

Bayesian Estimation of the Spectral Density

Andee Kaplan & Maggie Johnson

December 12, 2013

1 Motivation

To estimate the spectral density of a stationary time series using Bayesian methods using the asymptotic distributional properties of a periodogram. The motivation behind this work is the following model.

$$\begin{aligned}I_n(\omega_r)|f(\omega_r) &\overset{\sim}{\sim} \text{indep Exp}(2\pi f(\omega_r)) \\f(\omega_r) &\sim \pi(\theta) \\f(\omega_r)|I_n(\omega_r) &\propto 2\pi f(\omega_r)e^{-2\pi f(\omega_r)y}\pi(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

The periodogram values at ω_r are only asymptotically distributed as independent exponentials, so to construct the Bayesian model it is essential that the asymptotic behavior holds. This research uses simulations to **validate** two results by Lahiri. [2] **(add if do Bayes, unlikely)**

2 Background and Problem Statement

Two results drive the first part of our work.

Result 1. *Let $f(\omega_r) \neq 0, 1 \leq r \leq k$ where f denotes the spectral density of a stationary time series $\{X_t\}$. Then when $n \rightarrow \infty$ the joint distribution of the periodogram at ω_r , $I_n(\omega_r)$, tends to that of k mutually independent random variables distributed as $\text{Exponential}(2\pi f(\omega_r))$ for $0 < \omega_r < \pi$ [1].*

Result 1 describes the asymptotic distribution of a periodogram at fixed frequencies. In practice, this result has often been used with the Fourier frequencies in place of fixed frequencies **reference this** $\{2\pi j/n : j = 1, \dots, n\}$. However, it has been shown that the asymptotic behavior of the periodogram at the Fourier frequencies does not hold as $n \rightarrow \infty$ **((dig into reference to flesh this out))**. Lahiri [2] derived two results that describe behavior required for Result 1 to hold for frequencies dependent upon n .

Result 2. *In the absense of data tapering,*

- (a) *the periodogram values at asymptotically distant ordinates ($I_n(\omega_r)$) are asymptotically independent. Asymptotically distant ordinates $\{\omega_{ln}\}, \{\omega_{kn}\}$ satisfy $|n(\omega_{ln} - \omega_{kn})| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (b) *the periodogram values at asymptotically close ordinates which are asymptotically distant from the sequence $\{0\}$ are asymptotically independent. Asymptotically close ordinates $\{\omega_{ln}\}, \{\omega_{kn}\}$ satisfy $|n(\omega_{ln} - \omega_{kn})| \rightarrow 2\pi l$ for some nonzero integer l as $n \rightarrow \infty$ [2].*

Result 2 suggests that for a subset of sufficiently spaced and distant from 0 Fourier frequencies, the periodogram ordinates at these frequencies should be asymptotically independent and exponentially distributed. **We explore these two results to determine if, for sufficiently large n ,**

1. Result 1 will not hold at the Fourier frequencies, and
2. if (1) is true, a subset of Fourier frequencies that are sufficiently spaced and far from 0 can be constructed such that Result 1 holds.

3 Procedures

We implement the following testing procedure and repeat s simulations of the tests to determine if we see similar rates of failures as the Type-I errors of our tests (α).

1. Simulate M draws from X_1, \dots, X_n where $\{X_t\}$ is a stationary time series from one of three models.
2. Obtain M periodograms using the fourier frequencies from $(0, \pi)$, $\omega_j = \frac{2\pi j}{n} : j = 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.
3. Obtain $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ values of the spectral density at each fourier frequency $f(\omega_j)$. These will be known by design.
4. Simulate M draws from the $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ different distributions $\text{Exp}(2\pi f(\omega_r))$
5. Test the distribution of the M values of periodograms at each fourier frequency separately. Store the number of failed tests at each frequency.
6. Test the pairwise independence of each neighboring M values of periodograms at each fourier frequency. Store the number of failed tests at each frequency.
7. Inspect the results from steps 5 and 6 to determine if different spacings of frequencies are needed. If so, use sparcer frequencies and repeat from step 2 at the chosen frequencies rather than fourier frequencies.

3.1 Models

We used three separate models to explore the asymptotic behavior of the periodogram. The included models are *a)* IID Gaussian(0, 1); *b)* AR(1) with $\phi = 0.5$; and *c)* AR(4) with $\phi = [0.15, 0.05, 0.1, 0.5]$.

IID Gaussian

The following result about the IID Gaussian model gives us knowledge of the exact, rather than asymptotic, behavior of the periodogram at any frequency.

Result 3. For $\{X_t\} \stackrel{IID}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$, the periodogram values $\{I_n(\omega_j) : \omega_j \in \mathcal{F}_n, \omega_j \notin \{0, \pi\}\}$ are IID Exponential(σ^2) random variables [1].

We use this model as a sanity check on our testing procedure. Should our procedure show failure with the IID Gaussian model, then this is an indication of an issue with the procedure, rather than the frequency spacings.

ARMA(p,q)

We used exclusively ARMA models as our experimental models because the spectral density of an ARMA model has a known and closed form. An ARMA model is a process $\{X_t\}$ with the form $\phi(B)X_t = \theta(B)Z_t$ where B is the backshift operator and $\{Z_t\}$ is WN(0, σ^2). Models of this form have spectral density

$$f_X(\omega) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\pi} \frac{|\theta(e^{-i\omega})|^2}{|\phi(e^{-i\omega})|^2}, \omega \in [-\pi, \pi][1].$$

Without the exact knowledge of the spectral density function, we would not be able to test the distributions of the periodogram at each frequencies.

3.2 Tests

4 Results

5 Discussion

References

- [1] Peter J Brockwell and Richard A Davis. *Introduction to time series and forecasting*. Taylor & Francis US, 2002.

- [2] SN Lahiri. “A necessary and sufficient condition for asymptotic independence of discrete Fourier transforms under short-and long-range dependence”. In: *The Annals of Statistics* 31.2 (2003), pp. 613–641.