Udacity_OpenStreetMap

Project

This is a project where I needed to choose an area of the world in the OpenStreetMap and use data munging techiques, such as assessing the quality of the data for validity, accuracy, completeness, consistency and uniformity, to clean the OpenStreetMap data for a part of the world that you care about. Choose to learn SQL or MongoDB and apply your chosen schema to the project.

Code Organization:

There are two folders one for the code I used to analyze my area and other for the Use Case example.

Map Area

São Paulo, SP, Brazil

- [https://www.openstreetmap.org/relation/298285#map=10/-23.6824/-46.5957] (link for Sao Paulo Map on OpenStreetMap)

This area is my hometown, so I'm more interesetd to see what database querying reveals and I'd like to contribute to it's improment o OpenStreetMap.org.

The small area I whose their coordinates are: min lat: -23.6246, max lat: -23.6162, min lng: -46.6421 max lng: -46.6193.

Problems Encountered in the map:

Challenges Encountered:

- Street Names:
 - I found that some street names they were written in caps letters and other wasn't. So I tried to uniformalize those. Example: "RUA", "rUa" when the correct and most commom used is "Rua".
 - I also found that some street names there wasn't a type on them. Example: "Angelo Meneguesso", "Oito". So we cannot know if it a street, avenue or a road.

Example of Problems:

- Misstyping street names: "RUA", "rUa" instead of "Rua"
- PostCode:
 - Post Codes from São Paulo City is 5 numbers and than be follow by a hiffen and more three numbers and must have: less than 0600 or in between 0800 and 0850.
 - I found that some places were from anothers cities like: "Santos", "Santana de Parnaíba". "São Caetano"
 - I also found that some postcodes were incomplete like: from

"Rua Bresser": "1194"

Example of Problems:

- Others cities in dataset: Mauá, Jundiai, Cotia, Santos.
- Some postcodes are incomplete.

Query:

```sql
Select nt.value,COUNT(nt.value) from nodes\_tags nt, (Select
 id,value from nodes\_tags where key='postcode' and ((value >
 '0600' and value < '0800') or (value > '0850'))) ps where
 ps.id = nt.id and nt.key = 'city' group by nt.value Having
 COUNT(nt.value)> 1 order by COUNT(nt.value) DESC;

| nt.value               | COUNT(nt.value)       |
|------------------------|-----------------------|
| São Bernardo do Campo  | 117                   |
| Guarulhos<br>Mairiporã | 81<br>66              |
| Mauá                   | 58                    |
| Santo André            | 37                    |
| Osasco                 | 36                    |
| Santos                 | 33                    |
| Suzano                 | 30                    |
| São Caetano do Sul     | 28                    |
| Diadema                | 11                    |
| São José dos Campos    | 10                    |
| Barueri                | 7                     |
| Arujá                  | 6                     |
| Cotia                  | 6                     |
| São Roque              | 6                     |
| Franco da Rocha        | 5                     |
| Jundiaí                | 5                     |
| Taboão da Serra        | 5                     |
| Várzea Paulista        | 5                     |
| Itupeva                | 4                     |
| São Paulo              | 4                     |
| Ferraz de Vasconcelos  | 3                     |
| Guarujá<br>ITARIRI     | 3                     |
| Jundiai                | 3<br>3                |
| Araçariguama           | 2                     |
| Carapicuíba            | 2                     |
| Itapevi                | 2                     |
| Salto                  | 2                     |
| Santo Andre            | 2<br>2<br>2<br>2<br>2 |

I also noticed that, were 4 points in this query there which the city is allocated to 'Sao Paulo'.

After a investigation n those points (doing some more queries and using Google Maps), I noticed that some points actually are located in other cities and others in the postcode are incomplete.

### Problems are Cleaned Programmaticaly:

- I solved the uniformatly probem for street names and removing from

dataset those with no mapping correspondent and those with wrong Postcodes.

```
Overview of the data:
 ### OSM XML Size:
 sao-paulo_brazil.osm 907 MB
 database_OSM.db.....658.3 MB
 nodes.csv 346.6 MB
 nodes tags.csv 9.5 MB
 ways.csv 34.5 MB
 ways_tags.csv 51.1 MB
 ways_nodes.cv 132 MB
 ### Oversize statistics:
 - number of unique users:
 sqlite> SELECT COUNT(DISTINCT(e.uid))
 FROM (SELECT uid FROM nodes UNION ALL SELECT uid FROM ways)
 e;
 There are 2178 users.
 - number of nodes and ways:
 - Number of Nodes:
 sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM nodes;
 4003764 Nodes
 - Number of ways:
 '''sal
 sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM ways;
 553876 ways
 - number of chosen type of nodes, like cafes, shops etc.
 ### Top 10 contributing users
        ```sql
        sqlite> SELECT SUM(num)
        FROM(
            SELECT e.user, COUNT(*) as num
           FROM (SELECT user FROM nodes UNION ALL SELECT user FROM ways) e
           GROUP BY e.user
           ORDER BY num DESC
           LIMIT 10);
        Bonix-Mapper, 2345049
       AjBelnuovo, 262639
       cxs, 191624
       MCPicoli, 106375
        "O Fim", 105778
       johnmogi,95857
```

```
ygorre, 91096
        patodiez,85006
        naoliv,84366
        "Roberto Costa",65525
        Responsable for 3433315 points, which is 75% of data.
        ### Number of user appering only once
        ```sql
 sqlite> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM (SELECT e.user, COUNT(*) as num
 ...> FROM (Select user from nodes union all select user from ways) e
 ...> Group by e.user
 \dots > Having num = 1)
 There are 476 users with only 1 post.
        ```sal
        SELECT COUNT(*) FROM (SELECT e.user, COUNT(*) as num
        FROM (Select user from nodes union all select user from ways) e
        Group by e.user
        Having num < 5);
        There are 847 users with less than 5 posts.
    # Contributor statistics and gamification suggestion
    The contributions of users seems incredibly skewed, possibly due to
        automated versus manual map editing (the word "bot" appears in some
        usernames). Here are some user percentage statistics:
    Top user contribution percentage ("Bonix-Mapper") 51.45%
    Combined top 2 users' contribution ("Bonix-Mapper" and "AjBelnuovo")
        57.21%
    Combined Top 10 users contribution 75.3%
    There were 0.38% (847) of users that did less than 5 posts.
## Additional Data Exploration
### Top 10 appearing amenities
    ```sal
 sqlite> SELECT value, COUNT(*) as num
 FROM nodes_tags
 WHERE key='amenity'
 GROUP BY value
 ORDER BY num DESC
 LIMIT 10;
 restaurant | 1132
 bank | 685
 fuel | 615
 fast_food|477
 school | 473
 parking | 427
 pharmacy | 410
```

pub|379
place\_of\_worship|359
bicycle\_rental|274

## ## Ideas to Improve the dataset:

- As showed above the dataset contained some points from others cities (like Santos) it will be nice it had some way to verify the new points when they are created. Google Maps could be used in this process. But this may also cause a legal issue or something like that since it is using data from another source
- Due to the low number of users contributions to Open Street Map I think that another idea would be if it had an app with gamifications to incentivate differents users to contribute to the system. Alhough it can improve the participation of new users the developer that creates the app may have collected the data and use to another task.

## References:

- [https://www.openstreetmap.org/relation/298285#map=10/-23.6824/-46.5957] (link for Sao Paulo Map on OpenStreetMap)
- [https://thiagorodrigo.com.br/artigo/cep-sao-paulo-lista-de-cep-por-bairro-e-cidade-da-grande-sao-paulo/](Sao Paulo PostCodes)