

# CLOSE ENOUGH FOR GAS

by

Anders Hafreager

**THESIS**

*for the degree of*

**MASTER OF SCIENCE**

*(MASTER I FYSIKK)*



*Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences*  
*University of Oslo*

*October 2013*

*Det matematisk- naturvitenskapelige fakultet*  
*Universitetet i Oslo*



# Abstract

This is an abstract text.



To someone

This is a dedication to my cat.



# Acknowledgements

I acknowledge my acknowledgements.





# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>19</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	19
1.1.1	Motivation . . . . .	19
1.1.2	The structure of this thesis . . . . .	19
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1	History . . . . .	22
2.1.1	Gas dynamics . . . . .	22
2.1.2	The breakdown of continuum . . . . .	22
2.1.3	Porous media . . . . .	22
2.1.4	Nanoporous media . . . . .	22
2.2	Applications . . . . .	22
2.2.1	Shale gas extraction . . . . .	22
2.2.2	Electronic devices . . . . .	22
2.3	Multi scale physics . . . . .	22
2.3.1	Our world . . . . .	22

2.3.2	Simulation of the universe . . . . .	23
2.3.3	An ideal world . . . . .	23
2.3.4	The weakest link . . . . .	23
<b>II</b>	<b>Molecular Dynamics</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1	The model . . . . .	27
3.1.1	Force calculation - potentials . . . . .	28
3.1.2	Time integration . . . . .	29
3.1.3	Time integration . . . . .	29
3.2	Physical properties . . . . .	29
3.2.1	Kinetic and potential energy . . . . .	29
3.3	c++-code . . . . .	30
3.4	F77-code . . . . .	30
<b>III</b>	<b>Direct Simulation Monte Carlo</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Kinetic theory</b>	<b>33</b>
4.1	Origin . . . . .	33
4.2	The Chapman-Enskog method . . . . .	33
4.3	Useful things . . . . .	33
4.3.1	Equipartition theorem . . . . .	33
4.3.2	Maxwell speed distribution . . . . .	33

<i>CONTENTS</i>	11
-----------------	----

<b>5 Direct Simulation Monte Carlo</b>	<b>35</b>
--	-----------

5.0.3 The model . . . . .	35
5.1 Surface interactions . . . . .	35
5.1.1 Specular wall . . . . .	35
5.1.2 Thermal wall . . . . .	36
5.1.3 Maxwell scattering . . . . .	37
5.1.4 The Cercignani-Lampis model . . . . .	37
5.1.5 The random surface model . . . . .	38
5.2 Boundary Collisions . . . . .	38
5.2.1 Specular reflection . . . . .	38
5.2.2 Thermal reflection . . . . .	38
5.2.3 Geometric represetations . . . . .	38
5.2.4 Binary walls . . . . .	38
5.3 Parallelization . . . . .	38
5.4 Code . . . . .	38

<b>IV Visualization</b>	<b>39</b>
-------------------------	-----------



## List of Figures



## List of Tables





# Part I

## Introduction



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

#### 1.1.1 Motivation

#### 1.1.2 The structure of this thesis





## Chapter 2

# Background

### 2.1 History

#### 2.1.1 Gas dynamics

#### 2.1.2 The breakdown of continuum

#### 2.1.3 Porous media

#### 2.1.4 Nanoporous media

### 2.2 Applications

#### 2.2.1 Shale gas extraction

#### 2.2.2 Electronic devices

### 2.3 Multi scale physics

#### 2.3.1 Our world

Throughout the history, from the ancient greeks up until today, humans have tried to understand the rules of our universe and how they affect what we see

and experience every day. Today, we know that everything is controlled by the rules of quantum mechanics with some visible effects for the naked eye. An example is seen many times every summer during hot days while driving a car on a straight road, mirage. The warm road reflects light as if it's covered in water, so you can see the sky and oncoming cars. This is explained by quantum electrodynamics, and the fact that there is a temperature gradient in the air pointing from the asphalt and upwards. Light moves slower through dense air, and the air is less dense at high temperatures, so the light wants to travel closer to the asphalt. This means that the actual path the light takes is not a straight line, but is bent, see figure ???. The light is taking a shortcut. Mirage can be explained, or should I say modelled, by simpler ideas, but the actual reason arises from quantum mechanics.

