

Problem 1

For $T(n)$ to be a member of the set $O(f(n))$ there must exist a constant c such that $T(n) \leq c f(n)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ where n_0 is a constant.

$$T(n) = \log_2(n) \quad f(n) = \log_{10}(n)$$

$$(\exists c)(\forall n)(T(n) \in O(f(n)) \rightarrow T(n) \leq c f(n))$$

$$T(n) \in O(f(n)) \rightarrow T(n) \leq 4 f(n)$$

$$\neg(T(n) \in O(f(n))) \vee T(n) \leq 4 f(n)$$

Because $\neg(T(n) \in O(f(n)))$ is false for all functions $T(n)$ that are members of $O(f(n))$ we will prove that $T(n) \leq 4 f(n)$ is true for $T(n) = \log_2(n)$ and $f(n) = \log_{10}(n)$ thus proving our initial conjecture.

Base case $n_0 = 1$

$$\log_2(1) \leq 4(\log_{10}(1))$$

$$0 \leq 4(0)$$

$$0 \leq 0$$

True

Inductive Step $n = n+1$

$$\log_2(n+1) \leq 4 \log_{10}(n+1)$$

$$\log_2(n+1) \leq 4 \left(\frac{\log_2(n+1)}{\log_2(10)} \right)$$

{ Prop. of logs }

$$\frac{\log_2(n+1)}{\log_2(n+1)} \leq 4 \frac{1}{\log_2(10)}$$

$$1 \leq 4 \left(\frac{1}{\log_2(10)} \right)$$

$$1 \leq 4 (.30103)$$

$$1 \leq 1.2$$

True

Problem 2

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \leq 1 \\ 2T(\frac{n}{3}) + (\frac{n}{3})^2 & \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{3}) + (\frac{n}{3})^2$$

$$= 2(2T(\frac{n}{9}) + (\frac{n}{9})^2) + (\frac{n}{3})^2$$

$$= 4T(\frac{n}{9}) + \frac{11n^2}{81}$$

$$= 4(2T(\frac{n}{27}) + (\frac{n}{27})^2) + \frac{11n^2}{81}$$

...

$$= 2^i T(\frac{n}{3^i}) + (\frac{n}{3^i})^2$$

$$\text{for } i = \lg n$$

$$= n T(\frac{n}{n}) + (\frac{n}{n})^2$$

$$= n T(1) + 1 = n \cdot 1 + 1 = n + 1 \in \Theta(n)$$

$$\boxed{\therefore T(n) \in \Theta(n)}$$

Problem 3

Todd Muller

W#: 06828922

Josh Blaney

W#: 08683978

[1, 7, 1, 5, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 7, 1, 5, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 7, 5, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 7, 5, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 7, 5, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 7, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 7, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 7, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 7, 3, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 3, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 3, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 5, 3, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, 7, -1, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, -1, 7, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, -1, 7, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, -1, 7, 9]

[1, 1, 3, 5, -1, 7, 9]

[1, 1, 3, -1, 5, 7, 9]

[1, 1, 3, -1, 5, 7, 9]

[1, 1, 3, -1, 5, 7, 9]

[1, 1, -1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

Problem 3

Todd Muller

W#: 06828922

Josh Blaney

W#: 08683978

[1, 1, -1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[1, -1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[-1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[-1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[-1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[-1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[-1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

[-1, 1, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9]

Problem 4

The runtime complexity for our mergesort function is:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \leq 1 \\ n & \text{List is sorted} \\ \frac{n^5 - n^4}{8^i} - \frac{2n^4 - 2n^3}{4^i} + \frac{n^3 - n^2}{2^i} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$i = \log n$$

$$\frac{n^5 - n^4}{n} - \frac{2n^4 - 2n^3}{n} + \frac{n^3 - n^2}{n}$$

$$n^4 - n^3 - (2n^3 - 2n^2) + n^2 - n$$

$$n^4 - 3n^3 + 3n^2 - n$$

$$n^4 - 3n^3 + 3n^2 - n \in \Theta(n^4)$$

Problem 5

From "Discrete Mathematics and its Applications" by Kenneth H. Rosen the probability of an event occurring is $P|E| = \frac{|E|}{|S|}$ where $|E|$ is the event and $|S|$ is the sample space of equally likely outcomes.

$$|E| = (2 - 1)(2)3!$$

The event is choosing a value between $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ with $3!$ possible pivot combinations. (low, good, or high)

$$|S| = \frac{n!}{(n-3)!} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)!}{(n-3)!} = n(n-1)(n-2)$$

The sample space of equally likely outcomes is equal to the total possible samples divided by the remaining possible samples after removing 3 elements.

$$P|E| = \frac{(2-1)(2)3!}{n(n-1)(n-2)} \cdot n = \frac{(n-2)(n)3}{2(n-1)(n-2)n} = \frac{3}{2n-1} \cdot n$$

Variable added to find probabilistic ratio.

which gives us a probabilistic ratio of 1.5 when n goes to infinity.

Thus since 1 pivot quicksort has a 50% chance of finding a good pivot, the best possible input size for a 3 pivot quicksort, barring lists of size 0, 1, 2 and 3 will have a 75% chance of choosing a good pivot. From the best case the probability of choosing a good pivot using median of 3 will slowly

Todd Muller
W#06828922
Josh Baney
W#08683978

decrease to 50% at ∞ . Since we are not sorting infinitely long lists quicksort utilizing a median of 3 pivot system will have a higher chance of finding a good pivot than a single pivot implementation of quicksort.