

# Homework Set 6

Michael Anderson

November 18, 2010

**8.3** Each constant symbol in  $c$  may be mapped to any of the objects in the domain  $D$ , so there are  $D^c$  possible sets of mappings.

$k$ -ary relations contain a set permutations of size  $k$  pulled from the set of constant objects, giving  $P_k^c$  such permutations, each of which either is or is not contained within a given relation. Thus, there are  $2^{P_k^c}$  possible  $k$ -ary relations. Since there are  $p_k$  many orthogonal  $k$ -ary relations, there are  $\prod_{k=1}^A 2^{(P_k^c)(p_k)}$  many possible sets of relations.

In a  $k$ -ary function each of the  $P_k^c$  tuples is mapped to one of the  $c$  constant symbols or the invisible object, giving  $(P_k^c)(c+1)$  possible functions. There are then  $\prod_{k=1}^A [(P_k^c)(c+1)]^{p_k}$  many possible sets of functions.

Since the mappings of constant objects, the functions, and the relations are all orthogonal to each other, the total number of possible models is:

$$(D^c) \left( \prod_{k=1}^A 2^{(P_k^c)(p_k)} \right) \left( \prod_{k=1}^A [(P_k^c)(c+1)]^{p_k} \right)$$

- 8.9**
- a)
    - i. 2, because function arguments can only contain terms.
    - ii. 1
    - iii. 3, because this can be true if only one of Paris or Marseilles are in France.
  - b)
    - i. 1
    - ii. 3, because it is always true if  $c$  can take non-country values.
    - iii. 3, because it is always true if  $c$  can take non-country values.
    - iv. 2, because function arguments can only contain terms.
  - c)
    - i. 3, because it is always false if  $c$  can take non-country values.
    - ii. 1
    - iii. 3, because it is false even if  $c$  is ever not a country, and is also not in South America.
    - iv. 3, because it is always false if  $c$  can take non-country values.
  - d)
    - i. 1
    - ii. 1
    - iii. 3, because it is true if some regions in Europe border a region in South America.
    - iv. 1
  - e)
    - i. 1
    - ii. 1
    - iii. 3, because it is false if  $x$  or  $y$  are not countries, or if they share a border, or they share a map color.

iv. 2, because the grammar does not contain  $\neq$ .

- 8.10**
- a)  $Occupation(Emily, Surgeon) \vee Occupation(Emily, Lawyer)$
  - b)  $Occupation(Joe, Actor) \wedge [\exists o \neg(o = Actor) \wedge Occupation(Joe, o)]$
  - c)  $\forall p Occupation(p, Surgeon) \Rightarrow Occupation(p, Doctor)$
  - d)  $\neg[\exists p Occupation(p, Lawyer) \wedge Customer(Joe, p)]$
  - e)  $\exists p Boss(p, Emily) \wedge Occupation(p, Lawyer)$
  - f)  $\exists p1 [\forall p2 Customer(p2, p1) \Rightarrow Occupation(p2, Doctor)]$
  - g)  $\forall p1 Occupation(p1, Surgeon) \Rightarrow [\exists p2 Customer(p1, p2) \wedge Occupation(p2, Lawyer)]$

**8.13** a)

$$\forall s Breezy(s) \Rightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)$$

$$\forall s \neg[Breezy(s)] \Rightarrow \neg[\exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)]$$

Now reduce the conjunction to Equation (8.4):

$$(\forall s Breezy(s) \Rightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)) \wedge (\forall s \neg[Breezy(s)] \Rightarrow \neg[\exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)])$$

$$(\forall s Breezy(s) \Rightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)) \wedge (\forall s Breezy(s) \vee \neg[\exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)])$$

$$(\forall s Breezy(s) \Rightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)) \wedge (\forall s \neg[\exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)] \vee Breezy(s))$$

$$(\forall s Breezy(s) \Rightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)) \wedge (\forall s \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r) \Rightarrow Breezy(s))$$

$$\forall s Breezy(s) \Leftrightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)$$

b)

$$\forall s Pit(s) \Rightarrow [\forall r Adjacent(r, s) \Rightarrow Breezy(r)]$$

This is insufficient, because we are only saying that a pit causes breezes in adjacent squares. Equation (8.4) also implies that a breeze causes a pit in one or more adjacent squares. Thus the following axiom is also necessary:

$$\forall s Breezy(s) \Rightarrow \exists r Adjacent(r, s) \wedge Pit(r)$$

**8.20** a)

$$\exists y \neg(y \times (1 + 1) < x) \wedge \neg(x < y \times (1 + 1))$$

b)

$$\neg(\exists y, z (1 < y) \wedge (y < x) \wedge (\neg[(y \times z) < x] \wedge \neg[x < (y \times z)]))$$

c)

$$\forall x [\exists y \neg(y \times (1 + 1) < x) \wedge \neg(x < y \times (1 + 1))] \Rightarrow$$

