Written Tasks

Mandatory Assignment 1 - MAT-MEK4270

Anders Thorstad Bø

October 3, 2024

1.2.3 Exact solution

The wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \nabla^2 u \tag{1}$$

has the exact solution

$$u_e(t, x, y) = e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)} \tag{2}$$

where $i=\sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit, $k_{\alpha}=m_{\alpha}\pi,\ \alpha=(x,y)$ denotes the wave number in x and y, respectively, with m_{α} as an arbitrary integer. Here, ω , represents the wave dispersion coefficient.

To show that (2) satisfies (1), we can start calculating the different second derivatives of u_e as

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}(u_e) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (-i\omega \ e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) =
= -\omega^2 \ e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) = -\omega^2 \ u_e$$
(3)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(u_e) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (ik_x e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) =
= -k_x^2 e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) = -k_x^2 u_e$$
(4)

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(u_e) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (ik_y e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) =
= -k_y^2 e^{i(k_x x + k_y y - \omega t)}) = -k_y^2 u_e$$
(5)

where we use that $i^2 = -1$. Substituting these three into (1), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_e}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \nabla^2 u_e \implies -\omega^2 u_e = c^2 (-k_x^2 u_e - k_y^2 u_e) = -c^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2) u_e \tag{6}$$

The general definition of the dispersion coefficient, ω , is

$$\omega = |\mathbf{k}|c$$
, for $\mathbf{k} = k_x \mathbf{i} + k_y \mathbf{j}$

Using this in the LHS of Eq.(6), gives

$$-\omega^{2} u_{e} = -(|\mathbf{k}|c)^{2} u_{e} = -c^{2}(|k_{x}\mathbf{i} + k_{y}\mathbf{j}|^{2} =$$

$$= -c^{2}(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2})u_{e}$$
(7)

making the LHS equal the RHS of Eq. (6) s.t. Eq. (2) satisfies Eq. (1).

1.2.4 Dispersion coefficient

If we assume $m_x = m_y$, s.t. $k_x = k_y = k$, we can write a discrete version of (2) as

$$u_{rs}^{n} = e^{i(kh(r+s) - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \tag{8}$$

where $x_r = rh$, $y_s = sh$, and $t = n\Delta t$ is the discrete versions of x, y, t, with $h, \Delta t$ is the step sizes in space and time. Similar to (2), $\tilde{\omega}$ is the numerical approximation of the exact wave dispersion coefficient, ω .

Using the property of addition for exponents, we can rewrite (8) as

$$u_{rs}^{n} = e^{i(kh(r+s)} \left(e^{-i(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)} \right)^{n} \tag{9}$$

If we define $A = e^{-i(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)}$, and $E(r,s) = e^{i(kh(r+s))}$, we can write this on a more compact for as

$$u_{rs}^n = A^n E(r, s) (10)$$

A discrete version of (1) based on a central finite differences scheme reads as

$$\frac{u_{rs}^{n+1} - 2u_{rs}^{n} + u_{rs}^{n-1}}{\Delta t^{2}} = c^{2} \left(\frac{u_{r+1,s}^{n} - 2u_{r,s}^{n} + u_{r-1,s}^{n}}{h^{2}} + \frac{u_{r,s+1}^{n} - 2u_{r,s}^{n} + u_{r,s-1}^{n}}{h^{2}} \right)$$
(11)

Substituting (10) into the numerical stencil in (11), we get

$$\frac{(A^{n+1} - 2A^n + A^{n-1})E(r,s)}{\Delta t^2} = \frac{c^2 A^n}{h^2} \Big[E(r+1,s) - 2E(r,s) + E(r-1,s) \dots \\ \dots + E(r,s+1) - 2E(r,s) + E(r,s-1) \Big]$$
(12)

In order to isolate A, we can multiply by some common elements, namely $\frac{\Delta t^2}{A^n E(r,s)}$, which yields

$$A - 2 + A^{-1} = \left(\frac{c\Delta t}{h}\right)^2 \left(\frac{E(r+1,s)}{E(r,s)} + \frac{E(r,s-1)}{E(r,s)} + \frac{E(r,s+1)}{E(r,s)} + \frac{E(r,s-1)}{E(r,s)} - 4\right)$$
(13)

as
$$\frac{A^{n+1}}{A^n} = A$$
 and $\frac{A^{n-1}}{A^n} = A^{-1}$.

This can be further simplified by recognizing

$$\frac{E(r+1,s)}{E(r,s)} = \frac{e^{ikh(r+1+s)}}{e^{ikh(r+s)}} = \frac{e^{ikh(r+s)}e^{ikh}}{e^{ikh(r+s)}} = e^{ikh}$$
(14)

$$\frac{E(r,s-1)}{E(r,s)} = \frac{e^{ikh(r+s-1)}}{e^{ikh(r+s)}} = \frac{e^{ikh(r+s)}e^{-ikh}}{e^{ikh(r+s)}} = e^{-ikh}$$
(15)

With similar relations for the instances with E(r-1,s) and E(r,s+1), we can write (13) as

$$A - 2 + A^{-1} = \left(\frac{c\Delta t}{h}\right)^2 \left(2e^{ikh} + 2e^{-ikh} - 4\right)$$
 (16)

Lets denote $\frac{c\Delta t}{h} = C$. As $2\cos(x) = e^{ix} + e^{-ix}$, we can write

$$A - 2 + A^{-1} = C^{2}(4\cos(kh) - 4) \Rightarrow A + A^{-1} = 2 + 4C^{2}(\cos(kh) - 1) = \beta$$
 (17)

For stability of the discrete solution we require |A| < 1. The equation in (17) implies that |A| = 1 if

$$-2 \leqslant \beta \leqslant 2 \tag{18}$$

so we get

$$-2 \leqslant 2 + 4C^{2}(\cos(kh) - 1) \leqslant 2 \implies -1 \leqslant C^{2}(\cos(kh) - 1) \leqslant 0$$
(19)

Recognizing that the term $\cos(kh)$ will get its smallest, i.e. "worst outcome" for our inequality, for $\cos(kh) = -1$, for $kh = (2k+1)\pi$, we get

$$-1 \leqslant -2C^2 \leqslant 0 \Rightarrow 2C^2 \leqslant 1 \Rightarrow C \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \tag{20}$$

Going back to Eq. (17), substituting back for A^n , and rearranging, gives

$$e^{-i(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)} + e^{i(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)} = 4C^2 \cos(kh) - 4C^2 + 2 \tag{21}$$

Substituting for $C^2=1/\sqrt{2}$, and writing $e^{-i(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)}+e^{i(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)}=2\cos(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t)$, as before, we get

$$2\cos(\tilde{\omega}\Delta t) = 2\cos(kh) \tag{22}$$

Isolating $\tilde{\omega}$,

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{kh}{\Delta t} \tag{23}$$

With $\omega = kc$, and

$$C = \frac{c\Delta t}{h} \implies h = \frac{c\Delta t}{C} \tag{24}$$

this then becomes

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{k}{\Delta t} \frac{c\Delta t}{C} = \frac{\omega}{C} \tag{25}$$

This relation only becomes equal to each other for C=1. We found/was given $C=1/\sqrt{2}$, and I struggle to see how to do this differently to get rid of the Courant number here.