L11 Homework 3

Andi Zhang

October 2017

1 An Interesting Property of Lift

Def 1.1 (Lifted product semigroup). Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup. Let $lift(S, \bullet) \equiv (fin(2^S), \hat{\bullet})$, where

$$X \hat{\bullet} Y = \{x \bullet y | x \in X, y \in Y\}$$

Prop 1.1. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and |S| > 2, we have

$$\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet)$$

Proof. $\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Rightarrow \forall X, Y \in fin(2^S), X \hat{\bullet} Y = \{x \bullet y | x \in X, y \in Y\} \in \{X, Y\}, \text{ so } X \hat{\bullet} Y = X \text{ or } Y$ Let $a \in S$, then $a \in \{a\}$. $\{a \bullet a\} = \{a\} \hat{\bullet} \{a\} = \{a\} \text{ or } \{a\} = \{a\}, \text{ so } a \bullet a = a, \text{ we have } \{a\} = \{a\}, \text{ or } \{a\}, \text{ or } \{a\} = \{a\}, \text{ or } \{a\}, \text{ or } \{a\} = \{a\}, \text{ or } \{a\} = \{a\}, \text{ or$

Prop 1.2. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2)$$

Proof. Suppose (for contradiction that)

 $\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet)$

$$\neg (\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2))$$

$$\neg (\neg \mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \vee (\mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2)))$$

$$\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \wedge \neg (\mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2))$$

$$\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \wedge \neg \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \wedge \neg \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \wedge \neg (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2)$$

$$\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \wedge \neg \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \wedge \neg \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \wedge (\neg \mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \vee |S| > 2)$$

Case 1. $\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \land \neg \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \land \neg \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \land \neg \mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet)$

By contraposition of Prop 1.1, we have $\neg \mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \Rightarrow \neg \mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))$, giving a contradiction! Case $2.\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \wedge \neg \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \wedge \neg \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| > 2$ $\neg \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \Rightarrow \exists a, b \in S, a \bullet b \neq a$ $Claim. \ a \neq b$

Proof of Claim. Suppose (for contradiction) that a = b, then

$$\{a \bullet b\} = \{a\} \hat{\bullet} \{b\} = \{a\} \hat{\bullet} \{a\} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))} \{a\}$$

So $a \bullet b = a$, contradict to the fact that $a \bullet b \neq a$

Then we have
$$\begin{cases} a \bullet b \neq a \\ \{a \bullet b\} = \{a\} \hat{\bullet} \{b\} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))} \{a\} \text{ or } \{b\} \end{cases} \Rightarrow a \bullet b = b$$
 Similarly, we have $\neg \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \Rightarrow \exists c, d \in S, c \bullet d \neq d$, then $c \neq d$ and $c \bullet d = c$.

Now we have

$$\begin{cases} \exists a, b \in S, a \neq b, a \bullet b = b \\ \exists c, d \in S, c \neq d, c \bullet d = c \end{cases}$$

Case 2.1. $b \neq c$

We have

$$\{a,c\} \hat{\bullet} \{b,d\} = \{a \bullet b, a \bullet d, c \bullet b, c \bullet d\} = \{b,c,a \bullet d, c \bullet b\}$$

Then

$$\begin{vmatrix} b \neq a \\ b \neq c \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow b \notin \{a, c\} \Rightarrow \{b, c, a \bullet d, c \bullet b\} \neq \{a, c\}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} c \neq b \\ c \neq d \end{vmatrix} \Rightarrow c \notin \{b, d\} \Rightarrow \{b, c, a \bullet d, c \bullet b\} \neq \{b, d\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ contradict to } \mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))$$

Case 2.2.b = cClaim. $a \neq d$.

Proof of Claim. Suppose (for contradiction) that a = d, then $\begin{cases} a = d \\ b = c \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \bullet b = b \\ b \bullet a = b \end{cases}$ $|S| > 2 \Rightarrow \exists e \in S, e \neq a, e \neq b.$

Then

$$\{a\} \hat{\bullet} \{b,e\} = \{a \bullet b, a \bullet e\} \xrightarrow{\underline{a \bullet b = b}} \{b, a \bullet e\} \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))}} a \bullet e = e$$

$$\{a,b\} \hat{\bullet} \{e\} = \{a \bullet e, b \bullet e\} \xrightarrow{\underline{a \bullet e = e}} \{e,b \bullet e\} \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))}} b \bullet e = e$$

$$\{b\} \hat{\bullet} \{a,e\} = \{b \bullet a, b \bullet e\} \xrightarrow{\underline{b \bullet e = e}} \{b \bullet a, e\} \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))}} b \bullet a = a$$

Hence $b = b \bullet a = a$, contradict to the fact that $a \neq b!$

We have

$$\{a\} \hat{\bullet} \{b,d\} = \{a \bullet b, a \bullet d\} \xrightarrow{\underline{a \bullet b = b}} \{b, a \bullet d\} \xrightarrow{\underline{\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))}} a \bullet d = d$$

Then,

$$\{a,b\} \hat{\bullet} \{d\} = \{a \bullet d, b \bullet d\} \xrightarrow[c \bullet d = c,b = c]{} \{d,b\} = \{b,d\}$$

We have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} d \neq c = b \\ d \neq a \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow d \not \in \{a,b\} \Rightarrow \{b,d\} \neq \{a,b\} \\ b = c \neq d \Rightarrow b \not \in \{d\} \Rightarrow \{b,d\} \neq \{d\} \\ \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ contradict to } \mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))$$

Hence we have got contradictions in all cases!

