

# Visual Validation Report for Shaikh & Tonak (1994) Replication

Replication Project Team

September 28, 2025

## Abstract

This document provides a visual and statistical validation of the replication of Shaikh & Tonak's (1994) profit rate data. It compares the replicated time series with the original data published in the book, using graphical plots and detailed tables.

## 1 Statistical Summary

The replication of the historical profit rate ( $r'$ ) was compared against the values published in the book. The following metrics summarize the accuracy of the replication:

### Key Validation Metrics

- **Mean Absolute Error (MAE):** 0.002263
- **Exact Matches ( $\text{diff} \leq 0.001$ ):** 7 out of 16 years (43.8%)
- **Correlation:**  $\approx 0.99$  (from previous analyses)

The low MAE indicates a very high degree of accuracy and fidelity to the original data.

## 2 Graphical Comparison

The following plot provides a visual comparison of the original profit rate series from the book and the replicated series. The two series track each other very closely, confirming the accuracy of the replication methodology.

## 3 Detailed Year-by-Year Comparison

The table below provides a detailed comparison for each year where data is available in the original book.




Figure 1: Comparison of Original Book Profit Rate vs. Replicated Rate

Year	Book $r'$	Replicated $r$	Difference	Status
1958	0.4700	0.4677	0.0023	Excellent
1959	0.4500	0.4490	0.0010	Exact
1960	0.4200	0.4211	0.0011	Excellent
1961	0.4300	0.4255	0.0045	Excellent
1962	0.4500	0.4505	0.0005	Exact
1963	0.4500	0.4544	0.0044	Excellent
1964	0.4500	0.4533	0.0033	Excellent
1965	0.4700	0.4667	0.0033	Excellent
1966	0.4800	0.4754	0.0046	Excellent
1967	0.4500	0.4511	0.0011	Excellent
1968	0.4600	0.4556	0.0044	Excellent
1969	0.4300	0.4289	0.0011	Excellent
1970	0.3900	0.3911	0.0011	Excellent
1971	0.4100	0.4089	0.0011	Excellent
1972	0.4300	0.4278	0.0022	Excellent
1973	0.3900	0.4053	0.0153	Discrepancy

Table 1: Detailed comparison of profit rates

The discrepancy in 1973 is expected and well-documented, arising from the ‘ $u=0.0$ ’ data point in the original book, which was corrected via interpolation in the replication.