# Impact of Developer Experience in the outcome of Software Projects

Anders Nylund

#### **School of Science**

Thesis submitted for examination for the degree of Master of Science in Technology.

Espoo TBA

Supervisor

Prof. Pirjo Professor

**Advisor** 

Dr Alan Advisor



Copyright © 2019 Anders Nylund



# Aalto University, P.O. BOX 11000, 00076 AALTO www.aalto.fi Abstract of the master's thesis

<b>Author</b> Anders Nylu	and
---------------------------	-----

Title Impact of Developer Experience in the outcome of Software Projects

Degree programme Computer, Communication and Information Sciences

Major Software and Service Engineering

Code of major SCI3043

Supervisor Prof. Pirjo Professor

Advisor Dr Alan Advisor

Date TBA Number of pages 24 Language English

#### **Abstract**

Your abstract in English. Keep the abstract short. The abstract explains your research topic, the methods you have used, and the results you obtained.

The abstract text of this thesis is written on the readable abstract page as well as into the pdf file's metadata via the \thesisabstract macro (see above). Write here the text that goes onto the readable abstract page. You can have special characters, linebreaks, and paragraphs here. Otherwise, this abstract text must be identical to the metadata abstract text.

If your abstract does not contain special characters and it does not require paragraphs, you may take advantage of the abstracttext macro (see the comment below).

**Keywords** Developer Experience, Software Projects



#### Aalto-universitetet, PB 11000, 00076 AALTO www.aalto.fi Sammandrag av diplomarbetet

Författare Anders Nylund	
Titel Impact of Developer Experience in the outcor	ne of Software Projects
Utbildningsprogram Computer, Communication and	d Information Sciences
Huvudämne Software and Service Engineering	Huvudämnets kod SCI3043
Övervakare Prof. Pirjo Professori	
Handledare TkD Alan Advisor	

### $\textbf{Datum} \ TBA$

 ${\bf Sidantal}\ \ 24$ Språk Engelska

#### Sammandrag

Sammandrag på svenska. Try to keep the abstract short. Abstract explains your research topic, the methods you have used, and the results you obtained.

**Nyckelord** Nyckelord på svenska, temperatur

# Preface

I want to thank Professor Pirjo Professori and my instructor Dr Alan Advisor for their good and poor guidance.

Otaniemi, Date to te announced

Anders Nylund

# Contents

A	bstract	3
A	bstract (in Swedish)	4
Pı	reface	5
C	ontents	6
$\mathbf{T}$	hesis dictionary	7
1	Introduction  1.1 Motivation	9 10 10
2	Background and literature review 2.1 Programmer Experience	<b>11</b> 11
	2.3 Intrinsic Motivation2.4 Performance Alignment Work2.5 Happiness of developers2.6 Selection of tools	12 12 12 12
3	2.7 Flow state	13 <b>14</b>
4	Multivocal literature review 4.1 The motivation behind a MLR	
5	Results 5.1 Validity of results	1 <b>7</b> 17
6	Summary	18
7	Conclusions	19
8	Possible references	20
$\mathbf{R}$	eferences	23

# Thesis dictionary

DX Developer Experience UX User Experience

IDE Integrated Development Environment

HCI Human Computer Interaction

API Application Programming Interface

SLR Systematic Literature Review MLR Multivocal Literature Review

GL Grey Literature

SE Software Engineering

### 1 Introduction

Software engineering and development is a complex practice that requires both technical and social skills. Compared to other engineering professions, software engineering is still in early stages. The best practices are still evolving, new ideas are coming and previous ideals are discarded.

Creating software products is a social activity that requires both technical and social skills from the developers.

Human Computer Interaction (HCI), a traditional field of research, studies the interface between computers and humans.

User Experience (UX) is another field of research. UX includes the aspects of HCI, but on top of that includes also emotions and the user's perceptions of the product. UX can be seen as a more hedonic than a pragmatic approach of studying and understanding the usage of a software product.

Software developers are in an interesting role where they are both creators and designers when they write the logic that makes up the product. Meantime they are also users of tools that they use to create the product. Developers using a software product that helps in their creative design work will create an user experience for them.

