1 Foreword

Author: Anderson Chau

Disclaimer: This notes is written only for my memorization purpose after I have studied online lecture notes and blogs.

2 Confusion Matrix

Predict True, Actual True: True Positive (TP)
Predict True, Actual False: False Positive (FP)
Predict False, Actual False: False Negative (FN)
Predict False, Actual True: True Negative (TN)

Accuracy = (TP+FN)/(TP+FP+FN+TN), performance of correct classification

Precision = TP / (TP+FP) (correctly classified as positive / Everything classified as positive), used when Cancer detection. (We don't want to initiate cancer treatment if the person is actually healthy).

Recall = TP / (TP + FN) (correctly classified as positive / Actually positive), FP is more expensive than TN . (e.g. Fraud detection).

Note: Mathematically, Precision and Recall are inverse relationship, there is a trade off between recall and precision.

F1 score = 2(P*R)/(P+R), a compromised metric

3 K-Nearest Neighbour

Description : Choose the *majority* class of nearest (e.g. Eclidean Distance) K data an classify it.

How to Choose K(hyper-paramaeter): General rule of thumb: sqrt(number of data)/2 or by searching and comparing different k's for highest prediction accuracy.

Normalization of data in preprocessing is a must

4 K-Means Clustering

Simple Description: Identify clusters by finding the centroid of data points

Algorithm:

- 1. Initialize $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$ randomly (k is hyper-parameter)
- 2. Repeated until converge:
- (i) $c^{(i)} := \arg\min_j ||x^{(i)} \mu_j||^2, j \in [1:k]$, (i.e. $c^{(i)}$ denote which μ the $x^{(i)}$ is linked to. Link each data point to nearest μ_j . If $x^{(i)}$ is nearest to μ_s , then $c^{(i)} = j$. Thus, k partitions are created.)
- (ii) $\mu_j:=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{c^{(i)}=j\}x^{(i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^m 1\{c^{(i)}=j\}}$, (i.e. For each data point in each partition from (i), find the new centroid and assign to μ_k

Proof of convergence of the algorithm: consider

$$J(c,\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} ||x^{(i)} - \mu_{c^{(i)}}||^2$$

 $J(c,\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^m||x^{(i)}-\mu_{c^{(i)}}||^2$ Observation : J must be monotonically decreasing. It is because for step (i) It is adjusting $c^{(i)}$ to reduce J, for step (ii) we are adjusting μ_i to reduce J J is non-convext, it may get to local minimum. To try several random initial values, and choose the lowest J.

Linear Regression(MSE approach) 5

Hypothesis:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{j} \theta_{j} x_{j} = \theta^{\top} x$$

We want to minimize MSE (Mean Square Error)

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \left(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \left(\theta^{\top} x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right)^{2}$$

Gradient of J:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = \sum_{i} x_j^{(i)} \left(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)$$

Each θ_j is updated for each step by gradient descent algorithm.

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\theta)$$

Practically:

- (i) Learning rate α is hyperparameter and data dependent, larger, fewer steps to get to min. but may miss the minimum. (Monitor the loss curve, J value vs iteration).
- (ii) Batch GD is slow, may be Mini-Batch GD or Stochastic GD.
- (iii) If α is small but the loss oscillate, converged and stop learning.

6 Linear Regression(MLE approach)

7 Logistic Regression

$$P(y = 1|x) = h_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\theta^{\top}x)} \equiv \sigma(\theta^{\top}x)$$
$$P(y = 0|x) = 1 - P(y = 1|x) = 1 - h_{\theta}(x)$$

Loss function is

$$J(\theta) = -\sum_{i} \left[y^{(i)} \log(h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) \right]$$

Again, BGD for following gradient of J:

$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta_j} = \sum_i x^{(i)} j \left(h \theta(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)} \right)$$

Interpretation: For a particular sample: if h return 1/0 and y return 1/0, the term is 0. if h return 1/0 and y return 0/1, the term is positive infinity.

