



Andi Sama (2540136324)



Prof. Dr. Ir. Meyliana, S.Kom., M.M., IPU, CDMS, CBDMP, CME



Co-Promotor 1 Dr. Ir. Yaya Heryadi, M.Sc.



Co-Promotor 2 Ir. Taufik, S.T., M.M., Ph.D., IPM

# Lightweight Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Model to Secure Data Transfer in Industrial Control Systems for Smart Factory in Manufacturing Industry

### **Background**

- ✓ Security threats in OT systems are a recent trend in ICS, Industrial Control Systems (Stouffer et al., 2023; Jayalaxmi et al., 2021).
- ✓ The IT/OT convergence introduces security risks to OT systems (Cyber attack), including PLCs, the core controller in ICS (Wu H, Geng Y, Liu K, Liu W., 2019)
- ✓ Lack of encryption in industrial protocols. A secure communication between IoT devices to protect the data is essential [Jayalaxmi, P. et al. (2021)]
- ✓ PLC has been the core automation in Industrial Automation and the manufacturing industry since the beginning of Industry 3.0 (Yadav R, Namekar S., 2020)
- ✓ Symmetric scheme is computationally inexpensive compared to the Asymmetric [Maqsood, F. et al. (2017)], suitable for ICS (low resource requirements). ✓ In 2000, NIST announced the selection of the Rijndael block cipher family for the AES for Symmetric Encryption [Morris J. Dworkin, 2023]
- ✓ For the security aspect and implementation complexity, AES is considered as one of the strongest and most efficient algorithms [John, S. k (2023)]
- ✓ Modifying the existing algorithm: AES for lightweight applications is possible [John, S. k (2023)]
- ✓ The Lightweight AES (LAES) algorithm increased higher than AES by 4.2969% in terms of avalanche effect, meaning increased security [Salman, R.S., Farhan, A.K. and Shakir, A. (2022); Acla, H.B., and Gerardo, B.D. (2019)]

In Industrial Control Systems (ICS) within Industry 4.0, lightweight cryptography (LWC) is crucial for securing the Internet of Things (IoT)/Industrial IoT (IIoT). IoT devices have limited resources (memory, CPU, and battery) and thus require light techniques for securing communications. LWC is a collection of solutions for encryption techniques that feature devices with low computational complexity. It aims to expand the applications of cryptography to limited-resource devices while providing a high level of security (Mammeri, 2024, p. 194).

### **Research Objectives**

Securing data exchange in ICS through the modification of the symmetric-based encryption algorithm, based on the AES, Advanced Encryption Standard, for lightweight applications.

## Methodology

- Action research: Systematically looks at the problem and tries various solutions.
- Quantitative: comparative (execution times) between MLAES (Modified Lightweight AES) and AES


# Research Design Phase-1: Find out factors affecting the {Scopus, IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar Articles from the initial search Systematic Literature Review (PRISMA)

Selected final articles

Analyze and Report


Computational

Workload




## **Research Questions**

RQ-1: What factors that affect the performance of the lightweight Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) algorithm? RQ-2: How to obtain an improved version of the AES algorithm that runs faster but utilizes fewer resources?



### **Model, MLAES – Modified AES for Lightweight Applications**



### Research Results (Phase-2), Experiments

Research Results (Phase-3), Findings for RQ2

dataset\dataset\_laes\_sota.txt hex\_text

Key, iv (initialization vector), plaintext, ciphertext: 128-bit block; algorithm: aes | mlaes | aes fz | mlaes fz

\*1)Salman, R.S., Farhan, A.K. and Shakir, A. (2022); Acla, H.B. and Gerardo, B.D. (2019)

With SoTA (state-of-the-art) dataset from the reference paper (Acla and Gerardo, 2019; Salman, Farhan, and Shakir, 2022), the Modified Lightweight AES (MLAES) achieved 53.6719% in average avalanche effect. MLAES is 1.5625%, 1.4063%, and 0.6250% higher than AES with fuzzy logic (52.1094%,  $\mu$ =1.0500), MLAES with fuzzy logic (52.2656%, μ=0.9500), and the SoTA Lightweight AES, LAES (**53.0469%**)—fuzzy logic with a tent chaotic map inference rule and a center-of-gravity method for defuzzification. Despite insignificant statistical performance improvement (fivefold dataset, SoTA+) with the Mann-Whitney statistical test, MLAES has qualitatively better average avalanche effect than the standard (AES), LAES, and MLAES with Fuzzy Logic ( $\alpha$ =0.05).