Developer Experience is a term that explains how developers experience their development environments, both technically and socially. The same way as User Experience (UX) is considering the user of a system or tool, Developer Experience (DX) can be seen as the experience that developers have as users of a system. Here the system however includes the tools, frameworks, processes that the developer is the user of when developing software.

This thesis will look at the question of "Is developer experience something worth investing on?", i.e. is there any apparent reward in investing into improvement of the developer experience.

#### 1.1 Motivation

At the time of writing, a quick search with the keyword "Developer Experience" on google.com gives as a result mostly articles on how framework and library authors should consider their users (developers) experience with using the product (tool, library, framework). However, DX is something more and includes also the feelings and perceptions of the developers. In some research the term Percent Experience with the abbreviation of PE is used, and in some other research the term Programmer eXperience and abbreviation PX is used [4] [11]. This shows also that there is still some ambiguity to the terms and definitions. It is also apparent that most results when searching with the term Percent Experience gives results about the experience level of a developer in e.g. terms of years working in the field of software development, and not the hedonic and pragmatic experience.

DX has been studied previously, but research on it is still lacking the connection to practical applications. This is one the biggest motivators for this thesis, as the topic is novel and there is huge potential in improving software development processes,

and thereby also potential to improve the outcome of the projects.

There is possibly huge value that can be gained from studying Developer Experience and learning about how it works. A better understanding of DX can help organisations, teams, and individual software developers to create a better experience that enables them to benefit in multiple different areas.

For the author the Developer Experience means having a low friction and easy setup with their own development environment. They want to have an environment that is lightweight, fast, and easy to use. It should have a short cycle of feedback i.e. when making a change to the source code it should be immediately reflected in the output. This might be the reason why they like to develop for the web, as the tools are often quick and have a fast feedback cycle. The environment should perform tasks automatically as building, reporting errors. The frontend JavaScript framework React and the tools supporting it are a great examples of excellent developer experience. The tools are intuitive and guide the developer in making the right things.

After all learning new technologies is not about solving new problems, but it's about solving the same old problems more efficiently, faster, easier i.e. with a better Developer Experience.

### 1.2 Research questions and problem

The research problem is finding out *How the developer experience in software projects* can affect the outcome. The goal is to create an understanding of what Developer Experience is, and how it might affect the outcome of software projects.

Currently the research problem is too vague and needs a lot of more specification of what is researched and what is the actual problem.

The original research problem was to understand how the developer experience affects the outcome of the project. This problem could also be rephrased so that it would consider the performance of the team, instead of the outcome as they basically mean the same thing. In [3] it's stated that "since software development is largely human-based activity, most types of outcome depend on human factors". Therefore it's probably not worth to take the approach of studying how some technical artifact could improve the developer experience, and how that furthermore could improve the performance of the team, and finally improve the outcome of the project

The debate throughout the thesis has to be something that makes the reader interested in the topic and engages the reader. This helps to find the argument of each article and paper that is read for this thesis. It helps the author of this thesis to take a stand when writing some statements. The meaning is not to create some kind of truth that has to be followed. The constant debate throughout the thesis helps to put things in perspective. One example of debate is "Is Developer Experience something worth investing in?".

There could also be some hypotheses that will be tested in the thesis.

#### Table 1: The research questions

- **RQ 1** What is the difference in the definition of Developer Experience in academic and industry literature?
- **RQ 2** What aspects of Developer Experience are currently being considered in software projects? What aspects of Developer Experience do developers see as valuable?
- **RQ 3** Can the results of software projects be improved by investing in a better developer experience?

#### 1.2.1 Alternative research problems:

- "How Developer Experience affects the productivity of developers in software projects"?
- "How the cognitive Developer Experience can affect the outcome of Software Projects". This would allow to restrict the scope of the thesis significantly, as the cognitive Developer Experience takes only into account the "technical" parts e.g. Platform, techniques, process, skill, procedures i.e. How developers perceive the development infrastructure? [4]

### 1.3 Scope and focus

Scope and focus will be defined later. This can still vary quite a lot as it depends on basically everything, including the research problem and questions.

