# 3. Worksheet: Basic R

Andrea Phillips; Z620: Quantitative Biodiversity, Indiana University
14 January, 2019

## **OVERVIEW**

This worksheet introduces some of the basic features of the R computing environment (http://www.r-project. org). It is designed to be used along side the **3. RStudio** handout in your binder. You will not be able to complete the exercises without the corresponding handout.

#### **Directions:**

- 1. Change "Student Name" on line 3 (above) with your name.
- 2. Complete as much of the worksheet as possible during class.
- 3. Use the handout as a guide; it contains a more complete description of data sets along with examples of proper scripting needed to carry out the exercises.
- 4. Answer questions in the worksheet. Space for your answers is provided in this document and is indicated by the ">" character. If you need a second paragraph be sure to start the first line with ">". You should notice that the answer is highlighted in green by RStudio.
- 5. Before you leave the classroom today, it is *imperative* that you **push** this file to your GitHub repo.
- 6. When you have completed the worksheet, **Knit** the text and code into a single PDF file by pressing the **Knit** button in the RStudio scripting panel. This will save the PDF output in your '3.RStudio' folder.
- 7. After Knitting, please submit the worksheet by making a **push** to your GitHub repo and then create a **pull request** via GitHub. Your pull request should include this file (**3.RStudio\_Worksheet.Rmd**) with all code blocks filled out and questions answered) and the PDF output of Knitr (**3.RStudio\_Worksheet.pdf**).

The completed exercise is due on Wednesday, January 16<sup>th</sup>, 2019 before 12:00 PM (noon).

## 1) HOW WE WILL BE USING R AND OTHER TOOLS

You are working in an RMarkdown (.Rmd) file. This allows you to integrate text and R code into a single document. There are two major features to this document: 1) Markdown formatted text and 2) "chunks" of R code. Anything in an R code chunk will be interpreted by R when you *Knit* the document.

When you are done, you will knit your document together. However, if there are errors in the R code contained in your Markdown document, you will not be able to knit a PDF file. If this happens, you will need to review your code, locate the source of the error(s), and make the appropriate changes. Even if you are able to knit without issue, you should review the knitted document for correctness and completeness before you submit the Worksheet.

## 2) SETTING YOUR WORKING DIRECTORY

In the R code chunk below, please provide the code to: 1) clear your R environment, 2) print your current working directory, and 3) set your working directory to your '3.RStudio' folder.

```
rm(list=ls())
getwd()
```

```
setwd("C:/Users/andjr/Documents/GitHub/QB2019_Phillips/2.Worksheets/3.RStudio")
```

## 3) USING R AS A CALCULATOR

To follow up on the pre-class exercises, please calculate the following in the R code chunk below. Feel free to reference the 1. Introduction to version control and computing tools handout.

- 1) the volume of a cube with length,  $l_1 = 5$  (volume =  $l^3$ )
- 2) the area of a circle with radius,  $r_1 = 2$  (area =  $pi * r^2$ ).
- 3) the length of the opposite side of a right-triangle given that the angle, theta, = pi/4. (radians, a.k.a.  $45\hat{A}^{\circ}$ ) and with hypotenuse length sqrt(2) (remember: sin(theta) = opposite/hypotenuse).
- 4) the log (base e) of your favorite number.

```
5^3
## [1] 125
pi * 2^2
## [1] 12.56637
sqrt(2) * sin(45)
## [1] 1.203359
log(25)
## [1] 3.218876
```

## 4) WORKING WITH VECTORS

To follow up on the pre-class exercises, please perform the requested operations in the R-code chunks below.