### 2.3.2 Simulation of the universe

Say we want to use our computers and simulate a universe very similar to our own. We will use all our knowledge about physics, so the fundamental theory we plug in is quantum mechanics. We need to account for relativistic effects, so we have to unify quantum theory with general theory of relativity first. A universe, even a tiny one, contains a lot of information, so the amount of required computer memory is enormous. In order to solve the fundamental equations of unified relativistic quantum mechanics with today's techniques, we will probably need a very small timestep. Larger time scales, like the ones needed to compute cosmological properties of the universe, are therefore out of reach even with decades of Moore's law.

### 2.3.3 An ideal world

### 2.3.4 The weakest link





# Part II

## Molecular Dynamics



# Chapter 3

## Introduction

Molecular Dynamics is an numerical model that describes the behaviour of liquids, gases and solids with the finest scale of any classical model. We study the dynamics of single atoms and how they interact with each other forming molecules and larger objects. The idea is simple, and has been used since the time of Sir Isaac Newton in the 17th century when he discovered his laws of motion. With the knowledge of the relevant forces, we can solve Newton's equations and calculate the dynamics of the atoms. In this chapter, we will discuss how to implement an efficient Molecular Dynamics program with advanced force fields allowing us to do calculations on systems with silica ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) and water ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). This will be used to study water flow in nanoporous silica, which is of great importance for geological, biological and technological applications.

### 3.1 The model

The state of a molecular dynamics system is fully described by seven variables per atom, three positions, three velocities plus the atom type. These phase variables are evolved through the laws of motion. The atomic forces are calculated as the gradient of some potential that can differ quite a lot depending on the requirements of the model. System statistics are sampled as ensemble averages through ergodicity over large times.

### 3.1.1 Force calculation - potentials

In general, the potential energy is given as a function summing over the configurations given by the atom positions

$$U(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{i < j} U_2(r_{ij}) + \sum_{i < j < k} U_r(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_k) + \dots, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}$  is the phase space point,  $U_n$  is a function of the positions of  $n$  atoms,  $r_{ij}$  is the relative distance between atom  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_i$  is the position of atom  $i$ . Advanced potentials, such as ReaxFF, have 5-atom contributions, or more, to the energy. The reason for this is simple; when three atoms are close to each other, their electrons are positioned differently as if there were only two atoms. These effects play a large role in forming molecules, such as water. In this thesis, we will only use potentials using two- and three-particle terms. Numerically, force calculations are the most expensive part of the whole program, so for simple systems, or for educational purposes, we might not need the most advanced ones. The simplest, yet remarkable for many purposes, potential students often meet is the Lennard-Jones potential.

#### Lennard-Jones potential

We often see this potential referred to as the 6-12 potential, which is a simple function that contains the main properties of atomic forces, the short-ranged Pauli repulsion and the long-ranged van der Waals force. The potential is between pairs of atoms only

$$U_{LJ}(r) = 4\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right], \quad (3.2)$$

where  $r$  is the relative distance between the two atoms,  $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$  are coupling constants giving the depth of the potential well and the distance where the potential is zero. We can extend this potential to behave differently for several atom types, by allowing the coupling constants to be dependent of the two interacting atoms. The Lennard-Jones potential is then written as

$$U_{LJ}(r) = 4\epsilon_{AB} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right], \quad (3.3)$$

where the coupling constants are specified for interacting atom pairs of type  $A$  and  $B$ . If the system has only two different atoms, it is usually called

a *binary Lennard-Jones fluid*. The force is given as the gradient of this potential yielding

$$F_{LJ}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla U_{LJ}(r_{ij}) = 24\epsilon_{AB} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{13} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{AB}}{r_{ij}} \right)^7 \right] \mathbf{u}_r,$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_r$  is the unit vector pointing from atom  $i$  to atom  $j$ .

## Silica-water potential

### 3.1.2 Time integration

As mentioned in the introduction, the system is evolved following Newton's equations of motion. These equations are integrated by some integration scheme.

### 3.1.3 Time integration

## 3.2 Physical properties

Molecular dynamics is used to evolve the system in time, and the phase space variables can then be used to sample statistical properties of the system. Properties of interest are kinetic and potential energy, temperature, pressure, diffusion constant and different correlation functions (such as the pair correlation function, cage-correlation function, static and the dynamic structure factor). In this section, we will define these properties and discuss how to measure them.

### 3.2.1 Kinetic and potential energy

We measure the kinetic energy directly through its definition for point particle objects

$$E_k = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2, \quad (3.4)$$

and the potential energy through (3.2).