8 Logistic Regression(MLE approach)

9 Softmax Regression(Multi-Class Logistic)

k classes, n x k parameters , and the hypothesis is :

$$h_{\theta}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} P(y=1|x;\theta) \\ P(y=2|x;\theta) \\ \vdots \\ P(y=K|x;\theta) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\theta^{(j)\top}x)} \begin{bmatrix} \exp(\theta^{(1)\top}x) \\ \exp(\theta^{(2)\top}x) \\ \vdots \\ \exp(\theta^{(K)\top}x) \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Below Loss function is simple to understand: By referencing to previous hpothesis, we want to maximize the y=k associated probility.

$$J(\theta) = -\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{K} 1\{y^{(i)} = k\} \log \left(\frac{\exp(\theta^{(k)\top} x^{(i)})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\theta^{(j)\top} x^{(i)})} \right) \right]$$

Gradient of J is, we solve the problem by GD:

$$\nabla_{\theta^{(k)}} J(\theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[x^{(i)} \left(1\{y^{(i)} = k\} - P(y^{(i)} = k | x^{(i)}; \theta) \right) \right]$$

Where:

$$P(y^{(i)} = k | x^{(i)}; \theta) = \frac{\exp(\theta^{(k) \top} x^{(i)})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} \exp(\theta^{(j) \top} x^{(i)})}$$

10 BGD variation: Mini BGD/SGD

BGD use all training data in a single step, which is exteremely costly.

11 Loss function in Classification(Binary) Problem - General treatment

General Hypothesis : $h_{\theta}(x) = x^T \theta$

Adjustment for binary classification:

$$\operatorname{sign}(h_{\theta}(x)) = \operatorname{sign}(\theta^{T} x) = \operatorname{sign}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t = 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Measure of confidence : $h_{\theta}(x) = x^T \theta$ gives larger value, more confident

Margin ($yx^T\theta$) : (i) if $h_{\theta}(x)$ classify correctly, margin is positive, otherwise negative.

(ii) Therefore our objective is to maximize the margin (we want both correct classification and be confident)

Consider the following loss function:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi\left(y^{(i)} \theta^{T} x^{(i)}\right)$$

We want penalize wrong classification and encourage correct one , we design ϕ as $\phi(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$, while $\phi(z) \to \infty$ as $z \to -\infty$ where $z = yx^T\theta$, and examples are :

logistic loss : $\phi_{\text{logistic}}(z) = \log(1 + e^{-z})$, used in logistic regression

hinge loss : $\phi_{\text{hinge}}(z) = [1 - z]_{+} = \max 1 - z, 0$, used in SVM

Exponential loss $\phi_{\rm exp}(z) = e^{-z}$, used in boosting

12 Kernel Mapping (Special case demo by Linear Regression + Polymoninal Kernel)

- (I) Purpose : To x map from lower higher dimension. Useful when data are non-linearly separable(Transform to a curve)
- (II) Computation complexity does not necessarily increase proportionately.
- (III) Example : a mapping function $\varphi: R \to R^4$, $x \to [1, x, x^2, x^3]$, and h is $\theta^T x$ having $\theta = [\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4]$

(IV) Terms : x is called attribute, $x \to [1, x, x^2, x^3]$ called feature, φ feature map, $\varphi: R^1 \to R^4$ in this case. d =1 p = 4

(IV) Another Example : a mapping function $\varphi: R^3 \to R^{1000}$, $x \to [1, x_1, x_1^2, x_1^3, x_1x_2, x_1x_2^2, \dots]$ (*) ,let d = 3 , p =1000. If we exhaust all possibilities, then p = 1 + d + d^2 + d^3 (**)

Recall GD stepping:

$$\theta := \theta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{(i)} - h_{\theta}(x^{(i)})) x^{(i)}$$

$$\theta := \theta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{(i)} - \theta^{T} x^{(i)}) x^{(i)}$$

Putting kernel mapping to the equation:

$$\theta := \theta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{(i)} - \theta^{T} \phi(x^{(i)})) \phi(x^{(i)})$$

We pause here to evaluate the cost of computing each of update (Curse of Demensionality...), considering (**). If we just use the kernel direction, we suffer the curse of demensionality : Suppose d (data dimension) = 1000, then by using the mapping in (**) we have p = 10^9 . $\theta^T \phi(x^{(i)})$ need O(p) (dot product) , and O(np) for summing up all data in each step. Going back to BGD.

$$\theta := \theta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{(i)} - \theta^{T} \phi(x^{(i)})) \phi(x^{(i)})$$

, assuming $\theta = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \phi(x^{(i)})$ (*) at some point, with initialization $\theta = 0 = \beta$ It becomes

$$\theta := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \phi(x^{(i)}) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T \phi(x^{(i)})) \phi(x^{(i)})$$