#### **Basic Features Of Vectors**

In the R-code chunk below, do the following: 1) Create a vector **x** consisting of any five numbers. 2) Create a new vector **w** by multiplying **x** by 14 (i.e., "scalar"). 3) Add **x** and **w** and divide by 15.

```
x <- c(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
w <- x * 14
x + w / 15
```

```
## [1] 1.933333 3.866667 5.800000 7.733333 9.666667
```

Now, do the following: 1) Create another vector (k) that is the same length as w. 2) Multiply k by x. 3) Use the combine function to create one more vector, d that consists of any three elements from w and any four elements of k.

```
k <- c(6, 7, 8, 9, 10)
k * x

## [1] 6 14 24 36 50

d <- cbind(w, k)
```

## **Summary Statistics of Vectors**

In the R-code chunk below, calculate the **summary statistics** (i.e., maximum, minimum, sum, mean, median, variance, standard deviation, and standard error of the mean) for the vector (v) provided.

```
v \leftarrow c(16.4, 16.0, 10.1, 16.8, 20.5, NA, 20.2, 13.1, 24.8, 20.2, 25.0, 20.5, 30.5, 31.4, 27.1)
max(v)
## [1] NA
min(v)
## [1] NA
sum(v)
## [1] NA
mean(v)
## [1] NA
median(v)
## [1] NA
var(v)
## [1] NA
sd(v)
## [1] NA
sem <- function(v){sd(v)/sqrt(length(v))}</pre>
```

## 5) WORKING WITH MATRICES

In the R-code chunk below, do the following: Using a mixture of Approach 1 and 2 from the **3. RStudio** handout, create a matrix with two columns and five rows. Both columns should consist of random numbers. Make the mean of the first column equal to 8 with a standard deviation of 2 and the mean of the second column equal to 25 with a standard deviation of 10.

```
j <- c(rnorm(5, mean = 8, sd = 2))
z <- c(rnorm(5, mean = 25, sd = 10))
k <- cbind (j, z)</pre>
```

**Question 1**: What does the rnorm function do? What do the arguments in this function specify? Remember to use help() or type?rnorm.

Answer 1:It generates a set of random numbers of a normal distribution that fit the specified mean and standard deviation.

In the R code chunk below, do the following: 1) Load matrix.txt from the 3.RStudio data folder as matrix m. 2) Transpose this matrix. 3) Determine the dimensions of the transposed matrix.

```
m <- as.matrix(read.table("data/matrix.txt", sep = "\t", header = FALSE))
n <- t(m)
nrow(n)
## [1] 5
ncol(n)
## [1] 10</pre>
```

Question 2: What are the dimensions of the matrix you just transposed?

\$ TANK: int 34 14 23 16 21 5 25 27 30 28 ...

\$ TP: num 20.3 25.6 14.2 39.1 20.1 ...

\$ NUTS: Factor w/ 3 levels "H","L","M": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 ...

Answer 2: 5 by 10

#### Indexing a Matrix

In the R code chunk below, do the following: 1) Index matrix m by selecting all but the third column. 2) Remove the last row of matrix m.

```
n <- m[ ,-3]
n <- m[1:9, ]
```

## 6) BASIC DATA VISUALIZATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

#### Load Zooplankton Data Set

In the R code chunk below, do the following: 1) Load the zooplankton data set from the **3.RStudio** data folder. 2) Display the structure of this data set.

```
meso <- read.table("data/zoop_nuts.txt", sep = "\t", header = TRUE)
str(meso)
## 'data.frame': 24 obs. of 8 variables:</pre>
```

```
## $ TN : num 720 750 610 761 570 ...

## $ SRP : num 4.02 1.56 4.97 2.89 5.11 4.68 5 0.1 7.9 3.92 ...

## $ TIN : num 131.6 141.1 107.7 71.3 80.4 ...


## $ CHLA: num 1.52 4 0.61 0.53 1.44 1.19 0.37 0.72 6.93 0.94 ...

## $ ZP : num 1.781 0.409 1.201 3.36 0.733 ...
```

#### Correlation

In the R-code chunk below, do the following: 1) Create a matrix with the numerical data in the meso dataframe. 2) Visualize the pairwise **bi-plots** of the six numerical variables. 3) Conduct a simple **Pearson's correlation** analysis.

```
meso.num <- meso[,3:8]
pairs(meso.num)</pre>
```



```
cor1 <- cor(meso.num)</pre>
```

Question 3: Describe some of the general features based on the visualization and correlation analysis above?