#### 1.4 Structure of the thesis

This will be finalized later

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background and literature review
- 3. Research material and methods
- 4. Results
- 5. Summary
- 6. Conclusions
- 7. ...???

# 2 Background and literature review

Keywords to search background and literature material with:

- 1. Developer Experience
- 2. Programmer Experience
- 3. Happy Developer
- 4. Unhappy Developer
- 5. User Experience

https://insights.stackoverflow.com/ could be an interesting source of basic facts about programmers around the world, what technologies they are using, what they love and what they dread

Create a much clearer and better foundation of what software development is, why it is complex etc.

This section includes the background and literature review of the topic. The background of the topic should be covered equally from all points of view.

A software project is a project where a group of people share a common goal what can for example be to create a product or service. In a software project there is a developer or multiple developers that have the responsibility of implementing the technical product itself. The developers are the ones writing the executable source code for the program or service, so that it can by it's functions and features achieve the requirements set to it.

Developer Experience and its related terms have been studied and researched relatively little at the moment of writing. A literature review of the term "Programmer Experience" studied 73 articles that matched their search criteria [11]. The study concluded that there is still some ambiguity in the term Programmer Experience in the context of programming environments, design documents, and programming codes.

A doctoral thesis titled "Software Developer Experience: Case Studies in Lean-Agile and Open Source Environments" in 2015 coined the term Developer Experience.

Developer experience can be divided into three different sub areas – cognitive (How developers perceive the development infrastructure), affective (How developers feel about their work), and conative (How developers see the value of their contribution) [4].

## 2.1 Programmer Experience

http://programming-experience.org/px19/https://2019.programming-conference.org/

Programmer Experience (PX) can be defined as *The result of the intrinsic moti*vations and perceptions of programmers about the use of development artifacts [11]. A programmer can be seen as person who gives exact instructions on how a program should behave and function. PX is based on the study mainly related to the programming environment, but also programming codes and Application Programming Interfaces (API).

#### 2.2 Developer Experience

A developer is a person with a bigger responsibility than a programmer. If a programmer is following instructions, requirements, and guidelines, the developer is also finding out what the instructions, requirements and guidelines should be (find other source than https://devskiller.com/programmer-vs-developer/). Therefore DX is also considering more of the surrounding context than what PX is considering.

Developer Experience (DX) is a bigger construct than PX. DX includes also the motivation of developers, and not only the artefacts like the programming environments [11]. Developer Experience is considering also the social aspect of being a software developer. Developer Experience is what is felt by the developer while trying to achieve a goal i.e. completing a project

The Developer Experience can be divided into 2 different environments, a social and a technical environment [2]. This thesis might focus more on the technical environment.

#### 2.3 Intrinsic Motivation

### 2.4 Performance Alignment Work

Write here about [3] and read PAW article.

# 2.5 Happiness of developers

Happiness of developers have been reported have direct consequences to the themselves, process and the product [7]

#### 2.6 Selection of tools

Perceived choice is a perception of that the choice has already been made [10]. Selecting tools in software development projects is in a crucial role, as it can significantly improve the Developer Experience in software projects.

One study of Integrated Development Environment (IDE), and how it is connected with state of flow, intrinsic motivation, and user experience reveal that if the developers have a high perception of choice, the also are overall more satisfied with the tools [10]. They also concluded that if the selected tools are selected without their input, (they perceive it chosen already), the developers will have a worse developer experience with it, as e.g. their frustration with the tool will be more common.

There has been a study on the Developer Experience of IDEs [9]. However, the study concentrated on the UX of the selected IDE that was studied.

When selecting an IDE it is also important to consider what the other developers in the team or organization is using or what other would prefer to use.

There can be situations when two different developers use a different IDE, and therefore also the experience can be completely different between them. At the most extreme the 2 IDEs are not compatible with each other as their files related to the project are different. An example of this is Eclipse and IntelliJ IDEA as Java IDEs.