$$[\exists p1, p2 (\neg(\exists y1, z1 (1 < y1) \wedge (y1 < p1) \wedge (\neg[(y1 \times z1) < p1] \wedge \neg[p1 < (y1 \times z1)])))] \wedge$$

$$(\neg(\exists y2, z2 (1 < y2) \wedge (y2 < p2) \wedge (\neg[(y2 \times z2) < p2] \wedge \neg[p2 < (y2 \times z2)])))] \wedge$$

$$\neg[(p1 + p2) < x] \wedge \neg[x < (p1 + p2)]$$

**8.24** *Student(p)*: Predicate. Person p is a student.  
*Spring2001(c)*: Predicate. Class c was offered in Spring 2001.  
*Took(p, c)*: Predicate. Person p took class c.  
*Passed(p, c)*: Predicate. Person p passed class c.  
*Score(c)*: Function. Returns the best score in class c.  
*Higher(s1, s2)*: Predicate. Score s1 is higher than score s2.  
*Buy(p, o)*: Predicate. Person p buys policy o.  
*Smart(p)*: Predicate. Person p is smart.  
*Expensive(o)*: Predicate. Policy o is expensive.  
*Job(p, j)*: Predicate. Person p has job j.  
*Sell(p1, p2)*: Predicate. Person p1 sells policies to person p2.  
*Insured(p)*: Predicate. Person p is insured.  
*ManInTown(p)*: Predicate. Person p is a man in town.  
*Shaves(p1, p2)*: Predicate. Person p1 shaves person p2.  
*UKBorn(p)*: Predicate. Person p was born in the UK.  
*UKbyBirth(p)*: Predicate. Person p is a UK citizen by birth.  
*UKbyDescent(p)*: Predicate. Person p is a UK citizen by descent.  
*UKResident(p)*: Predicate. Person p is a UK Resident.  
*Father(p)*: Function. Returns the father of p. *Mother(p)*: Function. Returns the mother of p. *Fool(p1, p2, t)*: Predicate. Person p1 can fool person p2 at time t.  
*Speaks(x, l)*: Predicate. Person x speaks language l.  
*French, Greek*: Constants denoting classes.  
*Agent, Barber, Politician*: Constants denoting jobs. *Greek<sub>p</sub>erson*: Constant denoting an ethnicity.

- a)  $\exists p \text{ Student}(p) \wedge \text{Took}(p, \text{French}) \wedge \text{Spring2001}(\text{French})$
- b)  $\forall p [\text{Student}(p) \wedge \text{Took}(p, \text{French})] \Rightarrow \text{Passed}(p, \text{French})$
- c)  $\exists p1 \text{ Student}(p1) \wedge \text{Took}(p1, \text{Greek}) \wedge \text{Spring2001}(\text{Greek}) \wedge [\forall p2 (\text{Student}(p2) \wedge \text{Took}(p2, \text{Greek}) \wedge \text{Spring2001}(\text{Greek}))]$
- d)  $\text{Higher}(\text{Score}(\text{Greek}), \text{Score}(\text{French}))$
- e)  $\forall p [\exists o \text{ Buy}(p, o)] \Rightarrow \text{Smart}(p)$
- f)  $\neg[\exists p, o \text{ Buy}(p, o) \wedge \text{Expensive}(o)]$
- g)  $\exists p1 \text{ Job}(p1, \text{Agent}) \wedge [\forall p2 \text{ Sell}(p1, p2) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Insured}(p2)]$
- h)  $\exists p1 \text{ Job}(p1, \text{Barber}) \wedge [\forall p2 (\text{ManInTown}(p2) \wedge \neg \text{Shaves}(p2, p2)) \Rightarrow \text{Shaves}(p1, p2)]$
- i)  $\forall p (\text{UKBorn}(p) \wedge [(\text{UKResident}(\text{Father}(p)) \vee \text{UKbyBirth}(\text{Father}(p))) \vee \text{UKbyDescent}(\text{Father}(p))] \wedge (\text{UKResident}(\text{Mother}(p)) \vee \text{UKbyBirth}(\text{Mother}(p))) \vee \text{UKbyDescent}(\text{Mother}(p))]) \Rightarrow \text{UKbyBirth}(p)$
- j)  $\forall p ([\text{UKbyBirth}(\text{Father}(p)) \vee \text{UKbyBirth}(\text{Mother}(p))] \wedge \neg \text{UKBorn}(p)) \Rightarrow \text{UKbyDescent}(p)$
- k)  $\forall p1 \text{ Job}(p1, \text{Politician}) \Rightarrow [(\exists p2 \forall t \text{ Fool}(p1, p2, t)) \wedge (\forall p2 \exists t \text{ Fool}(p1, p2, t)) \wedge \neg(\forall p2, t \text{ Fool}(p1, p2, t))]$

$$1) \quad \forall p1, p2 [Greek\_person(p1) \wedge Greek\_person(p2)] \Rightarrow [\exists l \, Speaks(p1, l) \wedge \\ Speaks(p2, l)]$$