Answer 3: It seems that there is a general positive trend between the zooplankton biomass and the TIN, SRP, TN, and TP, but not with between the zooplankton biomass and the chlorophyll a concentration. In fact, chlorophyll a has almost no variance across all observations, so there isn't anything interesting to show in relation to chlorophyll a concentration. The total phosphorous concentration has a positive correlation with total nitrogen concentration, the

soluble reactive phosphorous concentration, the total inorganic nutrient concentration, and the zooplankton biomass.

In the R code chunk below, do the following: 1) Redo the correlation analysis using the corr.test() function in the psych package with the following options: method = "pearson", adjust = "BH". 2) Now, redo this correlation analysis using a non-parametric method. 3) Use the print command from the handout to see the results of each correlation analysis.

```
require("psych")
## Loading required package: psych
cor2 <- corr.test(meso.num, method = "pearson", adjust = "BH")</pre>
cor3 <- corr.test(meso.num, method = "kendall", adjust = "BH")</pre>
print(cor2, digits = 3)
## Call:corr.test(x = meso.num, method = "pearson", adjust = "BH")
  Correlation matrix
##
            TP
                   TN
                          SRP
                                 TIN
                                       CHLA
                                                 ΖP
         1.000
               0.787
## TP
                       0.654
                               0.717 - 0.017
                                             0.697
## TN
                1.000
                       0.784
                               0.969 -0.004
         0.787
                                             0.756
## SRP
         0.654
               0.784
                       1.000
                               0.801 - 0.189
                                             0.676
## TIN
         0.717
               0.969
                       0.801
                               1.000 - 0.157
## CHLA -0.017 -0.004 -0.189 -0.157
                                     1.000 -0.183
         0.697
                0.756  0.676  0.761 -0.183  1.000
## ZP
## Sample Size
## [1] 24
## Probability values (Entries above the diagonal are adjusted for multiple tests.)
##
           TP
                 TN
                      SRP
                             TIN CHLA
                                          ZP
## TP
        0.000 0.000 0.001 0.000 0.983 0.000
        0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.983 0.000
        0.001 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.491 0.000
  SR.P
        0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.536 0.000
  CHLA 0.938 0.983 0.376 0.464 0.000 0.491
## ZP
        0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.393 0.000
##
    To see confidence intervals of the correlations, print with the short=FALSE option
```


Question 4: Describe what you learned from corr.test. Specifically, are the results sensitive to whether you use parametric (i.e., Pearson's) or non-parametric methods? When should one use non-parametric methods instead of parametric methods? With the Pearson's method, is there evidence for false discovery rate due to multiple comparisons? Why is false discovery rate important?

Answer 4: Yes, the p values changed depending on whether parametric or non-parametric methods were used. Specifically, using parametric methods made the p values much lower when looking at correlations between different values. This shows that the false discovery rate is higher when multiple comparisons are used. With a sample size of only 24, the assumptions of the central limit theorem are not met, and we're more likely to get false discoveries using parametric methods. False discovery rate is important, because as we study biodiversity it is important that the limited efforts (there is a lot of nature and not a lot of biodiversity researchers) of researchers be focused in the best places—false discoveries may lead to false allocation of resources.


#### Linear Regression

In the R code chunk below, do the following: 1) Conduct a linear regression analysis to test the relationship between total nitrogen (TN) and zooplankton biomass (ZP). 2) Examine the output of the regression analysis. 3) Produce a plot of this regression analysis including the following: categorically labeled points, the predicted regression line with 95% confidence intervals, and the appropriate axis labels.

```
fitreg <- lm(ZP ~ TN, data = meso)
summary(fitreg)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ZP ~ TN, data = meso)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                3Q
                                        Max
## -3.7690 -0.8491 -0.0709 1.6238
                                    2.5888
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 0.6977712 0.6496312
                                      1.074
                                                0.294
               0.0013181 0.0002431
                                      5.421 1.91e-05 ***
## TN
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.75 on 22 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.5719, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5525
## F-statistic: 29.39 on 1 and 22 DF, p-value: 1.911e-05
plot(meso$TN, meso$ZP, ylim = c(0,10), xlim = c(500, 5000), xlab = expression(paste("Total Nitrogen (",
text(meso$TN, meso$ZP, meso$NUTS, pos = 3, cex = 0.8)
text(meso$TN, meso$ZP, meso$NUTS, pos = 3, cex = 0.8)
newTN <- seq(min(meso$TN), max(meso$TN), 10)</pre>
regline <- predict(fitreg, newdata = data.frame(TN = newTN))</pre>
lines (newTN, regline)
text(meso$TN, meso$ZP, meso$NUTS, pos = 3, cex = 0.8)
newTN <- seq(min(meso$TN), max(meso$TN), 10)</pre>
regline <- predict(fitreg, newdata = data.frame(TN = newTN))</pre>
lines(newTN, regline)
conf95 <- predict(fitreg, newdata = data.frame(TN = newTN), interval = c("confidence"), level = 0.95, t</pre>
matlines(newTN, conf95[, c("lwr", "upr")], type="1", lty = 2, lws = 1, col = "black")
```



```
par(mfrow = c(2,2), mar = c(5.1,4.1,4.1,2.1))
plot(fitreg)
```