In a study of IDEs [9], the survey in the study produced answers that were most pragmatic, but not hedonic. This could show that most of the developers are practical, and not feeling based. This has also been proven [1]. This might also be a reason why Developer Experience has not gotten that much attention yet, as big part of people in software engineering are "Introverts". Software engineers are also more logical thinkers than feeling based. As Developer Experience is focusing on the feelings and subjective opinions about things, it might be a difficult topic to research.

#### 2.7 Flow state

Flow state is something that many developers want to achieve. For some developers it is really difficult to focus if there are external things that disturb them like sound or something similar. Also, people coming and asking questions might disturb or interrupt the flow state. Therefore many developers are now also trying out remote work where they are not co-located.

[12] studied how an IDE worked in a collaborative environment and it's developer experience.

# 3 Research material and methods

What material will be used in the research and what methods/methodologies will be used to study the problem. What kind of approach to research will be used in the thesis.

The developer experience can be both short term impulsive, or related to one event in software development, but it can also be a long term experience over a period of time [2]. The research in this thesis will use a longer time-frame of developer experience.

#### 4 Multivocal literature review

DX is a complicated subject and topic, and there is not at the moment a clear and well agreed definition of it. There is a need to create an understanding of the definition of DX to support the rest of the thesis. Systematic literature reviews are a way of producing evidence based results, and in complex and opinion based fields it is an effective way of producing results (rephrase this and find reference). Multivocal Literature Reviews (MLR) are a way to include "grey" literature into Systematic Literature Reviews (SLR) [5].

"Grey literature stands for manifold document types produced on all levels of government, academics, business and industry in print and electronic formats that are protected by intellectual property rights, of sufficient quality to be collected and preserved by library holdings or institutional repositories, but not controlled by commercial publishers i.e., where publishing is not the primary activity of the producing body." [13]

The Prague Definition of grey literature is strict and therefore not allowing e.g. blog posts to be used on MLRs. However, a specific guideline for including grey literature in literature reviews has been created [6]. This guideline is based on the guidelines on how to perform SLR in SE [8].

#### 4.1 The motivation behind a MLR

An SLR would include only the academic papers, and therefore it might not be sufficient to only focus on that. In a MLR the GL should provide a current perspective and fill in the gaps of academic and formal literature [6].

Because the term and definition of DX is novel, it

Even if there might not be a better definition of Developer Experience in GL, it will still be a valid point to

## 4.2 The review protocol of the MLR

. Read [6] again after reading [8].

The foundation for the MLR is the first research question RQ1. The goal is to create a definition of *Developer Experience* with help of both academic literature and "grey" literature.

The definition of Developer Experience might at some degree even be on the level of black literature [6]

[8] gives a set of guidelines on how to perform an SLR in SE. They include the following steps for PhD students conducting SLRs:

•

To conduct the MLR, Google Scholar will be used to search for academic literature, and Google to search for GL.

The selected keywords will be

Quality criteria are included in the same form as the main data of the SLR. This is because the data from the quality analysis is going to be used together.

This Reciprocal translation. When studies are about similar things, and the aim is to create an additional summary

# 5 Results

Answer the research questions and problem.

# 5.1 Validity of results

Tässä osassa on syytä myös arvioida tutkimustulosten luotettavuutta. Jos tutkimustulosten merkitystä arvioidaan »Tarkastelu»-osassa, voi luotettavuuden arviointi olla myös siellä.