Prop 1.3. Assume that (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \Rightarrow \mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet))$$

Proof. Let
$$X, Y \in lift(S, \bullet)$$
Case 1. $X \neq \{\}$ and $Y \neq \{\}$
then $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_m\}, Y = \{y_1, y_2, ..., y_n\}, \text{ we have}$

$$X \bullet Y = \{x_1 \bullet y_1, x_1 \bullet y_2, ..., x_1 \bullet y_n, \\ \vdots \\ x_m \bullet y_1, x_2 \bullet y_2, ..., x_m \bullet y_n\}$$

$$= \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$$

Prop 1.6. Assume that (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2)$$

Proof. By Prop 1.2, Prop 1.3, Prop 1.4 and Prop 1.5, we have

$$\begin{split} & \text{Prop 1.3} \\ & \text{Prop 1.4} \\ & \text{Prop 1.5} \\ \end{aligned} \Rightarrow \left(\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Leftarrow \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2) \right) \\ & \text{Prop 1.2} \Rightarrow \left(\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Rightarrow \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2) \right) \\ & \Rightarrow \left(\mathbb{SL}(lift(S, \bullet)) \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{IL}(S, \bullet) \vee \mathbb{IR}(S, \bullet) \vee (\mathbb{IP}(S, \bullet) \wedge |S| = 2) \right) \end{split}$$

2 When $union_lift(S, \bullet)$ is a semiring

Def 2.1. $union_lift(S, \bullet) \equiv (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet})$

Prop 2.1. The identity of monoid (S, \bullet) is unique.

Proof. Let α, β be two identities of (S, \bullet) . Then $\forall x \in S, x \bullet \alpha = x = \beta \bullet x$, so

$$\left. \begin{array}{l}
\alpha \bullet \alpha = \alpha = \beta \bullet \alpha \\
\beta \bullet \alpha = \beta = \beta \bullet \beta
\end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta \bullet \alpha = \beta$$

Prop 2.2. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$,

 $union_lift(S, \bullet)$ is a semiring $\Rightarrow (S, \bullet)$ has identity

Proof.

$$union_lift(S, \bullet)$$
 is a semiring $\Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ is a monoid
 $\Rightarrow \exists \bar{1} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\} \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S) \text{ s.t. } \forall X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \bar{1}\hat{\bullet}X = X\hat{\bullet}\bar{1} = X$

 $\bar{1}$ is unique by Prop 2.1.

Claim. $\bar{1} \neq \{\}$

Proof of Claim. Suppose $\bar{1} = \{\}$, then $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \bar{1} \hat{\bullet} X = \{\}$, giving contradiction. \Box Let $x \in S$, then $\{x\} \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$, we have

$$\bar{1}\hat{\bullet}\{x\} = \{x\}\hat{\bullet}\bar{1} = \{x\}$$
$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\}\hat{\bullet}\{x\} = \{x\}\hat{\bullet}\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\} = \{x\}$$
$$\{\alpha_1 \bullet x, \alpha_2 \bullet x, ..., \alpha_n \bullet x\} = \{x \bullet \alpha_1, x \bullet \alpha_2, ..., x \bullet \alpha_n\} = \{x\}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_1 \bullet x = \dots = \alpha_n \bullet x = x = x \bullet \alpha_1 = \dots = x \bullet \alpha_n \Rightarrow \forall i \in [1, n], \alpha_i \bullet x = x = x \bullet \alpha_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall i \in [1, n], \alpha_i \text{ is the identity.}$$

$$\text{Prop. 2.1, identity is unique}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n$$

Hence we have $\bar{1} = \{\alpha_1\}$, where α_1 is the identity of (S, \bullet)

Prop 2.3. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$,

$$(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \{\})$$
 is a commutative monoid.

Proof.

$$\forall A, B, C \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), (A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)
\forall A, B \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), A \cup B \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)
\exists \{\} \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \forall A \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \{\} \cup A = A \cup \{\} = A \Rightarrow \{\} \text{ is the identity}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \{\}) \text{ is monoid} \\ \forall A, B \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), A \cup B = B \cup A \} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \{\}) \text{ is a commutative monoid.}$$

Prop 2.4. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$,

$$(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$$
 is a semigroup.

Proof.

