters  $\eta_1, \eta_2$  to assess whether adding the 2 RCS transforms improves the fit of this model with respect to the “basic” log-normal model (see Exercise 3).

```
. rcsgen log_y, gen(V) dgen(v) df(3)
Variables V1 to V3 and v1 to v3 were created
. local sigma = "exp({theta})"
. local G = "(log(y)+{eta1}*V2+{eta2}*V3-{mu})/`sigma'"
. local g = "(1+{eta1}*v2+{eta2}*v3)/(`sigma'*y)"
. local f = "normalden(`G`)*`g'"
. mlexp (log(`f`))
```

```

initial:      log likelihood = -21814.225
final:        log likelihood = -21814.225
rescale:      log likelihood = -21814.225
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -21814.225 (not concave)
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -15749.959 (not concave)
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -11575.393 (not concave)
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -8930.8791 (not concave)
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -8353.802
Iteration 5:  log likelihood = -8226.7656
Iteration 6:  log likelihood = -8161.3477
Iteration 7:  log likelihood = -8141.3375
Iteration 8:  log likelihood = -8137.886
Iteration 9:  log likelihood = -8137.333
Iteration 10: log likelihood = -8137.3305
Iteration 11: log likelihood = -8137.3305

```

Maximum likelihood estimation

Log likelihood = -8137.3305                      Number of obs       =       1,432

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
/eta1	-.0080713	.0421601	-0.19	0.848	-.0907036	.074561
/eta2	-.0259272	.0451895	-0.57	0.566	-.114497	.0626426
/mu	5.045942	.2441727	20.67	0.000	4.567372	5.524512
/theta	.2911407	.1009571	2.88	0.004	.0932684	.489013

```

. mlci exp /theta
1.337953    95% CI: 1.097756, 1.630706

.
. test [eta1]_cons [eta2]_cons
( 1) [eta1]_cons = 0
( 2) [eta2]_cons = 0
      chi2( 2) =    20.73
      Prob > chi2 =    0.0000


```

Plot the estimated density  $\hat{f}(y)$  over the sample histogram

```

. gen fhat_y4 = normalden((log(y)+_b[/eta1]*V2+_b[/eta2]*V3 - _b[/mu])/exp(_b[/theta])) * ///
> (1+_b[/eta1]*v2+_b[/eta2]*v3) / (exp(_b[/theta]) * y)
. tw (hist y, bin(50)) (line fhat_y fhat_y2 fhat_y3 fhat_y4 y, sort), name(p6, replace) legend(rows(1))
. graph export p6.png, replace
(file p6.png written in PNG format)

```



## Exercise 6

Let's assess the goodness-of-fit of the log-normal model with RCS transforms (see Exercise 5) and of the log-normal model (see Exercise 3) using a quantile plot.


```
. gen u_normal5 = normal((log(y)+_b[/eta1]*V2+_b[/eta2]*V3 - _b[/mu])/exp(_b[/theta]))
.
. // Re-fit log-normal model (Exercise 3)
. local sigma = "exp({theta})"
. local G = "(log(y) - {mu}) / `sigma'"
. local g = "(1 / y / `sigma'"
. local f = "normalden(`G`)*`g'"
. mlexp (log(`f`))
initial:      log likelihood = -21814.225
alternative:  log likelihood = -12440.421
rescale:      log likelihood = -10178.274
rescale eq:   log likelihood = -8264.4966
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -8264.4966
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -8198.7786
Iteration 2:   log likelihood = -8159.1222
Iteration 3:   log likelihood = -8158.8813
Iteration 4:   log likelihood = -8158.8812
```

Maximum likelihood estimation

Log likelihood = -8158.8812                      Number of obs       =       1,432

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
/mu	4.365484	.0242269	180.19	0.000	4.318	4.412968
/theta	-.0868798	.0186859	-4.65	0.000	-.1235034	-.0502561

```
. gen u_normal3 = normal((log(y) - _b[/mu])/exp(_b[/theta]))
.
. qplot u_normal3 u_normal5, addplot(function y = x, lw(medthin)) name(p7, replace) ///
> msym(0h 0h) msize(tiny tiny)
. graph export p7.png, replace
(file p7.png written in PNG format)
```



## Extra: Exercise 7

We now assume that  $f(y)$  is gamma-distributed after square root transform.

$$G(y) = \sqrt{y}$$

The derivative is

$$G'(y) = g(y) = 0.5/\sqrt{y}$$

Estimate the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  using the `gammaden()` function. Fix the location parameter  $g$  to be equal to 0. Constrain  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be positive. Compare the likelihood with that from the log-normal and gamma models

```
. local G = "sqrt(y)"
. local g = "(0.5 / sqrt(y))"
. local f = "gammaden(exp({theta1}),exp({theta2}),0,`G`)*`g`"
. mlexp (log(`f`))
initial:      log likelihood = -18140.526
alternative:  log likelihood = -11624.987
rescale:      log likelihood = -8442.1703
rescale eq:   log likelihood = -8442.1703
Iteration 0:  log likelihood = -8442.1703
Iteration 1:  log likelihood = -8185.3624
Iteration 2:  log likelihood = -8138.5993
Iteration 3:  log likelihood = -8138.2943
Iteration 4:  log likelihood = -8138.2942
Maximum likelihood estimation
Log likelihood = -8138.2942                Number of obs   =      1,432
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
/theta1	1.652508	.0362406	45.60	0.000	1.581478 1.723538
/theta2	.6290655	.0380415	16.54	0.000	.5545055 .7036256

```
. mlci exp /theta1
5.220056 95% CI: 4.862136, 5.604323
. mlci exp /theta2
1.875857 95% CI: 1.74108, 2.021067
```

Plot the estimated density  $\hat{f}(y)$  over the sample histogram. Visually compare the estimated density from the lognormal + splines model with the density from the gamma model after square root transform. What do you conclude?

```
. gen fhat_y5 = gammaden(exp(_b[/theta1]), exp(_b[/theta2]), 0, sqrt(y))*(.5 / sqrt(y))
. tw (hist y, bin(50)) (line fhat_y fhat_y2 fhat_y3 fhat_y4 fhat_y5 y, sort), name(p8, replace) legend(rows(1))
. graph export p8.png, replace
(file p8.png written in PNG format)
```