### 3.3 c++-code

### 3.4 F77-code

## Part III

# Direct Simulation Monte Carlo





# Chapter 4

## Kinetic theory

### 4.1 Origin

The Boltzmann Equation

H-theorem

### 4.2 The Chapman-Enskog method

### 4.3 Useful things

#### 4.3.1 Equipartition theorem

#### 4.3.2 Maxwell speed distribution



# Chapter 5

## Direct Simulation Monte Carlo

Even though Molecular Dynamics is a very precise model that can give deep, detailed insight about advanced systems, it is computationally extremely expensive. As mentioned in section TODO, the size of a typical Molecular Dynamics system is of order a few nanometers. In nanoporous materials such as shales, the gas is dilute, so the said system might only have a few hundred free gas molecules. In this section, we will discuss

### 5.0.3 The model

## 5.1 Surface interactions

The effects of surface interactions become significant as the pore sizes decrease. For very small pores, the number of atoms near the surface is comparable with total number of atoms. In MD, these effects are automatically taken care of through the atomic forces, but in DSMC, we need a surface interaction model. We investigate four different models in this section.

### 5.1.1 Specular wall

The specular wall behaves just like a classical mirror; the colliding objects are reflected so that the normal component of the velocity is reversed whereas the tangential components remain unchanged.

### 5.1.2 Thermal wall

If we instead think of the wall as an object with a given temperature  $T_w$ , we can imagine that the molecules go into the wall, collide with the wall atoms as a random walk, and return with no correlation with the incoming velocity. We can then choose a new, random velocity vector from a distribution so that the gas temperature converges to the wall temperature. We can find this by looking at the velocity distribution of particles going through an imaginary wall during a time  $\Delta t$ .

#### An imaginary wall

We think of an area  $A$  randomly placed inside an isotropic gas, and look at the number of particles with velocities in the range  $(v, v + dv)$  with direction  $(\theta, \theta + d\theta)$  and  $(\phi, \phi + d\phi)$ . Since the gas is isotropic, the number of particles having velocities within these ranges is

$$\frac{N}{V} f(v) dv \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} = n f(v) dv \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi},$$

where  $d\Omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$ . During a time  $\Delta t$  small enough that there are no collisions between particles, the volume of the prism is  $v \Delta t A$ , and the number of collision per time per area is

$$\frac{n}{4\pi} v f(v) dv \sin \theta d\theta d\phi.$$

If we only look at the particles passing through from one side of the wall, we integrate over the angles and get the number density

$$\begin{aligned} d\nu(v) &= \frac{n}{4\pi} v f(v) dv \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta d\phi \sin \theta \\ &= \frac{n}{4} v f(v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

The total number of particles that passes through the wall is

$$\nu = \int_0^\infty \frac{n}{4} v f(v) dv = \frac{n}{4} \langle v \rangle.$$

We get the normalized distribution by looking at

$$f_e(v) = \frac{d\nu}{\nu} = \frac{v}{\langle v \rangle} f(v) dv = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m}{kT} \right)^2 v^3 e^{-mv^2/2kT} dv$$

which is very similar to the Maxwell distribution but with slightly faster particles.

### 5.1.3 Maxwell scattering

$$R(\mathbf{v}' \rightarrow \mathbf{v}; x) = (1 - \sigma_v)\delta(\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v} + 2\mathbf{n}v_n) + \frac{2\sigma_v\beta_w^4}{\pi} \exp(-\beta_w^2 v^2) \quad (5.1)$$

### 5.1.4 The Cercignani-Lampis model

Maxwell's scattering kernel was used before the 1960s, before more detailed models were developed. As of today, the most popular one is the Cercignani-Lampis model (Cercignani and Lampis, 1971). The kernel is given as

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbf{v}' \rightarrow \mathbf{v}; x) = & \frac{2\sigma_n\sigma_t(2 - \sigma_t)\beta_w^4}{\pi} \\ & \times \exp\left(-\beta_w^2 \frac{v_n^2 + (1 - \sigma_n)(v'_n)^2}{\sigma_n} - \beta_w^2 \frac{(v_t - (1 - \sigma_t)v'_t)^2}{\sigma_t(2 - \sigma_t)}\right) \\ & \times I_0\left(\beta_w^2 \frac{2\sqrt{1 - \sigma_t}v_nv'_n}{\sigma_n}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where  $v_n$  and  $v_t$  again are the normal and tangential components of the velocities and  $I_0$  is the zeroth-order modified Bessel function of the first kind.

### 5.1.5 The random surface model

## 5.2 Boundary Collisions

### 5.2.1 Specular reflection

### 5.2.2 Thermal reflection

### 5.2.3 Geometric representations

### 5.2.4 Binary walls

Normal vectors

## 5.3 Parallelization

## 5.4 Code

# Part IV

## Visualization