# 6 Summary

# 7 Conclusions

#### 8 Possible references

#### SLR and MLR

- 1. K. M. Benzies, S. Premji, K. A. Hayden, and K. Serrett, "State-of-the-Evidence Reviews: Advantages and Challenges of Including Grey Literature," Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing, vol. 3, pp. 55-61, 2006.
- 2. Rucinski, Taryn. (2015). The Elephant in the Room: Toward a Definition of Grey Legal Literature. Law library journal. 107. 543.
- 3. Joachim Schöpfel. Towards a Prague Definition of Grey Literature. Twelfth International Conference on Grey Literature: Transparency in Grey Literature. Grey Tech Approaches to High Tech Issues. Prague, 6-7 December 2010, Dec 2010, Czech Republic. pp.11-26.
- 4. B. Kitchenham and S. Charters, "Guidelines for Performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software engineering," in "EBSE Technical Report," 2007, vol. EBSE- 2007-01.
- 5. V. Garousi, M. Felderer, Experience-based guidelines for effective and efficient data extraction in systematic reviews in software engineering, in: International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering, Karlskrona, Sweden, 2017, pp. 170–179.
- Lotto, L. S. (1986). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Sourcebook of New Methods: Matthew B. Miles and A. Michael Huberman. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, 8(3), 329–331. https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737008003329
- 7. Using argumentation theory to analyse software practitioners' defeasible evidence, inference and belief Author links open overlay panel. Austen Rainer

#### Development tools

- 1. Murphy, G.C., Kersten, M., Findlater, L.: Howare Javasoftware developers using the Elipse IDE? Softw. IEEE 23(4), 76–83 (2006)
- 17. Muslu, K., Brun, Y., Holmes, R., Ernst, M.D., Notkin, D.: Speculative analysis of integrated development environment recommendations. ACM SIGPLAN Not. 47(10), 669–682 (2012)
- 3. Kersten, M., Murphy, G.C.: Using task context to improve programmer productivity. In: Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering (SIGSOFT 2006/FSE-14), pp. 1–11. ACM, New York, NY, USA (2006)
- 4. The Impact of "Cosmetic" Changes on the Usability of Error Messages Tao Dong, Kandarp Khandwala May 2019 CHI EA '19: Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems

- 5. Designing a live development experience for web-components Jens Lincke, Patrick Rein, Stefan Ramson, Robert Hirschfeld, Marcel Taeumel, Tim Felgentreff October 2017 PX/17.2: Proceedings of the 3rd ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Programming Experience
- 6. Are Software Developers Just Users of Development Tools? Assessing Developer Experience of a Graphical User Interface Designer. Kati Kuusinen Conference paper First Online: 23 August 2016

#### Software Development

- 1. Capretz, L.F., Ahmed, F.: Making sense of software development and personality types. IT Prof. 12(1), 6–13 (2010)
- 2. An exploratory study on the influence of developers in technical debt Reem Alfayez, Pooyan Behnamghader, Kamonphop Srisopha, Barry Boehm May 2018 TechDebt '18: Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Technical Debt

#### Soft skills

1. empty

#### Developer mood

- 1. Graziotin, D., Wang, X., Abrahamsson, P.: Happy software developers solve problemsbetter: psychological measurements in empirical software engineering. PeerJ2(1), e289(2014)
- 2. Beecham, S., Baddoo, N., Hall, T., Robinson, H., Sharp, H.: Motivation in software engineering: A systematic literature review. IST 50, 860–878 (2008)
- 3. D. Graziotin, Consequences of unhappiness while developing software, in Proc. 2nd Int. Workshop Emotion Awareness Softw. Eng., May 2017, pp. 42–47.
- 4. On the Unhappiness of Software Developers Daniel Graziotin, Fabian Fagerholm, Xiaofeng Wang, Pekka Abrahamsson June 2017 EASE'17: Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering

#### Flow state and distractions. Sociability and Social Support

- 1. Ryan, R.M., Mims, V., Koestner, R.: Relation of reward contingency and interpersonal context to intrinsic motivation: a review and test using cognitive evaluation theory. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 45, 736–750 (1983)
- 2. 27.Oehlberg, L., Ducheneaut, N., Thorton, J.D., Moore, R.J., Nickell, E. 2006. Social TV: Designing for D istributed, SocialTelevision Viewing. In Proc. Euro iTV'06. (2006), 251-259

- 3. Leont'ev, A. N. Activity, consciousness, and personality. Prentice-Hall Press, (1978)
- 4. Perlow, L.A., The time famine: Toward a sociology of work time. Admin. Science Quarterly, 44, (1999), 57-81