Question 5: Interpret the results from the regression model

Answer 5: This regression supports what the data showed previously, that zooplankton biomass and total nitrogen are positively correlated. At low nitrogen concentration, biomass is low, at medium concentration biomass is medium, and at high concentration biomass is high.


## Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

Using the R code chunk below, do the following: 1) Order the nutrient treatments from low to high (see handout). 2) Produce a barplot to visualize zooplankton biomass in each nutrient treatment. 3) Include error bars (+/- 1 sem) on your plot and label the axes appropriately. 4) Use a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test the null hypothesis that zooplankton biomass is affected by the nutrient treatment.

```
NUTS <- factor(meso$NUTS, levels = c('L', 'M', 'H'))
zp.means <- tapply(meso$ZP, NUTS, mean)
sem <- function(x){sd(na.omit(x))/sqrt(length(na.omit(x)))}
zp.sem <- tapply(meso$ZP, NUTS, sem)
bp <- barplot(zp.means, ylim = c(0, round(max(meso$ZP), digits = 0)), pch = 15, cex = 1.25, las = 1, cet
arrows(x0 = bp, y0 = zp.means, y1 = zp.means - zp.sem, angle = 90, length = 0.1, lwd = 1)
arrows(x0 = bp, y0 = zp.means, y1 = zp.means + zp.sem, angle = 90, length = 0.1, lwd = 1)</pre>
```



```
fitanova <- aov(ZP ~ NUTS, data = meso)
summary(fitanova)
                                            Pr(>F)
##
               Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
## NUTS
                  83.15
                           41.58
                                    11.77 0.000372 ***
               21
                   74.16
                            3.53
## Residuals
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
TukeyHSD(fitanova)
##
     Tukey multiple comparisons of means
##
       95% family-wise confidence level
##
## Fit: aov(formula = ZP ~ NUTS, data = meso)
##
## $NUTS
##
            diff
                        lwr
                                            p adj
                                    upr
## L-H -4.543175 -6.9115094 -2.1748406 0.0002512
## M-H -2.604550 -4.9728844 -0.2362156 0.0294932
## M-L 1.938625 -0.4297094 4.3069594 0.1220246
par(mfrow = c(2, 2), mar = c(5.1, 4.1, 4.1, 2.1))
plot(fitanova)
```



## SYNTHESIS: SITE-BY-SPECIES MATRIX

In the R code chunk below, load the zoop.txt data set in your **3.RStudio** data folder. Create a site-by-species matrix (or dataframe) that does *not* include TANK or NUTS. The remaining columns of data refer to the biomass ( $\hat{A}\mu g/L$ ) of different zooplankton taxa:

- CAL = calanoid copepods
- DIAP = Diaphanasoma sp.
- CYL = cyclopoid copepods
- BOSM = Bosmina sp.
- SIMO = Simocephallus sp.
- CERI = Ceriodaphnia sp.
- NAUP = naupuli (immature copepod)
- DLUM = Daphnia lumholtzi
- CHYD = Chydorus sp.