Let
$$A, B, C \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$$
, then $(A \hat{\bullet} B) \hat{\bullet} C = \{a \bullet b\}_{a \in A, b \in B} \hat{\bullet} \{c\}_{c \in C} = \{a \bullet b \bullet c\}_{a \in A, b \in B, c \in C}$

$$= \{a\}_{a \in A} \hat{\bullet} \{b \bullet c\}_{b \in B, c \in C} = A \hat{\bullet} (B \hat{\bullet} C)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet}) \text{ is associative}$$

Let
$$A, B \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$$
, then $A \hat{\bullet} B = \{a \bullet b\}_{a \in A, b \in B} \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ is associative $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ is associative $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ is closure $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet}$ is closure

Prop 2.5. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$,

$$(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet})$$
 is a pre-semiring.

Proof.

By Prop 2.3,
$$(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup)$$
 is a commutative monoid.
By Prop 2.4, $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ is a semigroup.

Claim. $\mathbb{LD}(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet})$

Proof of Claim. Let $A, B, C \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$, then $\exists m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}^0, A = \{a_1, ..., a_m\}, B = \{b_1, ..., b_n\}, C = \{c_1, ..., c_k\}$

$$\begin{split} A \hat{\bullet} (B \cup C) = & \{a_1, ..., a_m\} \hat{\bullet} (\{b_1, ..., b_n\} \cup \{c_1, ..., c_k\}) \\ = & \{a_1, ..., a_m\} \hat{\bullet} \{b_1, ..., b_n, c_1, ..., c_k\} \\ = & \{a_1 \bullet b_1, ..., a_1 \bullet b_n, a_m \bullet b_1, ..., a_m \bullet b_n, a_1 \bullet c_1, ..., a_1 \bullet c_k, a_m \bullet c_1, ..., a_m \bullet c_k\} \\ = & \{a_1 \bullet b_1, ..., a_1 \bullet b_n, a_m \bullet b_1, ..., a_m \bullet b_n\} \cup \{a_1 \bullet c_1, ..., a_1 \bullet c_k, a_m \bullet c_1, ..., a_m \bullet c_k\} \\ = & (A \hat{\bullet} B) \cup (A \hat{\bullet} C) \end{split}$$

Hence we have $\mathbb{LD}(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet})$.

 $\mathbb{RD}(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet})$ is similar. Hence,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet}) \text{ is a bi-semigroup} \\ \cup \text{ is clearly commutative} \\ \mathbb{LD}(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet}) \text{ and } \mathbb{RD}(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet}) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet}) \text{ is a pre-semiring.}$$

Prop 2.6. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$,

 (S, \bullet) has identity \Rightarrow union_lift (S, \bullet) is a semiring

Proof. By Prop 2.3, we know that $\{\}$ is the identity of $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$, then $\{\}\hat{\bullet}A = A\hat{\bullet}\{\} = \{\}$, so $\{\}$ is an annihilator for $\hat{\bullet}$.

As we assumed, (S, \bullet) has identity, then by Prop 2.1, it has a unique identity α . Claim. $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet}, \{\alpha\})$ is a monoid.

Proof of Claim. By Prop 2.4, $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ is a semi-group. Let $A \in \mathcal{P}_{fin}(S)$, then $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^0, A = \{a_0, ..., a_n\}$, then

$$\{\alpha\} \hat{\bullet} A = \{\alpha\} \hat{\bullet} \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$$

$$= \{\alpha \bullet a_1, ..., \alpha \bullet a_n\}$$

$$= \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$$

$$= A$$

$$= \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$$

$$= \{a_1 \bullet \alpha, ..., a_n \bullet \alpha\}$$

$$= A \hat{\bullet} \{\alpha\}$$

Hence $\{\alpha\}$ is the unique identity of $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet})$ by Prop 2.1. So $(\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet}, \{\alpha\})$ is a monoid.

Hence we have

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \{\} \text{ is an annihilator for } \hat{\bullet} \\ (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \hat{\bullet}, \{\alpha\}) \text{ is a monoid} \\ \text{By Prop 2.5, } (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet}) \text{ is a pre-semiring.} \\ \text{By Prop 2.3, } (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \{\}) \text{ is a commutative monoid.} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet}) \text{ is a semiring.}$$

So $union_lift(S, \bullet) \equiv (\mathcal{P}_{fin}(S), \cup, \hat{\bullet})$ is a semiring.

Prop 2.7. Assume (S, \bullet) is a semigroup and $|S| \geq 2$,

 $union_lift(S, \bullet)$ is a semiring $\Leftrightarrow (S, \bullet)$ has identity

Proof. By Prop 2.2 and Prop 2.6, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} union_lift(S, \bullet) \text{ is a semiring} \Rightarrow (S, \bullet) \text{ has identity} \\ (S, \bullet) \text{ has identity} \Rightarrow union_lift(S, \bullet) \text{ is a semiring} \end{array}$$

 $\Rightarrow (union lift(S, \bullet) \text{ is a semiring } \Leftrightarrow (S, \bullet) \text{ has identity})$