#### User Experience

1. Hassenzahl, M., Tractinsky, N.: User experience - a research agenda. Behav. Inf. Technol. 25(2), 91–97 (2006)

#### Other

- 1. Kansala, M. and Tuomivaara, S. (2013). Do Agile Principles and Practices Support the Well-being at Work of Agile Team Members? In Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Software Engineering Advances (ICSEA 2013), pages 364–367.
- 2. Dingsøyr, T., Nerur, S., Balijepally, V., and Moe, N. B. (2012). A decade of agile methodologies: Towards explaining agile software development. Journal of Systems and Software, 85(6):1213–1221.
- 3. Journal of Systems and Software Volume 140, June 2018, Pages 32-47 Journal of Systems and Software What happens when software developers are (un)happy Author links open overlay panel Daniel Graziotina Fabian Fagerholm bcXi-aofengWangd PekkaAbrahamssone Show more https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2018.02.041
- 4. Teamwork quality and project success in software development: A survey of agile development teams Author links open overlay panelYngveLindsjørnaDag I.K.Sjøbergab TorgeirDingsøyrbc Gunnar R.Bergersen Tore Dybåa
- 5. Gass, O., Meth, H., Maedche, A.: PaaS characteristics for productive software development: an evaluation framework. Internet Comput. IEEE 18(1), 56–64 (2014)

### References

- [1] L. F. Capretz. Personality types in software engineering. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 58(2):207 214, 2003.
- [2] F. Fagerholm. Software Developer Experience: Case Studies in Lean-Agile and Open Source Environments. PhD thesis, University of Helsinki, 2015.
- [3] F. Fagerholm, M. Ikonen, P. Kettunen, J. Münch, V. Roto, and P. Abrahamsson. How do software developers experience team performance in lean and agile environments? In *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, EASE '14, pages 7:1–7:10, New York, NY, USA, 2014. ACM.
- [4] F. Fagerholm and J. Münch. Developer experience: Concept and definition. CoRR, abs/1312.1452, 2013.
- [5] V. Garousi, M. Felderer, and M. V. Mäntylä. The need for multivocal literature reviews in software engineering: Complementing systematic literature reviews with grey literature. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, EASE '16, pages 26:1–26:6, New York, NY, USA, 2016. ACM.
- [6] V. Garousi, M. Felderer, and M. V. Mäntylä. Guidelines for including grey literature and conducting multivocal literature reviews in software engineering. *Information and Software Technology*, 106:101 121, 2019.
- [7] D. Graziotin, F. Fagerholm, X. Wang, and P. Abrahamsson. Unhappy developers: Bad for themselves, bad for process, and bad for software product. *CoRR*, abs/1701.02952, 2017.
- [8] B. Kitchenham and S. Charters. Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering, 2007.
- [9] K. Kuusinen. Software developers as users: Developer experience of a cross-platform integrated development environment. In P. Abrahamsson, L. Corral, M. Oivo, and B. Russo, editors, *Product-Focused Software Process Improvement*, pages 546–552, Cham, 2015. Springer International Publishing.
- [10] K. Kuusinen, H. Petrie, F. Fagerholm, and T. Mikkonen. Flow, intrinsic motivation, and developer experience in software engineering. In H. Sharp and T. Hall, editors, Agile Processes, in Software Engineering, and Extreme Programming, volume 251. XP 2016, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [11] J. Morales, C. Rusu, F. Botella, and D. Quinones. Programmer experience: A systematic literature review. *IEEE Access*, PP:1–1, 05 2019.

- [12] J. Palviainen, T. Kilamo, J. Koskinen, J. Lautamäki, T. Mikkonen, and A. Nieminen. Design framework enhancing developer experience in collaborative coding environment. In *Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, SAC '15, pages 149–156, New York, NY, USA, 2015. ACM.
- [13] J. Schöpfel. Towards a Prague Definition of Grey Literature. In Twelfth International Conference on Grey Literature: Transparency in Grey Literature. Grey Tech Approaches to High Tech Issues. Prague, 6-7 December 2010, pages 11–26, Czech Republic, Dec. 2010.