# Conclusion

MLAES algorithm, is based on the AES with the purpose to obtain an improved version that runs faster while utilizing fewer resources while providing secure data exchange within ICS environment. MLAES enables a more efficient and secure data exchange among connected systems in ICS by embedding security, making them less vulnerable to cyber attacks in Industry 4.0. In terms of execution time performance during prototyping, MLAES is faster than AES (statistically significant), while maintaining the same level of security performance.

## **Benefits/Implications**

A better result, on average, in terms of avalanche effect, although statistically insignificant, indicates a qualitatively better security. MLAES provides lightweight security to improve computation time (faster execution) for low-resource devices such as IoT within ICS in the Manufacturing Industry or Industrial Automation in general. MLAES will provide less latency in an FPGA-based hardware implementation compared to a software implementation, such as PLC firmware or a Node-RED application, in an edge computing gateway.

MLAES, based on AES-128 is limited to 128-bit (key size).

### protocol: websocket PLC **SCADA** Gateway Gateway connect to ws://localhost:1880/ws listen on ws://localhost:1880/ws http://localhost:8443 http://localhost:8080/ScadaBR/ id: boschrexroth id: admin, pwd: admin wd: boschrexrotl Rexroth virtual PLC multiple blocks of read(plaintext\_in) Node-RED Node-RED scheduled export(plaintext) Decrypt plaintext in (mlaes) (mlaes) Dataset from Reference Paper\* encrypted.bin encrypted\_received.bin PLC generated data mlaes cbcnr ecb mlaes decrypt key iv mlaes cbcnr ecb mlaes encrypt key iv

encrypted received.bin hex text

### In the prototyping (SoTA dataset, N = 600for each (MLAES, AES) and operations (encrypt, $\alpha$ =0.01), there is a statistically significant mean difference in the execution time for the encryption decryption between the MLAES and AES algorithms, validated by t-test statistics for two independent

samples.

**Publications** 

- Conference, Procedia Computer Science, 2023, Indexed, Acquiring Automation and Control Data in The Manufacturing Industry: A Systematic Review (citation: 4)
- Conference, ICICyTA, 2023, Indexed, Implementation of Lightweight PRNG on PLC for Industrial Control Systems
- Conference for RSCH9016 Publication I (ICCTech, 2024, Indexed), Random Number Generator for Securing Data Exchange in Smart Factory Recent Trends and Best Practices
- Journal for RSCH9018 Publication II (IJSSE, 2024, Indexed), Lightweight Pseudo Random Number Generator for Embedded Systems - Journal for RSCH9020 Publication III (IJSSE, 2025, Indexed), Modified Lightweight Advanced Encryption Standard for Lightweight Applications

In terms of execution time, MLAES is faster than AES, by utilizing fewer resources (decreasing the original AES rounds from 10 to 8).

algorithm

mode of

decrypt),

operations

## Limitations

Prototyping is on local network. However, it is expected that the execution times of MLAES/AES encryption/decryption will be similar to the actual PLC, e.g., with JSON dataset.

## **Suggestion/Future Work**

Future theoretical contributions could enhance the algorithm by further exploring the transformation into an Sbox table within the SubBytes operation, modifying the ShiftRows and MixColumns algorithms, and considering the use of lightweight computing power and limited resources in embedded systems. Future practical implementation research may involve implementing the MLAES on PLCs within the firmware or FPGA; or within PLCs that support Node-RED, edge computing, or IoT devices within ICS.