Case Analysis

*Obrigatório

1.	E-mail *
2.	Start Time *
	Please indicate the time when you started to analyze this case. Take the time you think is necessary to analyze each case.
	Exemplo: 08h30
3.	In your opinion, is there a problem of structural degradation in this case? *
	Marcar apenas uma oval.
	Yes Pular para a pergunta 4
	No Pular para a pergunta 11
St	ructural Degradation Identified
4.	Description and justification of the degradation problem found *

The closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity	rovided by ed usefulness	d degradat	tion prob	lem? *
Architecture and Design Level How do you rate the severity of the ide The closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity How useful was the information provide conclusion? * The higher the rating, the greater the perceived use Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3	rovided by ed usefulness		tion prob	lem? *
How do you rate the severity of the identified the closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity of the identified and a severity of the closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity of the closer apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity	rovided by ed usefulness		tion prob	lem? *
The closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity	rovided by ed usefulness		tion prob	lem? *
The closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity	rovided by ed usefulness		tion prob	lem? *
The closer to 5, the greater the perceived severity Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity	rovided by ed usefulness		tion prob	lem? *
Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Severity	4 Crovided by ed usefulness 3 4 Crovided by ed usefulness	5		
Severity	rovided by red usefulness	5		
How useful was the information provide conclusion? * The higher the rating, the greater the perceived use Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Usefulness Describe what specific information was	rovided by red usefulness	5		
How useful was the information provide conclusion? * The higher the rating, the greater the perceived use Marcar apenas uma oval por linha. 1 2 3 Usefulness Describe what specific information was	ed usefulness 4 n was help			
How useful was the information provided conclusion? * The higher the rating, the greater the perceived use the management of the perceived use the perceive	ed usefulness 4 n was help			
Describe what specific information wa	n was help			
Describe what specific information wa		5		
oroblem. Such information may or may	may not h		_	_
	•	ave been p	provided	by the tool. *

9.	Do you believe that the refactorings suggested by the tool would be sufficient to remove the identified degradation problem? (for each smell check the "Refactorings Suggestion" tab) *					
	Marcar apenas uma oval.					
	Yes					
	No					
	Partially					
10.	Additional comments					
	Additional comments on this case or on your responses.					
Pul	ar para a pergunta 14					
No	o Structural Degradation					
11.	How useful was the information provided by the static analysis tool to reach this conclusion? *					
	The higher the rating, the greater the perceived usefulness.					
	Marcar apenas uma oval por linha.					
	1 2 3 4 5					
	Usefulness					

01/02/2021

01/02/2021 Case Analysis

15.

5.	Case Description *
	In the static analysis software, click the "Copy Data and Open Next Case" button. The case description will be copied to the clipboard. Paste here the case description provided by the experiment tool. If, for any reason, you lose data from the clipboard, the description can also be copied from a file ("case_ [case number]") that will be saved in the same folder as the static analysis software.

Este conteúdo não foi criado nem aprovado pelo Google.

Google Formulários