How to deconvolute the amperometric SECM images recorded with the HEKA ElProScan?

András Kiss, PhD

June 25, 2018

This guide shows how to do the deconvolution of the .asc datasets produced with the HEKA SECM by Monika and Phillip in 2014. The example image (Fig. 1.) shows the oxidation current at a 10 microemeter Pt disk electrode oxidizing H₂O₂. The current is decreased in the vicinity of the cell. The procedure is similar to what I've worked out to deconvolute potentiometric images [2, 3]. My PhD thesis is partially about this improvement [1].

1. Multiplying X-ccordinate and current to get micrometer and picoampere. It makes everything more convenient.

```
awk '{$1, print $2*1000000, $3*1000000000000}' &&
140128_1E1_11_3D.asc > 140128_1E1_11_3D_.asc
```

This is a linux bash tool, of course it can be done with Origin or Excel.

2. Correct chronology of the measurement, by mirroring every other scanline. A script would be nice that does everything. I'm planning to write one using awk and sed. These are pretty good and efficient tools in Linux bash. Original data is in a format like this:

```
scanline 1:
0
2
4
...
50
scanline 2:
0
2
4
...
50
```




Figure 1: Original data recorded by Monika and Phillip. Image of a monocyte. Tip was $d=10~\mu m$ Pt, tip potential was 650 mV oxidizing H_2O_2 . The image shows directional blur.

But this is not the chronological order for the meander. The second scanline starts with the X-ccordinate 50! Correct order of the dataset:

```
scanline 1:
0
2
4
...
50
scanline 2:
50
48
46
...
0
```

3. After I've done this, the data was ready for deconvolution, for which I've wrote the following FORTRAN program:

```
program deconvolution
implicit none

integer :: stat
real i, j, rc, e0, conv

rc=0.985

open(1, file='11.txt')
open(2, file='11_deconvoluted.txt')
read(1, *) i, j, e0
```

```
12 do

read(1, *, iostat=stat) i, j, conv

if (stat /= 0) exit

write(2, *) i, j, ((conv - e0*rc)/(1-rc))

e0=conv

end do

close(1)

close(2)

end program deconvolution
```

I compiled the code with gfortran. Then I ran the resulting a.out (default output) as:

./a.out

I think even Excel can be used for deconvolution. The criteria is that the program should be able to do relative references.

4. To plot the original and the result, I've used gnuplot. Of course you can use Origin or other similar program.

```
set size ratio 0.8
2 set pm3d map
3 set dgrid3d 51, 40 , 10, gauss 1,1
4 set cntrparam levels auto 10
5 set term postscript enhanced color
6 set xlabel "X / {/Symbol m}m"
7 set ylabel "Y / {/Symbol m}m"
s set palette rgbformulae 22, 13, -31 # quickgrid
9 set xtics font "Helvetica, 25"
10 set ytics font "Helvetica, 25"
11 set xlabel font ",25"
set ylabel font ",25"
13 set cblabel font ",25"
set cbtics font ",25"
15 set cblabel offset 4,0
set ylabel offset -3.0
set xlabel offset 0,-1
18 set xtics 0, 25, 50
19 set ytics 0, 19, 38
20 set yrange [0:38]
21 set xrange [0:50]
22 set cblabel "i / pA"
23 set cbrange [1.2:1.7]
set label "140128-1-E1-11-3D. asc" &&
    at 1, 35 tc rgb "white" font ",40" front
set out "11.eps"
```

```
splot "11.txt" u ($1):($2):($3) notitle
unset label

set label "140128-1-E1-11-3D.asc" &&

at 1, 35 tc rgb "white" font ",40" front

set label "deconvoluted" &&

at 1, 31 tc rgb "white" font ",40" front

set out "11_deconvoluted.eps"

splot "11_deconvoluted.txt" u ($1):($2):($3) notitle
unset label
```


Of course, gaussian filter is necessary to eliminate the unavoidable increase in noise after deconvolution. The line that does it is:

```
38 set dgrid3d 51, 40 , 10, gauss 1,1
```

The results can be seen in Fig. 2. This is the complete procedure to produce the figures from the raw data Phillip sent me.

References

- [1] András Kiss. Recent advances in potentiometric scanning electrochemical microscopy. *PhD. Thesis*, 2017.
- [2] András Kiss and Géza Nagy. Deconvolution in potentiometric secm. *Electroanalysis*, 27(3):587–590, 2015.
- [3] András Kiss and Géza Nagy. Deconvolution of potentiometric secm images recorded with high scan rate. *Electrochimica Acta*, 163:303–309, 2015.






Figure 2: Raw and deconvoluted images.