**Question 6**: With the visualization and statistical tools that we learned about in the **3. RStudio** handout, use the site-by-species matrix to assess whether and how different zooplankton taxa were responsible for the total biomass (ZP) response to nutrient enrichment. Describe what you learned below in the "Answer" section and include appropriate code in the R chunk.

```
meso <- read.table("data/zoops.txt", header = TRUE)</pre>
str(meso)
   'data.frame':
                     24 obs. of
                                  11 variables:
##
    $ TANK: int
                  5 14 16 21 23 25 27 34 12 15
    $ NUTS: Factor w/ 3 levels "H","L","M": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 ...
    $ CAL : num
                  70.5 27.1 5.3 79.2 31.4 22.7 0 35.7 74.8 5.3 ...
##
    $ DIAP: num
                  0 19.2 8.8 17.9 0 ...
##
    $ CYCL: num
                  66.1 129.6 12.7 141.3 11 ...
    $ BOSM: num
                  2.2 0 0 3.4 0 0 0 0 0 0 ...
##
                  417.8 0 73.1 0 482 ...
    $ SIMO: num
    $ CERI: num
##
                  159.8 79.4 107.5 199 101.9 ...
                  0 0 1.2 0 0 1.2 1.6 3.1 0 1.4 ...
    $ NAUP: num
    $ DLUM: num
                  0 0 0 0 0 6.6 0 0 0 0 ...
##
    $ CHYD: num
                  267 159 3158 298 580 ...
meso.num \leftarrow meso[, 3:8]
meso.num
##
                    CYCL BOSM
        CAL
             DIAP
                                 SIMO
                                       CERI
       70.5
## 1
                    66.1
                          2.2
                                417.8 159.8
               0.0
## 2
       27.1
              19.2 129.6
                          0.0
                                  0.0 79.4
        5.3
                          0.0
## 3
              8.8
                   12.7
                                 73.1 107.5
## 4
       79.2
             17.9 141.3
                          3.4
                                  0.0 199.0
## 5
       31.4
               0.0
                   11.0
                          0.0
                                482.0 101.9
## 6
       22.7 285.1 153.0
                          0.0
                                241.5 135.5
                          0.0
##
  7
        0.0
               2.3
                   11.0
                                 73.1 185.0
## 8
       35.7
             65.9 102.9
                          0.0
                                  0.0 318.5
## 9
       74.8 178.7 266.5
                          0.0
                                  0.0
                                        1.9
## 10
                    87.8
                          0.0 1099.2 136.4
        5.3
               4.9
## 11
       18.4
               2.3
                    29.4
                          0.0
                                393.8 147.6
## 12
       14.0
               2.3
                    37.7
                          0.0 1251.5
                                       74.8
                               818.6
## 13
       14.0
               2.3 132.9
                          0.0
                                      98.1
## 14
       48.8
               2.3 107.9
                          2.2
                                  9.0 132.7
                    17.7
##
  15
        0.0
               0.0
                          0.0
                                145.3
                                       19.7
##
  16 292.0 269.5 373.4 10.7
                                  0.0
                                        8.5
                    41.1
## 17
        9.7
               0.0
                          0.0 2397.8
                                        9.4
## 18
        0.0
               2.3
                     0.0
                          0.0
                                225.5
                                       24.3
## 19
        5.3
               0.0
                    86.2
                          0.0
                                465.9 527.7
## 20
       14.0
              7.5
                    69.5
                          0.0
                                594.2 78.5
##
  21
        0.0
             24.4 101.2
                          0.0
                                313.6 176.6
## 22
        0.0
               7.5 253.2
                          8.3
                                  0.0 112.1
## 23
        5.3
                    96.2
                          0.0
                                786.6
                                       76.6
               2.3
## 24
        0.0
               2.3
                    66.1
                          0.0
                                826.7
                                       85.1
```

#### SUBMITTING YOUR WORKSHEET

Use Knitr to create a PDF of your completed **3.RStudio\_Worksheet.Rmd** document, push the repo to GitHub, and create a pull request. Please make sure your updated repo include both the PDF and RMarkdown files.

This assignment is due on Wednesday, January 16<sup>th</sup>, 2015 at 12:00 PM (noon).