ECE565: Estimation Detection and Filtering (Fall 2011) - Dr. Raviv Raich Homework assignment 2 (due Oct. 25, 2011)

1. (Constrained LS) Consider the nonlinear input-output transfer function given by:

$$y = \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k x^k. \tag{1}$$

You are asked to estimate the a_k 's using LS from a set of points (x(n), y(n)) for n = 1, 2, ..., N. Assume that curve is defined over the interval $x \in [0, 1]$. You are also given that the curve contains the points (x, y) = (0, 1) and (x, y) = (1, 0) and that its slope at these points is zero.

- (a) Determine θ and H (for simplicity assume five points are measured).
- (b) Determine A and b in the linear form of the constraints.
- (c) Derive the constrained LS estimator for θ .
- (d) Evaluate your estimator of θ and plot the corresponding polynomial using the following Matlab code:

```
%%% Generate five (x,y) measurements
c=0;
x=(0.3:0.1:0.7);
y=1+(c-3)*x.^2+2*(1-c)*x.^3+c*x.^4+0.05*randn(5,1);
%%% Estimate the polynomial coefficients
H=[ones(5,1),x,x.^2,x.^3,x.^4];
a_ls=inv(H'*H)*(H'*y); %%% LS
a_cls=?
%%%% uncomment one for plotting
%a_est=a_ls;
%a_est=a_cls;
%%% Plotting true polynomial, noisy measurements, and estimated polynomial
xt=(0.01:0.01:1);
Ht=[ones(size(xt)),xt,xt.^2,xt.^3,xt.^4];
vt=Ht*[1 0 (c-3) (2-2*c) c]';
ye=Ht*a_est;
plot(x,y,'x',xt,yt,xt,ye)
axis([0 1 -0.5 1.5])
legend('Noisy measurements', 'True polynomial', 'Estimated polynomial')
```

Fill-in the code for evaluating the constrained LS estimator and present the results. Compare to the results obtained by the unconstrained LS estimator (provided in the code).

2. (NLLS) You are asked to calculate the speed of a wheel based on a marker positioned R meters away from the center of the wheel. The radius of the wheel is also R and hence the velocity of the wheel is given by $v = \omega R$. The marker coordinates are given by

$$x(t) = R\cos(\omega t) \tag{2}$$

$$y(t) = R\sin(\omega t) \tag{3}$$

and are sampled at $t = \frac{T}{N}, \frac{2T}{N}, \dots, T$.

- (a) Using NLLS find an explicit cost function that would allow to find \hat{v}_{LS} for this problem.
- (b) How does this cost relate to the Fourier transform? (Hint: let z(t) = x(t) + jy(t) and recall Euler formula for e^{jwt} .)
- (c) Suppose that $\omega T \ll 1$. Linearize the model, and derive the LS estimator for the linearized model.
- 3. (RLS) Recursive least squares (RLS) is a recursive implementation of the LS estimator that is well-suited for the on-line adaptive case. Here you are asked to derive the RLS algorithm in a guided fashion. As in the LS

problem we minimize $\|\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{H}_n \theta\|^2$ with respect to θ and the LS estimator is given by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n = (\mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{H}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{y}_n$, where

$$\mathbf{y}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} y(1) \\ y(2) \\ \vdots \\ y(n) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{1}^{T} \\ \mathbf{h}_{2}^{T} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}_{n}^{T} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

The goal is to express $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{H}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{H}_{n+1})^{-1} \mathbf{H}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{y}_{n+1}$ based on the previous time estimate $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$ and the new vector \mathbf{h}_{n+1} and the new sample y(n+1).

(a) Show that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{H}_n + \mathbf{h}_{n+1} \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{y}_n + \mathbf{h}_{n+1} y(n+1))$$
(5)

(b) Use the matrix inversion Lemma to show that

$$(\mathbf{H}_{n}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{n} + \mathbf{h}_{n+1}\mathbf{h}_{n+1}^{T})^{-1} = (\mathbf{H}_{n}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{n})^{-1} - \frac{(\mathbf{H}_{n}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{n})^{-1}\mathbf{h}_{n+1}\mathbf{h}_{n+1}^{T}(\mathbf{H}_{n}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{n})^{-1}}{1 + \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^{T}(\mathbf{H}_{n}^{T}\mathbf{H}_{n})^{-1}\mathbf{h}_{n+1}}$$
(6)

(c) Use this result in (5) to show that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{n+1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n + \frac{(\mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{H}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{h}_{n+1}}{1 + \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^T (\mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{H}_n)^{-1} \mathbf{h}_{n+1}} (y(n+1) - \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n)$$
(7)

(d) Let $\mathbf{P}_n = (\mathbf{H}_n^T \mathbf{H}_n)^{-1}$. Finally, show that the recursion is given by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{n+1} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n + \mathbf{k}_n e(n) \tag{8}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{n+1} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{k}_n \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^T) \mathbf{P}_n, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\mathbf{k}_n = \frac{\mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{h}_{n+1}}{1 + \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^T \mathbf{P}_n \mathbf{h}_{n+1}} \tag{10}$$

$$e(n) = y(n+1) - \mathbf{h}_{n+1}^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n. \tag{11}$$

If you were able to show (d), you have just derived the recursive formulation of LS. The advantage here is that at every time step the computational complexity is $O(m^2)$ due to the matrix update of \mathbf{P}_n . The total complexity of computing all the estimates $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_n$ is therefore of the order $O(m^2n)$, which is the same as the computational complexity of the LS estimator for only $\hat{\theta}_n$.