Rearranging:

$$\theta := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i + \alpha(y^{(i)} - \theta^T \phi(x^{(i)}))) \phi(x^{(i)})$$

Therefore it is equivalent to updating β_i (instead of θ_i) by

$$\beta_i := \beta_i + \alpha(y^{(i)} - \theta^T \phi(x^{(i)}))$$

by (*) above

$$\beta_i := \beta_i + \alpha \left(y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \phi(x^{(j)})^T \phi(x^{(i)}) \right)$$

Computing of LHS is fast because : (1) we can pre-compute $\phi(x^{(j)})^T \phi(x^{(i)})$ for all i,j, and (2) $\phi(x^{(j)})^T \phi(x^{(i)})$ can be represented by $\langle x^{(i)}, x^{(j)} \rangle$: $\langle \phi(x), \phi(z) \rangle = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^d x_i z_i + \sum_{i,j \in \{1,...,d\}} x_i x_j z_i z_j + \sum_{i,j,k \in \{1,...,d\}} x_i x_j x_k z_i z_j z_k = 1 + \langle x, z \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle^2 + \langle x, z \rangle^3$ (**)

Define K where K is n x n (n is the number of training samples) matrix, with $K(x,z) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(z) \rangle$, where $K_i j$ is $\langle \phi(x^{(i)}), \phi(x^{(j)}) \rangle$

Therefore, the process is : (1) compute $K_i j$ using (**), for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Set $\beta := 0$,

(2) Loop

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \beta_i := \beta_i + \alpha \left(y^{(i)} - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j K(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) \right)$$

in vectorized notation:

$$\beta := \beta + \alpha(\tilde{y} - K\beta)$$

When doing inference:

$$\theta^{T}\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}\phi(x^{(i)})^{T}\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}K(x^{(i)}, x)$$

In practice, we do computation using K (at O(d) cost) instead of directly from $\phi(x)$ is much faster. Further, We only need to know K but "just only need to know" the existence of $\phi(x)$. There is no need to be able to write down $\phi(x)$. Consider the Kernel applied to bitmap: number of bits as d. (Great reduction!) Intuitively, K represents similarity matrix, i.e. K is small if $\phi(x^{(j)})^T \phi(x^{(i)})$ is small

Example : Gaussian Kernel, it can support inifinitely dimensional space of mapping.

$$K(x,z) = \exp\left(-\frac{||x-z||^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Mercer Theorem : For K to be a valid Kernel iff K is PSD.

Application : To SVM, perceptron, linear regression, and other learning algorithms represented only in inner product $\langle x, z \rangle$, then Apply K(x,z)

13 Generative vs Discriminative Learning Algorithm

14 Entropy

Definition:

$$H(x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(x_i) \log_2 p(x_i)$$

where $x_1, x_2...$ are all possible events of random variable (distribution) and $p(x_1), p(x_2)...$ are the probabilities of the respective events.

Connection with uncertainty (High Entropy , High Uncertainty) :

Entropy measure the uncertainity of a distribution. Consider a random variable distribution: X=1 at 0.33, X=2 at 0.33, X=3 at 0.33, and another: X=1 at 0.98, X=2 at 0.01, X=3 at 0.01, we say the former distribution has higher uncertainity (more difficult to guess its value).

Connection with amount of information in a *message* (not distribution):

Average number of bits (yes/no answers) NEEDED TO PROVIDE to tell x in a message. Therefore High Entropy. Higher Uncertainty , Higher Amount of Information.

Connection with Decision Tree splitting:

Remember that DT is greedy algorithm: It is to find the split that have greatest reduction in uncertainty (Information Gain) of the distribution (after splitting). We have a certain distribution

- 15 Decision Tree, with Random Forest, Boosting
- 16 Boostrapping
- 17 PCA, Principal Component Analysis
- 18 SVD, Singular Value Decomposition
- 19 SVM, Support Vector Machine
- 20 Backpropagation
- 21 EM Algorithm
- 22 Generative Learning Algorithm
- 23 Reinforcement learning
- 24 MAP (Maximum a Posterior) vs MLE (Maximum Likelihood Estimation)
- 25 IDP, Indepent Component Analysis
- 26 Bias Variance Analysis
- 27 Hidden Markov Model
- 28 Apriori
- 29 Recommender System
- 30 Anomaly Detection
- 31 Perceptron