

Nomes: Paulo Sergio Herval Silva Junior, Pedro de Sousa Alves Graça, Matheus Eduardo de Arazão, Bruno Pinheiro dos Reis, Anderson Felipe de Paiva

Respostas discursivas:

1. Pesquisa com Dados de Satélite (Satellite)
a. Resultados das Execuções:

Random Forest:

	Reference			
Prediction	red soil	cotton crop	grey soil	damp grey soil
red soil	301	0	3	1
cotton crop	0	138	1	0
grey soil	3	0	261	27
damp grey soil	0	0	4	79
vegetation stubble	2	0	0	0
very damp grey soil	0	2	2	18

	Reference			
Prediction	vegetation stubble	very damp grey soil		
red soil	5	0		
cotton crop	1	0		
grey soil	0	3		
damp grey soil	1	14		
vegetation stubble	127	3		
very damp grey soil	7	281		

Overall Statistics	
Accuracy	: 0.9245
95% CI	: (0.9086, 0.9383)
No Information Rate	: 0.2383
P-Value [Acc > NIR]	: < 2.2e-16
Kappa	: 0.9064

SVM:

Prediction	Reference			
	red soil	cotton crop	grey soil	damp grey soil
red soil	302	0	3	0
cotton crop	0	136	2	2
grey soil	2	0	260	27
damp grey soil	0	1	6	72
vegetation stubble	2	1	0	1
very damp grey soil	0	2	0	23

Prediction	Reference		
	vegetation stubble	very damp grey soil	
red soil	5	0	
cotton crop	2	1	
grey soil	0	6	
damp grey soil	0	24	
vegetation stubble	124	2	
very damp grey soil	10	268	

Overall Statistics

Accuracy : 0.905
95% CI : (0.8876, 0.9205)
No Information Rate : 0.2383
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa : 0.8823

RNA:

Prediction	Reference			
	red soil	cotton crop	grey soil	damp grey soil
red soil	290	0	0	3
cotton crop	1	138	2	5
grey soil	15	1	269	117
damp grey soil	0	0	0	0
vegetation stubble	0	1	0	0
very damp grey soil	0	0	0	0

Prediction	Reference		
	vegetation stubble	very damp grey soil	
red soil	9	0	
cotton crop	84	2	
grey soil	16	293	
damp grey soil	0	0	
vegetation stubble	32	6	
very damp grey soil	0	0	

Overall Statistics

Accuracy : 0.5678
95% CI : (0.5401, 0.5951)
No Information Rate : 0.2383
P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa : 0.4624

Tabela Comparativa

	Random Forest	SVM	RNA
Accuracy	0,9245	0,905	0,5678

b. Escolha do Modelo:

Com base na métrica de acurácia, o melhor modelo é o Random Forest, que obteve 0,9245 de Acurácia, contra 0,905 do SVM e 0,5678 do RNA.

2. Estimativa de volumes de árvores

a. Resultados das Execuções:

Random Forest

```
$R2
[1] 0.843136

$Syx
[1] 0.1496115

$Syx_percent
[1] 11.46422
```

SVM

```
$R2
[1] 0.8465577

$Syx
[1] 0.1479707

$Syx_percent
[1] 11.33849
```

Neural Network:

```
$R2
[1] -0.7244946

$Syx
[1] 0.49606

$Syx_percent
[1] 38.01139
```

SPURR:

```
$R2  
[1] 0.8263134  
  
$Syx  
[1] 0.1574296  
  
$Syx_percent  
[1] 12.0633
```

Tabela Comparativa

	Random Forest	SVM	Neural Network	SPURR
R²	0,843136	0,8465677	-0,7244946	0,8263134
Syx	0,1496115	0,1479707	0,49606	0,1574296
Syx Percent	11,4622	11,33849	38,01139	12,0633

b. Escolha do Modelo:

O modelo escolhido é o SVM devido a possuírem as melhores métricas, apesar do Random Forest ter um desempenho muito próximo.